

On $|V, \lambda|_k$ Summability Factors of Fourier Series

Nayirty Saxena, Yash Veer Singh

Abstract— In this paper a general theorem concerning the $|V, \lambda|_k$ summability factors of Fourier series has been proved.

Keywords— $|V, \lambda|_k$ Summability, Fourier series, Summability factors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\sum u_n$ be a given series with the sequences of partial sums $\{S_n\}$ and let $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotonic non-decreasing sequence of natural numbers with $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \leq 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$.

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$V_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+1}^n s_v$$

defines the generalised de-la Vallée Poussin means of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by λ .

The series $\sum u_n$ is said to be summable $|V, \lambda|$, if the sequence $\{V_n(\lambda)\}$ is of bounded variation, i.e. to say (LEINDLER [03])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)| < \infty$$

We say that the series $\sum u_n$ is summable $|V, \lambda|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{k-1} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)|^k < \infty$$

The series $\sum u_n$ is also summable $|V, \lambda; \gamma|_k$, $k \geq 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\gamma+k-1} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)|^k < \infty$$

on taking $\lambda_n = n$ and $\gamma = 0$, this summability reduces $|C, 1|_k$ and for $k=1$ and $\gamma=0$ this is the same as summability $|V, \lambda|$.

Let $f(t)$ be a 2π -periodic and L -integrable function over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We assume, as we may without any loss of generality that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt)$$

is the Fourier series of $f(t)$.

We write

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)\}$$

and

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{v=1}^n A_v(x)$$

II. THEOREM

In this paper, we shall prove the following theorem.

If $\{u_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that

$$\sum \frac{n u_n (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}}}{\lambda_n^{2-\gamma k}} < \infty, \left(|\delta| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\sum \frac{n (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}} \Delta u_n}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma k}} < \infty \quad (2)$$

and

$$\int_0^t |\phi(u)|^k du = O \left\{ t \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\beta \right\}, \beta \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

and $1 \leq k \leq 2$

$$\text{Then the series } \sum \frac{u_n A_n(t)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}},$$

at $t=x$ is summable $|V, \lambda; \gamma|_k$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{k}$.

On taking $\lambda_n = 1$, $k = 1$, $\delta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$ in our theorem, we obtain the theorem of SINGH [08] which is an extension of a well-known result of PATI [06].

III. LEMMAS

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma A : (JAIN, GANGULY and MADAN [02])

If (3) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=0}^n |S_v(x) - f(x)|^k &= \\ &= O \left(n (\log n)^{\left(\frac{k}{2} \right) + \beta} \right), \\ \beta &\geq 0, \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq 2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Lemma B : (JAIN, GANGULY and MADAN [02])

If (3) holds and

Manuscript received December, 2013.

Nayirty Saxena, Maths Dept., Hindu College Moradabad, India.
Yash Veer Singh, Maths Dept., Hindu College Moradabad, India.

$$T_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^n v A_v(x),$$

then

$$\sum_{v=1}^n |T_v(x)|^k = O\left(n(\log n)^{\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)+\beta}\right).$$

.Lemma C : (JAIN, GANGULY and MADAN [02])

If $\{u_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum \frac{nu_n}{\lambda_n^2} < \infty$,

Then

$$(i) \sum_{n=1}^m \log(n+1) \Delta u_n = O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(ii) \sum_{n=1}^m n \log(n+1) \Delta^2 u_n = O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

IV. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

For $k=1$ and $\gamma=0$ the theorem directly follows on taking

the series $\sum \frac{u_n A_n(t)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{\beta+\delta}}$ in place of $\sum u_n A_n(t)$

and applying the condition (1), (2) and (3) (with $k=1$ and $\gamma=0$) instead of the set of conditions used by SHARMA and JAIN [07] in the proof of their theorem.

Therefore, we prove our theorem for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ only.

Let $C_n = V_{n+1}(\lambda; x) - V_n(\lambda; x)$,

Where $V_n(\lambda; x)$ is the n -th de-la Vallee Poussin mean of

$$\text{series } \sum \frac{u_n A_n(t)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}}$$

By an easy computation, we have

$$C_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^{n+1} \{(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)(v - n - 1) + \lambda_n\} \times \\ \times \frac{u_v A_v(t)}{\{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}}$$

Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |C_n|^k < \infty$$

Let $\sum_n^{(i)}$ be the summation over all n satisfying $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$

and $\sum_n^{(ii)}$ be the summation over all n where $\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n$,
when $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$,

Abel's transformation gives that

$$C_n =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \left[\sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^v r A_r(x) \right\} \times \right. \\ \times \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} + \\ \left. - \frac{u_{n-\lambda_n+2}}{(n-\lambda_n+2) \{\log(n-\lambda_n+3)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \times \right. \\ \times \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{n-\lambda_n+1} r A_r(x) \right\} + \\ \left. + \frac{u_{n+1}}{(n+1) \{\log(n+2)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} r A_r(x) \right\} \right] \\ = L_n^1 + L_n^2 + L_n^3, \text{ say.}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, it is therefore, sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |L_n^r|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r=1,2,3$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |L_n^1|^k = \\ = O(1) \sum_n^{(i)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma k}} \times \\ \times \left[\sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n v |T_v(x)| \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \right]^k \\ = O(1) \sum_n^{(i)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma k}} \times \\ \times \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^{n+1} v |T_v(x)|^k \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \\ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v |T_v(x)|^k \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{n=v}^{n+\lambda_v-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma^k}} \\ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v |T_v(x)|^k \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \lambda_v^{\gamma^k}$$

Using Abel's transformation again, by Lemma B. We easily have

$$\sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |L_n^1|^k = \\ = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 (\log n)^{\frac{(k)}{2} + \beta} \times \\ \times \Delta^2 \left\{ \frac{u_v}{n \{\log(n+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \lambda_n^{\gamma^k} \\ = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}} \Delta^2 u_n \lambda_n^{\gamma^k} + \\ + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}} \Delta u_n \lambda_n^{\gamma^k} + \\ + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n}{n} (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}} \lambda_n^{\gamma^k} \\ = O(1) \quad (5)$$

by Lemma C(i), C(ii) and hypothesis (1).

Further, Applying Abel's transformation and Lemma B, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |L_n^2|^k + \sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |L_n^3|^k = \\ = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n(x)|^k \frac{u_n}{\lambda_n \{\log(n+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \lambda_n^{\gamma^k} \\ = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \Delta u_n (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}}}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma^k}} + \\ + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n u_n (\log n)^{\frac{(1-2\delta)}{2}}}{\lambda_n^{2-\gamma^k}} + \\ + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n (\log n)^{\frac{(-1-2\delta)}{2}}}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma^k}} \\ = O(1) \quad (6)$$

by hypothesis (1) and (2).

Now, in order to estimate $\sum_n^{(ii)}$ we have, with the aid of

Abel's transformation, that

$$|C_n| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \left[\sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n v |T_v(x)| \times \right. \\ \times \left| \Delta \left\{ (\lambda_n + v - \lambda - 1) \right\} \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right| + \\ + (n - \lambda_n + 1) |T_{n-\lambda_n+1}(x)| \times \\ \times \frac{u_{n-\lambda_n+2}}{(n - \lambda_n + 2) \{\log(n - \lambda_n + 3)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} + \\ \left. + (n+1) |T_{n+1}(x)| \frac{\lambda_n u_{n+1}}{(n+1) \{\log(n+2)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right] \\ = M_n^1 + M_n^2 + M_n^3, \text{ say.}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, it is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |M_n^r|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r=1,2,3$$

Now,

$$\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |M_n^1|^k \leq \sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k-\gamma^k+1}} \left[\sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n v |T_v(x)| \times \right. \\ \times \left\{ \lambda_v \Delta \left(\frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right) + \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \right]^k \\ \leq \left(\sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k-\gamma^k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n v |T_v(x)| \lambda_v \times \right. \right. \\ \times \left. \left. \Delta \left(\frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right) \right\} \right)^k \frac{1}{k} \\ + \left[\sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k-\gamma^k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \lambda_v |T_v(x)| \times \right. \right.$$

$$\times \left(\frac{u_v}{\lambda_v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right)^k \left[\frac{1}{k} \right]^k$$

$$= \left(N_1^{\frac{1}{k}} + N_2^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^k, \text{ say}$$

We observe that

$$N_1 =$$

$$= O(1) \sum_n^{(ii)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k-\gamma k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n v |T_v(x)|^k \lambda_v^k \times \right.$$

$$\times \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v |T_v(x)|^k \lambda_v^k \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{n \geq v}^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k-\gamma k+1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v |T_v(x)|^k \Delta \left\{ \frac{u_v}{v \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}} \right\}$$

$$= O(1). \text{ by (5)}$$

And similarly,

$$N_2 =$$

$$= O(1) \sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k-\gamma k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \frac{|T_v(x)|^k \lambda_v^{k-1} u_v}{\{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_v(x)|^k u_v}{\lambda_v^{1-\gamma k} \{\log(v+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}}$$

$$= O(1), \text{ by (6)}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |M_n^1|^k = O(1).$$

Finally,

$$\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |M_n^2|^k + \sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k+k-1} |M_n^3|^k =$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_n(x)|^k u_n}{\lambda_n^{1-\gamma k} \{\log(n+1)\}^{\frac{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)}{2}}}$$

$$= O(1). \text{ By (6)}$$

This is complete proof of theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] CHENG, M. T., "Summability factors of Fourier series at a given point", Duke Math. J., 14, (1947), 405-410.
- [2] JAIN, R. K., GANGULY, A. and MADAN, B. K., "On $|V, \lambda|_k$ summability factors of Fourier series", Ind. J. of Pure and App. Math., 9(1), (1978), 282-289.

- [3] LEINDLER, L., "On the absolute summability factors of Fourier series", Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged.) 28, (1967), 323-336.
- [4] PATI, T., "The summability factors of infinite series", Duke Math. J., 21, (1954), 271-284.
- [5] PATI, T., "Absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series", Math. Z., 78, (1962), 293-297.
- [6] PATI, T., "On an unsolved problem in theory of absolute summability factors of Fourier series", Math. Z., 82, (1963), 106-114.
- [7] SHARMA, P. L. and JAIN, R. K., "On $|V, \lambda|$ summability of a factored Fourier series", Mathematici Vesnik, 7(22), No.1, (1970), 37-42.
- [8] SINGH, N., "On absolute Cesàro summability of factored Fourier series", Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2), 8, (1967), 181-188.