BIG FIVE INVENTORY (BFI)

Reference

John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). <u>The Big-Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives</u>. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (Vol. 2, pp. 102–138). New York: Guilford Press.

Description of Measure:

44-item inventory that measures an individual on the Big Five Factors (dimensions) of personality (Goldberg, 1993). Each of the factors is then further divided into personality facets.

The Big Five Factors are (chart recreated from John & Srivastava, 1999):

Big Five Dimensions	Facet (and correlated trait adjective)		
Extraversion vs. introversion	Gregariousness (sociable)		
	Assertiveness (forceful)		
	Activity (energetic)		
	Excitement-seeking (adventurous)		
	Positive emotions (enthusiastic)		
	Warmth (outgoing)		
Agreeableness vs. antagonism	Trust (forgiving)		
	Straightforwardness (not demanding)		
	Altruism (warm)		
	Compliance (not stubborn)		
	Modesty (not show-off)		
	Tender-mindedness (sympathetic)		
Conscientiousness vs. lack of direction	Competence (efficient)		
	Order (organized)		
	Dutifulness (not careless)		
	Achievement striving (thorough)		
	Self-discipline (not lazy)		
	Deliberation (not impulsive)		
Neuroticism vs. emotional stability	Anxiety (tense)		
	Angry hostility (irritable)		
	Depression (not contented)		
	Self-consciousness (shy)		
	Impulsiveness (moody)		
	Vulnerability (not self-confident)		
Openness vs. closedness to experience	Ideas (curious)		
	Fantasy (imaginative)		
	Aesthetics (artistic)		
	Actions (wide interests)		
	Feelings (excitable)		
	Values (unconventional)		

For more information about the Big Five, visit this website: http://www.uoregon.edu/~sanjay/bigfive.html#where



Abstracts of Selected Related Articles:

Bouchard, T. J. & McGue, M. (2003). Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological differences. *Journal of Neurobiology*, *54*, 4-45.

Psychological researchers typically distinguish five major domains of individual differences in human behavior: cognitive abilities, personality, social attitudes, psychological interests, and psychopathology (Lubinski, 2000). In this article we: discuss a number of methodological errors commonly found in research on human individual differences; introduce a broad framework for interpreting findings from contemporary behavioral genetic studies; briefly outline the basic quantitative methods used in human behavioral genetic research; review the major criticisms of behavior genetic designs, with particular emphasis on the twin and adoption methods; describe the major or dominant theoretical scheme in each domain; and review behavioral genetic findings in all five domains. We conclude that there is now strong evidence that virtually all individual psychological differences, when reliably measured, are moderately to substantially heritable.

Tkach, C., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2006). How do people pursue happiness?: Relating personality, happiness-increasing strategies, and well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 7, 183-225.

Five hundred ethnically diverse undergraduates reported their happiness strategies – that is, activities undertaken to maintain or increase happiness. Factor analysis extracted eight general strategies: Affiliation, Partying, Mental Control, Goal Pursuit, Passive Leisure, Active Leisure, Religion, and Direct Attempts at happiness. According to multiple regression analyses, these strategies accounted for 52% of the variance in self-reported happiness and 16% over and above the variance accounted for by the Big Five personality traits. The strongest unique predictors of current happiness were Mental Control (inversely related), Direct Attempts, Affiliation, Religion, Partying, and Active Leisure. Gender differences suggest that men prefer to engage in Active Leisure and Mental Control, whereas women favor Affiliation, Goal Pursuit, Passive Leisure, and Religion. Relative to Asian and Chicano(a) students, White students preferred using high arousal strategies. Finally, mediation analyses revealed that many associations between individuals' personality and happiness levels are to some extent mediated by the strategies they use to increase their happiness – particularly, by Affiliation, Mental Control, and Direct Attempts.

Shiota, M.N., Keltner, D., & John, O. P. (2006). Positive emotion dispositions differentially associated with Big Five personality and attachment style. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 1, 61-71.

Although theorists have proposed the existence of multiple distinct varieties of positive emotion, dispositional positive affect is typically treated as a unidimensional variable in personality research. We present data elaborating conceptual and empirical differences among seven positive emotion dispositions in their relationships with two core personality constructs, the "Big Five" and adult attachment style. We found that the positive emotion dispositions were differentially associated with self- and peer-rated Extraversion, Conscientiousness, Agreeableness, Openness to Experience, and Neuroticism. We also found that different adult attachment styles were associated with different kinds of emotional rewards. Findings support the theoretical utility of differentiating among several dispositional positive emotion constructs in personality research.

Scale:

The Big Five Inventory (BFI)

Here are a number of characteristics that may or may not apply to you. For example, do you agree that you are someone who likes to spend time with others? Please write a number next to each statement to indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with that statement.

	sagree congly	Disagree a little	Neither ag nor disagr		Agree a little	Agree Strongly		
	1	2	3		4	5		
I see M	yself as Someon	e Who						
1. Is talkative				23. Tends to be lazy				
2. Tends to find fault with others				24. Is	emotionally sta	ble, not easily upset		
3. Does a thorough job				25. Is inventive				
4. Is depressed, blue				26. Has an assertive personality				
5. Is original, comes up with new ideas				27. Can be cold and aloof				
6. Is reserved			-	28. Perseveres until the task is finished				
7. Is helpful and unselfish with others			with others _	29. Can be moody				
8. Can be somewhat careless			ess _	30. Values artistic, aesthetic experiences				
9. Is relaxed, handles stress well			ss well	31. Is sometimes shy, inhibited				
	10. Is curio	ous about many	different things_	32. Is every		l kind to almost		
	11. Is full o	of energy	-	33. Do	oes things efficie	ently		
12. Starts quarrels with others			hers _	34. Remains calm in tense situations				
13. Is a reliable worker			-	35. Prefers work that is routine				
14. Can be tense			-	36. Is outgoing, sociable				
15. Is ingenious, a deep thinker			inker _	37. Is sometimes rude to others				
16. Generates a lot of enthusiasm			usiasm _	38. Makes plans and follows through with them				
	17. Has a forgiving nature			39. Gets nervous easily				
	18. Tends to be disorganized				40. Likes to reflect, play with ideas			
	19 Worries a lot				41 Has few artistic interests			

20. Has an active imagination	42. Likes to cooperate with others
21. Tends to be quiet	43. Is easily distracted
22. Is generally trusting	44. Is sophisticated in art, music, or literature

Scoring:

BFI scale scoring ("R" denotes reverse-scored items):

Extraversion: 1, 6R, 11, 16, 21R, 26, 31R, 36

Agreeableness: 2R, 7, 12R, 17, 22, 27R, 32, 37R, 42 Conscientiousness: 3, 8R, 13, 18R, 23R, 28, 33, 38, 43R

 $\begin{array}{c} Neuroticism: 4,\,9R,\,14,\,19,\,24R,\,29,\,34R,\,39\\ Openness: 5,\,10,\,15,\,20,\,25,\,30,\,35R,\,40,\,41R,\,44 \end{array}$