

FORMAL SCHEMES AND GROTHENDIECK EXISTENCE

MINSEON SHIN

ABSTRACT. I wrote some notes for myself while preparing for Student Arithmetic Geometry Seminar talk on Fri, Oct 3, 2014. The abstract was “I will give an introduction to formal schemes, state a version of Grothendieck existence theorem, and discuss applications to deformation theory.”

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Completions	2
3. Adic rings	2
4. Admissible rings	3
5. Definition of Formal schemes	3
6. Properties of Formal Schemes	8
7. Grothendieck existence theorem	9
8. Applications to deformation theory	11
9. Appendix	12
References	13

(Last edited April 6, 2015 at 3:19pm.)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **References.** [9, Section II.9], [6, Chapter 8], [2, Chapter 7], <http://mathoverflow.net/q/47993/15505>

1.2. **Conventions.** All rings are commutative rings with unit.

1.3. **Outline.** (INCOMPLETE :)

- (1)
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)

Date: Fri, Oct 3, 2014.

2. COMPLETIONS

Given a ring A , an ideal \mathfrak{A} , and an A -module M , we denote the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of M by $\widehat{M} := \varprojlim M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$.

Theorem 1. [9, II.9.3A] Let A be a Noetherian ring, and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A .

- (a) $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}$ is an ideal of \widehat{A} , and for any n , we have $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}^n} = \widehat{\mathfrak{a}}^n = \mathfrak{a}^n \widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{A}/\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}^n \simeq A/\mathfrak{a}^n$.
- (b) If M is a finitely generated A -module, then $\widehat{M} \simeq M \otimes_A \widehat{A}$.
- (c) The functor $M \mapsto \widehat{M}$ is an exact functor

$$\{\text{fin. gen. } A\text{-mod}\} \rightarrow \{\text{fin. gen. } \widehat{A}\text{-mod}\}.$$

- (d) \widehat{A} is a Noetherian ring.
- (e) If $(\{M_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{\varphi_{n,m} : M_m \rightarrow M_n\}_{m \geq n})$ is an inverse system, where each M_n is a finitely generated A/\mathfrak{a}^n -module, each $\varphi_{n,m}$ is surjective with $\ker \varphi_{n,m} = I^n M_m$, then $M := \varprojlim M_n$ is a finitely generated \widehat{A} -module, and for each n , we have $M_n \simeq M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$.

Proof. (a) [1, Proposition 10.15]

(b) [1, Proposition 10.13]

(c) [1, Proposition 10.12] If M is a finitely generated A -module, then \widehat{M} is a finitely generated \widehat{A} -module since $\widehat{M} \simeq M \otimes_A \widehat{A}$ by (b).

(d) [1, Theorem 10.26]

(e) (See also [Theorem 38](#).) Note that the conditions imply in particular that, for $m \geq n$, we have $M_n = M_m \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{a}^m} A/\mathfrak{a}^n$.

□

Lemma 2. [1, Proposition 10.14] Let A be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal, and \widehat{A} the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of A . Then $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is a flat ring homomorphism.

Proof. (Finitely generated) Ideal Criterion for Flatness [11, Lemma (9.26)] □

Proposition 3. Let A be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal, and \widehat{A} the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of A . Then $\dim A \leq \dim \widehat{A}$.

Proof. Going-Down for flat algebras [11, Theorem (14.11)] and [Lemma 2](#). □

Theorem 4. [1, Corollary 11.19] Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, and let \widehat{A} be the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of A . Then $\dim \widehat{A} = \dim A$.

Question 5. What can we say, other than [Proposition 3](#), about the dimension of the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion of A if A not necessarily local or \mathfrak{p} is not necessarily prime? (INCOMPLETE :)

3. ADIC RINGS

Definition 6. [2, Section 7.1] A [topological ring](#) A is a ring equipped with a topology such that the addition and multiplication maps $A \times A \rightarrow A$ are continuous, when $A \times A$ is given the product topology.

Definition 7. [2, Section 7.1] Let A be a ring, and \mathfrak{a} an ideal. There is a unique topology on A making it a topological ring such that the collection of ideals $\{\mathfrak{a}^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ form a basis of neighborhoods of 0 in A . Namely, a subset $U \subset A$ is open if for each $x \in U$ there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $x + \mathfrak{a}^n \subset U$. The resulting topology is called the \mathfrak{a} -adic topology on A . A topological ring A is called an **adic ring** if its topology is the \mathfrak{a} -adic topology for some ideal \mathfrak{a} . Any such \mathfrak{a} is called an **ideal of definition**.

Example 8. An example of a topological ring which is not adic is $A = \mathbb{C}$ with the analytic topology.

Given a topological ring, \widehat{A} is the set of Cauchy sequences in A ; it is a ring equipped with a canonical ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$. We say that A is **separated** if $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is injective,¹ and **complete** if $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is surjective.

If A has the \mathfrak{a} -adic topology, then there is a canonical homomorphism of topological rings $\widehat{A} \simeq \varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n$, where each A/\mathfrak{a}^n is given the discrete topology and $\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n$ has the coarsest topology such that each projection $\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}^n$ is continuous.

4. ADMISSIBLE RINGS

Definition 9. [2, page 160] We say that a topological ring A is **admissible** if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) A is linearly topologized, i.e. there is a basis of neighborhoods $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of 0 consisting of ideals of A .
- (2) A has an ideal of definition, i.e. there is an open ideal \mathfrak{a} such that for any neighborhood U of 0, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{a}^n \subset U$.
- (3) A is separated and complete.

5. DEFINITION OF FORMAL SCHEMES

Definition 10. [9, pg. 194], [8, I, Definition (10.8.4)] Let X be a Noetherian scheme, and let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed subscheme with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. For any $n \geq 0$, the ringed space $X_n = (Y, i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}))$ is a Noetherian scheme; there are canonical morphisms $X_n \rightarrow X$ and $X_n \rightarrow X_m$ for $m \geq n$ which makes $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a directed system of schemes. We define the **formal completion of X along Y** , denoted $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}})$, to be the following ringed space. We take $|\widehat{X}| = |Y|$, and on it the sheaf of rings

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} := \varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n). \quad (1)$$

Given a coherent² sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , define the **completion of \mathcal{F} along Y** to be the sheaf

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} := \varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}^n \mathcal{F}). \quad (2)$$

¹If A is Noetherian local, then Krull intersection theorem [11, Theorem (18.29)] implies that A is automatically separated.

²Defined as in [9, pg. 111]; essentially the same as “of finite type” defined in [8, 0, (5.2.1)]; my impression is that it doesn’t matter for Hartshorne because coherent sheaves are discussed usually on Noetherian schemes, where the two notions coincide.

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ has a natural structure of an $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ -module. If X is an affine scheme, say $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ with $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A/I$, then we say that \widehat{X} is an [affine Noetherian formal scheme](#), and denote $\widehat{X} = \operatorname{Spf} A$.³

Definition 11 (\widehat{X} is topologically ringed space). For any open subset U of \widehat{X} , give $(i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(U)$ the discrete topology and $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U)$ the coarsest topology such that each projection $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U) \rightarrow (i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(U)$ is continuous. Suppose $V \subset U$ is an inclusion of open subsets of X . The topology on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(V)$ is generated by fibers of the map $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(V) \rightarrow (i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(V)$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus, to check that the restriction maps $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(V)$ are continuous ring homomorphisms, it suffices to check that the inverse image under $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(V)$ of a fiber of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(V) \rightarrow (i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(V)$ is open in $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U)$. This is the same as the inverse image of an element of $(i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(V)$ under the map $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U) \rightarrow (i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(U) \rightarrow (i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(V)$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ is a sheaf of topological rings.

Remark 12. Let X, Y, \mathcal{I}, X_n be as in [Definition 10](#). The completion $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}})$ comes equipped with a canonical morphism of locally ringed spaces

$$(i, i^\#) : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \quad (3)$$

where $i : |\widehat{X}| \rightarrow |X|$ is the closed immersion and $i^\# : i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} = \varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)$ is the canonical morphism induced by $i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)$ for all n .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \widehat{X} \\
 & & & \nearrow u_m & \downarrow i \\
 X_m & \xrightarrow{u_{n,m}} & X_n & \nearrow u_n & \\
 & \searrow i_m & \searrow i_n & & X
 \end{array}$$

There are also canonical morphisms of locally ringed spaces $u_n : X_n \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ corresponding to the canonical projections $\varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n) \rightarrow i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)$, and the composition $X_n \rightarrow \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ is the canonical morphism $i_n : X_n \rightarrow X$.

- Remark 13.* (1) If V is an open subset of \widehat{X} , then $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(V) = \varprojlim ((\mathcal{O}_X(U)/\mathcal{I}^n)(U))$ for any open subset U of X such that $U \cap \widehat{X} = V$, by [Lemma 47](#).
(2) If we “complete X along X ”, i.e. if one takes $i : Y \rightarrow X$ to be the closed immersion $\operatorname{id} : X \rightarrow X$ in [Definition 10](#) (so that $\mathcal{I} = 0$), then \widehat{X} and X are isomorphic as locally ringed spaces (since i is a homeomorphism and $\varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n) \simeq \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$).
(3) With X, \widehat{X} as in [Definition 10](#), we have that $|\widehat{X}|$ is a Noetherian topological space, since $|Y|$ is.
(4) Taking the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$ in [Equation \(2\)](#), we have $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$.

Definition 14. [9, pg. 194] A [Noetherian formal scheme](#) is a locally ringed space $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ which has a finite open cover $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that, for each i , the pair

³Note that, by abuse of notation, there is no mention of the ideal I .

$(\mathfrak{U}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_i})$ is isomorphic as a locally ringed space to the completion of some Noetherian scheme X_i along a closed subscheme Y_i . A [morphism](#) of Noetherian formal schemes is a morphism as locally ringed spaces. A sheaf \mathfrak{F} of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules is said to be [coherent](#)⁴ if there exists a finite open cover $\mathfrak{U}_i \simeq \widehat{X}_i$ as above, and for each i there is a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F}_i on X_i such that $\mathfrak{F}|_{\mathfrak{U}_i} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{F}_i}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_i}$ -modules via the given isomorphism $\mathfrak{U}_i \simeq \widehat{X}_i$.

Definition 15. [8, I, Definition (10.4.5)] Let $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$ be Noetherian formal schemes. A morphism of ringed spaces $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is said to be a [morphism of formal schemes](#) if it is a morphism of topologically ringed spaces, and, for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, the induced map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}, f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x}$ is a local homomorphism.

Remark 16. (1) Every Noetherian scheme is a Noetherian formal scheme; see [Remark 13\(2\)](#).

- (2) Let X, Y, \widehat{X} be as in [Definition 10](#). Using [Remark 21](#), we have that \widehat{X} has an open cover by a finite number of affine Noetherian formal schemes. Thus it's equivalent to require in [Definition 14](#) that \mathfrak{X} have a finite open cover by “affine Noetherian formal schemes” (instead of “Noetherian formal schemes”).
- (3) It seems that “affine Noetherian formal scheme” is to “Noetherian formal scheme” as “affine scheme” is to “scheme”. ([INCOMPLETE : maybe?](#))

Question 17. Is $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ -module? Yes, by [9, Corollary II.9.8]. ([INCOMPLETE :](#))

Example 18. Let A be a Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A ; set $X := \text{Spec } A$ and $Y := \text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{a}$; let \widehat{A} be the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of A . Let \widehat{X} be the completion of X along Y . Then $\widehat{X} = (|\text{Spec } A/\mathfrak{a}|, \widehat{A})$.

- (1) Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime; set $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (p)$. Then $\widehat{X} = (\{*\}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, where \mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of p -adic integers.
- (2) With k a field, set $A = k[t]$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (t)$. Then $\widehat{X} = (\{*\}, k[[t]])$, where $k[[t]]$ is the ring of power series in t and coefficients in k .
- (3) Completion of singular variety at singular point ([INCOMPLETE :](#))
- (4) Completion of \mathbb{P}_k^n along hyperplane? ([INCOMPLETE :](#))

Proposition 19 (Functoriality for completion along a closed subscheme). [8, I, (10.9.1), (10.9.2)] Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Noetherian schemes. Let $i : X_0 \rightarrow X$ (resp. $j : Y_0 \rightarrow Y$) be a closed subscheme of X (resp. Y) such that $f \circ i$ factors through j . Let \widehat{X} (resp. \widehat{Y}) be the completion of X (resp. Y) along X_0 (resp. Y_0). Then there exists a canonical morphism of formal schemes $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ such that the following diagram commutes (where the horizontal arrows are the canonical morphisms described in [Remark 12](#)).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X} & \xrightarrow{i_X} & X \\ \widehat{f} \downarrow \vdots & & \downarrow f \\ \widehat{Y} & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

⁴[8, 0, (5.3.1)] defines coherent modules differently.

Proof. Use [Proposition 50](#). By assumption, there exists $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ such that $j \circ f_0 = f \circ i$. For each $n > 0$, define $X_n := (|X_0|, i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}))$ and $Y_n := (|Y_0|, j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}))$. We show that there are morphisms $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_m & \xrightarrow{u_{n,m}} & X_n \\ f_m \downarrow & & \downarrow f_n \\ Y_m & \xrightarrow{v_{n,m}} & Y_n \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \xrightarrow{i_n} & X \\ f_n \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y_n & \xrightarrow{j_n} & Y \end{array}$$

Fix $n > 0$. Since $f \circ i$ factors through j , by [Proposition 50](#) we have $f^* \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$. This implies $f^*(\mathcal{J}^n) = (f^* \mathcal{J})^{n+1} \subset \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$. Since \mathcal{I}^{n+1} and \mathcal{J}^{n+1} are the ideal sheaves of i_n and j_n , we have by [Proposition 50](#) again that $f \circ i_n$ factors through j_n , say $j_n \circ f_n = f \circ i_n$. Commutativity of the left diagram follows from the fact that $f_n \circ u_{n,m}$ factors through $v_{n,m}$ and that j_n is a monomorphism (since it is a closed immersion): namely, if f'_m is the unique morphism such that $v_{n,m} \circ f'_m = f_n \circ u_{n,m}$, then f_m and f'_m are both factorizations of $f \circ i_m$ through j_m . Thus, by UMP of the colimit of \widehat{X} , there exists unique morphism of locally ringed spaces $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ such that $i_Y \circ \widehat{f} = f \circ i_X$. **(INCOMPLETE : Why is this a morphism of topologically ringed spaces?)** \square

We may ask why we do not define $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ to be $i^{-1}(\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)$; this is addressed by [Lemma 20](#), which says that it doesn't matter.

Lemma 20. The canonical morphism

$$i^{-1}(\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n) \quad (4)$$

induced by the maps $i^{-1}(\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n) \rightarrow i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. I don't know whether filtered colimits always commute with inverse limits (indexed by \mathbb{N}), but in this case i is a closed immersion of topological spaces and the supports of every $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n$ and $\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n$ are contained in the image of i . Thus if $U \subset X$ is any open subset, then

$$\begin{aligned} (i^{-1}(\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(Y \cap U) &\overset{*}{\simeq} (\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)(U) \\ &= \varprojlim ((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n)(U)) \\ &\overset{*}{\simeq} \varprojlim ((i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(Y \cap U)) \\ &\simeq (\varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))(Y \cap U) \end{aligned}$$

so [Equation \(4\)](#) is an isomorphism. (In the previous chain of isomorphisms, the isomorphisms marked with $*$ are by [Lemma 47](#).) \square

Remark 21. Completion along a closed subscheme commutes with taking open subsets: Let X and Y be as in [Definition 10](#), and let U be a quasicompact⁵ open subset of X . Let us denote by $Y \cap U$ the fiber product of $Y \times_X U$; then $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{I}|_U$ is

⁵This is to ensure that U is also a Noetherian scheme.

the ideal sheaf of the closed immersion $j : Y \cap U \rightarrow U$. Let \widehat{U} denote the completion of U along $Y \cap U$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}|_{Y \cap U} &= \left(\varprojlim i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n) \right)|_{Y \cap U} \\ &= \varprojlim ((i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n))|_{Y \cap U}) \\ &= \varprojlim j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{J}^n) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|Y \cap U| \rightarrow |Y|$ is an open immersion of topological spaces, we have an open immersion of locally ringed spaces $(\widehat{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}}) \rightarrow (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}})$.

Lemma 22. [5] With the definition of [Definition 10](#), the completion \widehat{X} is a locally ringed space.

Proof. We consider the canonical morphism $X_0 \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ (which is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces). Given $x \in X_0$, we have an induced map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}$. Set $\mathfrak{n} := \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_{X_0,x})$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}$ is local with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X_0,x}$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},x} \setminus \mathfrak{n}$ consists of units. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},x} \setminus \mathfrak{n}$, its image $\varphi(f)$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}$, so there exists an open affine neighborhood $U = \text{Spec } A \subset X_0$ of x and a regular section $f' \in \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(U) = A/I$ which maps via the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}$ to $\varphi(f)$. Restricting further, we can assume that f' is a unit in A/I ; restricting further, we may assume that there exists some $f'' \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U)$ which maps to f' under $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(U)$ and to f under the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},x}$. This implies that f'' is a unit, see [Proposition 48](#). Thus f is a unit. \square

Question 23 (Conjecture). If \mathfrak{X} is an affine Noetherian formal scheme that is also a scheme, then it is an affine scheme. ([INCOMPLETE :](#)) Since $i : |\widehat{X}| \rightarrow |X|$ is a closed immersion of topological spaces, it is in particular quasi-compact and quasi-separated, so $i_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}} = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n$ is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra.

Question 24 (Conjecture). With $X, Y, \mathcal{I}, \widehat{X}$ as in [Definition 10](#), suppose that \widehat{X} is a scheme. Then the canonical morphism [Equation \(3\)](#) of locally ringed spaces $\widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ is an affine morphism of schemes. Use [Question 23](#), if it is true. ([INCOMPLETE :](#))

Remark 25. By definition, every affine Noetherian formal scheme comes equipped with a morphism of locally ringed spaces into an affine Noetherian scheme.

Question 26. Given an affine Noetherian formal scheme, is there a way to determine if it is a scheme? A partial result is given by [Proposition 27](#). ([INCOMPLETE :](#))

Proposition 27. With $A, \mathfrak{a}, \widehat{A}, X, Y, \widehat{X}$ as in [Example 18](#), if $\dim Y < \dim X$, then \widehat{X} is not an affine scheme.

Proof. We have

$$\dim \widehat{X} = \dim Y < \dim X = \dim A \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \dim \widehat{A} \stackrel{(2)}{=} \dim \Gamma(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}})$$

where (1) follows from [Proposition 3](#) and (2) follows by definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$. \square

Lemma 28. With X, Y, \mathcal{I}, X_n as in [Definition 10](#), $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}})$ is the colimit of $\{X_n\}$ in the category of locally ringed spaces.

Proof. Since the underlying topological spaces $|\widehat{X}|$ and $|Y|$ are homeomorphic, it remains to consider the structure sheaves. Then the statement follows from the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ is defined to be the projective limit of $\mathcal{O}_{X_n} = i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{n+1})$ in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on Y . \square

(INCOMPLETE : define $\mathrm{Spf} A$; where is it defined in EGA?)

Question 29. Are the local rings of $\mathrm{Spf} A$ topological rings? (INCOMPLETE :)

Remark 30. If X is a Noetherian scheme and \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 are two ideal sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_1) = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_2)$, then the completions defined in [Definition 10](#) are isomorphic. This is because there exist positive integers n_1, n_2 such that $\mathcal{I}_1^{n_1} \subset \mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{I}_2^{n_2} \subset \mathcal{I}_1$ (work affine-locally and cover X with finitely many affine opens).

Question 31. In which situations is the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n$ a quasicoherent sheaf on X ? Let A be a ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal, and $f \in A$ any element. Localizing the projection maps $\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}^n$ give maps $(\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n)_f \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{a}^n)_f$, which induces a morphism

$$(\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n)_f \rightarrow \varprojlim A_f/\mathfrak{a}_f^n \quad (5)$$

which may not be an isomorphism. Consider, for example, $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (p)$; then $\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a positive integer. If p divides f , then $V_A(\mathfrak{a}) \cap D_A(f) = \emptyset$ and $(\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n)_f = (\mathbb{Z}_p)_f = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varprojlim A_f/\mathfrak{a}_f^n = \varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_f = 0$ (so in this case [Equation \(5\)](#) is not an isomorphism). If p does not divide f , then $V_A(\mathfrak{a}) \cap D_A(f) \neq \emptyset$ and $(\varprojlim A/\mathfrak{a}^n)_f = (\mathbb{Z}_p)_f = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\varprojlim A_f/\mathfrak{a}_f^n = \varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_f = \mathbb{Z}_p$. (INCOMPLETE : According to [\[2, page 156, Remark 7.1/9; page 160\]](#), this is an isomorphism if \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated.) Perhaps we may be able to use [Theorem 1\(b\)](#); but this requires that A_f is finitely generated over A , which is true if and only if $\frac{1}{f} \in A_f$ is integral over A .

Lemma 32. Let A be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A , and X a Noetherian A -scheme. There is a commutative diagram of locally ringed spaces, which is not fibered (INCOMPLETE : ?)

6. PROPERTIES OF FORMAL SCHEMES

Proposition 33. [\[9, II.9.4\]](#) Let A be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A , and $X := \mathrm{Spec} A$, $Y := V(\mathfrak{a})$, and $\mathfrak{X} := \widehat{X} = \mathrm{Spf} A$ (the completion of X along Y).

- (a) $\mathfrak{I} := \mathfrak{a}^\Delta$ is a sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, and for any n , $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{I}^n \simeq (A/\mathfrak{a})^\sim$ as sheaves on Y .
- (b) if M is a finitely generated A -module, then $M^\Delta \simeq \widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.
- (c) The functor $M \mapsto M^\Delta$ is an exact functor from the category of finitely generated A -modules to the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules.

Definition 34. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ be a Noetherian formal scheme. A sheaf of ideals $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is called an [ideal of definition](#) for \mathfrak{X} if $\mathrm{Supp} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{I} = |\mathfrak{X}|$ and the locally ringed space $(|\mathfrak{X}|, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{I})$ is a Noetherian scheme.

Remark 35. With $X, \widehat{X}, Y, \mathcal{I}, X_n$ as in [Definition 10](#), we have an isomorphism of sheaves of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}/\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{n+1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$ on Y ; this yields an isomorphism of locally ringed spaces $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}/\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{n+1}) \simeq X_n$ (which is a Noetherian scheme) for all $n \geq 0$; thus every $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{n+1}$ is an ideal of definition for \widehat{X} . **(INCOMPLETE : check this)**

Proposition 36. [\[9, II.9.5\]](#) Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ be a Noetherian formal scheme.

- (a) If \mathfrak{J}_1 and \mathfrak{J}_2 are two ideals of definition, then there are integers $m, n > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{J}_2^m \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_1$ and $\mathfrak{J}_1^n \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_2$.
- (b) There is a unique largest ideal of definition \mathfrak{J} , characterized by the fact that $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{J})$ is a reduced scheme. In particular, ideals of definition exist.
- (c) If \mathfrak{J} is an ideal of definition, so is \mathfrak{J}^n for any $n > 0$.

Question 37. To what extent can we recover the original scheme X from \widehat{X} ? [\[9, II.9.5\]](#) says that, given any Noetherian formal scheme \mathfrak{X} , we can ideals of definition (which are intrinsic) to come up with a direct system of noetherian schemes of which \mathfrak{X} is the colimit. **(INCOMPLETE :)**

Theorem 38. [\[9, II.9.6\]](#) Let \mathfrak{X} be a Noetherian formal scheme and let \mathfrak{J} be an ideal of definition. For each $n \geq 0$, we denote $Y_n := (|\mathfrak{X}|, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{J}^{n+1})$, which is a scheme.

- (a) If \mathfrak{F} is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules, then $\mathcal{F}_n := \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{J}^n \mathfrak{F}$ is a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{Y_n} -modules for each $n \geq 0$, and $\mathfrak{F} \simeq \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_n$.
- (b) Conversely, suppose $(\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{\varphi_{n,m} : \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n\})$ is an inverse system, where \mathcal{F}_n is a coherent \mathcal{O}_{Y_n} -module and $\varphi_{n,m}$ is surjective with $\ker \varphi_{n,m} = \mathfrak{J}^n \mathcal{F}_m$. Then $\mathfrak{F} := \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_n$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module, and for each $n \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{F}_n \simeq \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{J}^n \mathfrak{F}$.

Proof. Uses [Theorem 1](#). The condition in (b) means we have a compatible system of \mathcal{O}_{Y_n} -modules; having exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}^n \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow 0$ means $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_m/\mathfrak{J}^n \mathcal{F}_m \simeq \mathcal{F}_m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{J}^m} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{J}^n)$. \square

Remark 39. Using [Theorem 38](#) and [Remark 35](#), the pullback of coherent sheaf on \widehat{X} along $X_n \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ gives a coherent sheaf on X_n , hence we obtain a functor $\text{Coh}(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X_n)$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 40. [\[9, II.9.7\]](#) Let A be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal, and assume that A is \mathfrak{a} -adically complete. Let $X := \text{Spec } A$, $Y := V(\mathfrak{a})$, and $\mathfrak{X} = \widehat{X}$. Then the functors $M \mapsto M^\Delta$ and $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{F})$ are exact, and inverse to each other, on the categories of finitely generated A -modules and coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules respectively. Thus they establish an equivalence of categories. In particular, every coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module is of the form M^Δ for some M .

Corollary 41. [\[9, II.9.8\]](#) If X is any Noetherian scheme, Y a closed subscheme, and $\mathfrak{X} = \widehat{X}$ the completion along Y , then the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is an exact functor from coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules to coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules. Furthermore, if \mathcal{I} is the sheaf of ideals of Y , and $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ its completion, then we have $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}/\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^n \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}^n \mathcal{F}$ for each n , and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

7. GROTHENDIECK EXISTENCE THEOREM

See also [\[3, Theorem 3.4\]](#).

Theorem 42 (Grothendieck existence). [8, III₁, (5.1.4)] Let A be a Noetherian adic ring, $Y := \operatorname{Spec} A$, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of definition of A , $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism separated and of finite type, and $X' := f^{-1}(Y')$. Let \widehat{X} ⁶ (resp. $\widehat{Y} = \operatorname{Spf}(A)$) be the completion of X (resp. Y) along X' (resp. Y'), and $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ the extension of f to the completions⁷; then, the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ ⁸ is an equivalence of categories between the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules with support proper over Y ⁹ and the category of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ -modules with support proper over \widehat{Y} .

Proof. [8, III₁, Section 5.2 and 5.3; page 151–156] □

Corollary 43. [8, III₁, (5.1.6)] Assuming the conditions of [Theorem 42](#), suppose X is proper over $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$. Then the functor $\operatorname{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coh}(\widehat{X})$ sending $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Every closed subscheme of X is proper over Y , and every closed subscheme of \widehat{X} is proper over \widehat{Y} ; apply [Theorem 42](#). (INCOMPLETE : Does it make sense to define properness for morphisms of locally ringed spaces? How to define “of finite type” for morphisms of locally ringed spaces?) (INCOMPLETE : Is it harder to show that the functor is essentially surjective; given a coherent sheaf \mathfrak{F} on \widehat{X} , to find some coherent \mathcal{F} on X whose pullback is \mathfrak{F} is to “effectivize” \mathfrak{F} .) For “proper over \widehat{Y} ”, look at remark following statement of [6, Theorem 8.4.2]. □

7.1. Setup. (From [14]. See also [6, 8.1.4].) Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local ring, I an ideal, and $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ a proper A -scheme. For each $n \geq 0$, set $A_n := A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ and $X_n := X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{Spec} A_n$.¹⁰ Let \widehat{X} denote the completion of X along the closed subscheme X_0 .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \widehat{X} & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \operatorname{Spec} A_0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} A_1 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} A_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} A
 \end{array}$$

Note that, for any $m > n$, we have $X_n = X_m \times_{\operatorname{Spec} A_m} \operatorname{Spec} A_n$.

Define “ $\varprojlim \operatorname{Coh}(X_n)$ ” to be the category whose objects are collections of data $(\mathcal{F}_n, \iota_n)_{n \geq 0}$ where \mathcal{F}_n is a coherent sheaf on X_n and $\iota_n : \mathcal{F}_{n+1}|_{X_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ is an isomorphism.¹¹ Morphisms $(\mathcal{F}_n, \iota_n)_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_n, \eta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are collections of morphisms $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ where $\varphi_n : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$ is a morphism of coherent sheaves on X_n such that the following diagram commutes:

⁶The notation “ $X_{/X'}$ ” is also used; see [8, I, page 195].

⁷See [8, I, (10.9.1)].

⁸The notation “ $\mathcal{F}_{/X'}$ ” is also used; see [8, I, page 194, Definition (10.8.4)].

⁹(INCOMPLETE : “closed subspaces proper over”)?

¹⁰The A_n are local Artinian rings, so the X_n are intuitively “points with fuzz on them”.

¹¹Here “ $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}|_{X_n}$ ” denotes the pullback of the coherent sheaf \mathcal{F}_{n+1} along the closed immersion $i_{n,n+1} : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$, i.e. $i_{n,n+1}^* \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{F}_{n+1}|_{X_n} & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & \mathcal{F}_n \\
 \varphi_{n+1}|_{X_n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_n \\
 \mathcal{G}_{n+1}|_{X_n} & \xrightarrow{\eta_n} & \mathcal{G}_n
 \end{array}$$

(INCOMPLETE :)

There is a functor

$$F : \text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Coh}(X_n) \quad (6)$$

induced by the pullback functors $\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X_n)$, where pullback of coherent on X is coherent on X_n since $X_n \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of Noetherian schemes. which sends (INCOMPLETE : factors through $\text{Coh}(\hat{X})$; sends coherent on X to coherent on \hat{X} by [9, II.9.6]? just a morphism of locally ringed spaces (need noetherian?))

Lemma 44. Let X be a scheme, and $I \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ an ideal. Let $I\mathcal{O}_X$ be the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto I \cdot \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then $I\mathcal{O}_X$ is quasicoherent. (INCOMPLETE : why is this here?)

(INCOMPLETE : Any idea about the proof?)

8. APPLICATIONS TO DEFORMATION THEORY

Theorem 45. [6, 8.5.19] (SGA1, III 7.3) Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete Noetherian local ring. Let $S = \text{Spec } A$, $s = \text{Spec } k$, and let X_0 be a smooth projective scheme over s satisfying $H^2(X_0, T_{X_0/s}) = 0$. Then there exists a proper and smooth formal scheme $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}$ over \hat{S} lifting X_0 . If, in addition, X_0 satisfies $H^2(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = 0$, then there exists a smooth projective scheme X over S such that $X_s = X_0$.

Theorem 46. [14] Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local ring, and set $A_n := A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$. Let r be a positive integer. Suppose given for every $n \geq 0$ a closed subscheme $i_n : Z_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{A_n}^r$ flat over $\text{Spec } A_n$ such that $Z_{n+1} \times_{\text{Spec } A_{n+1}} \text{Spec } A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{A_n}^r$ is isomorphic to i_n for all $n \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique closed subscheme $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^r$ inducing the Z_n .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 Z_0 & & Z_1 & & Z_2 & & Z \\
 \downarrow i_0 & & \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow i \\
 \mathbb{P}_{A_0}^r & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{A_1}^r & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{A_2}^r & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^r \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } A_0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } A_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } A_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Spec } A
 \end{array}$$

In Theorem 46, we have

$$Z_{n+1} \times_{\text{Spec } A_{n+1}} \text{Spec } A_n \simeq Z_{n+1} \times_{\mathbb{P}_{A_{n+1}}^r} \mathbb{P}_{A_n}^r$$

because $\mathbb{P}_{A_n}^r \simeq \text{Spec } A_n \times_{\text{Spec } A_{n+1}} \mathbb{P}_{A_{n+1}}^r$. For this reason, it also follows that the projection $Z_{n+1} \times_{\text{Spec } A_{n+1}} \text{Spec } A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{A_n}^r$ is a closed immersion, since it is the base-change of a closed immersion (namely, i_{n+1}).

Proof. Set $X = \mathbb{P}_A^r$ and $X_n = \mathbb{P}_{A_n}^r$. Apply [Corollary 43](#) to the ideal sheaves defining the i_n , \square

(INCOMPLETE : Why do we need flatness in the above theorem?)

(INCOMPLETE : What is the deformation problem that this solves? See [\[12, Warning 6.1.17\]](#) and [\[15, Warning 2.2.3\]](#).)

(INCOMPLETE : See also [Example 1.2.8\(ii\)](#) in https://www.uni-due.de/~mat903/sem/ws0809/material/Minicourse_FormalGeometry.pdf for the notation $A\{T\}$.)

9. APPENDIX

Lemma 47. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of topological spaces, and let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of abelian groups on X which has support contained in $i(Z)$. If $V \subset U$ are nested open subsets of X such that $V \cap Z = U \cap Z$, then the restriction map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ is an isomorphism. Thus, if $Y \subset Z$ is any open subset of Z , then $(i^{-1}\mathcal{F})(Y) = \mathcal{F}(U)$ for any open subset U of X such that $Y = U \cap Z$.

Proof. We have open cover $U = V \cup (U \setminus Z)$ of U where $V \cap (U \setminus Z) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{F}(U \setminus Z) = 0$ and $\mathcal{F}(V \setminus Z) = 0$. Using the sheaf axioms, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \oplus \mathcal{F}(U \setminus Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V \setminus Z)$$

which reduces to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proposition 48. Let A be a ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal, and \widehat{A} the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of A . Then the canonical morphism $\widehat{A} \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$ sends nonunits to nonunits.^{[12](#)}

Theorem 49. [\[7, Theorem 8\]](#) The fiber product of schemes in the category of locally ringed spaces is a scheme.

Proposition 50. [\[8, I, \(4.4.6\)\]](#) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, $i : X \rightarrow X'$ (resp. $j : Y \rightarrow Y'$) a closed subscheme of X (resp. Y) with ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} (resp. \mathcal{J}). Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $f \circ i$ factors through j .
- (ii) $(f^*\mathcal{J})\mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{I}$.

¹²Inspired by Emerton's claim in <http://mathoverflow.net/a/27780/15505>.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah, M. F., and MacDonald, I. G. *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Westview Press, 1969.
- [2] Bosch, S. *Lectures on Formal and Rigid Geometry*, Lecture notes in mathematics 2105, Springer, 2014.
- [3] Conrad, B. “Gross-Zagier Revisited”, *Heegner Points and Rankin L-Series*, MSRI Publications, Volume 49, 2004, pp. 67–163. <http://library.msri.org/books/Book49/files/05conrad.pdf>.
- [4] Cuong, D. T. “A minicourse on Formal Geometry”, online notes. https://www.uni-due.de/~mat903/sem/ws0809/material/Minicourse_FormalGeometry.pdf
- [5] Emerton, M. Answer to question “formal completion”, <http://mathoverflow.net/a/27780/15505>. Jun 11, 2010.
- [6] Fantechi, B., Göttsche, L., Illusie, L., Kleiman, S. L., Nitsure, N., Vistoli, A. *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry: Grothendieck’s FGA Explained*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 123. American Mathematical Society. 2005.
- [7] Gillam, W. D. “Localization of ringed spaces”, [arXiv:1103.2139](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.2139) [math.AG], Mar 10, 2011.
- [8] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J. *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*.
- [9] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, 1977.
- [10] Haugseng, R. “Notes on formal schemes, sheaves on R -functors, and formal groups”, notes for a talk given on Mar 2, 2010. <http://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/haugseng/talks/formalschemes.pdf>
- [11] Kleiman, S., *A Term of Commutative Algebra*, <http://web.mit.edu/18.705/www/13Ed.pdf>. Version of Aug 31, 2013.
- [12] Lieblich, M., Olsson, M., Osserman, B., Vakil, R. *Deformations and Moduli in Algebraic Geometry*, draft of May 3, 2010. <https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~osserman/math/Defbookactive.pdf>
- [13] Matsumura, H. *Commutative Ring Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [14] Olsson, M. “Background Lecture: Grothendieck existence theorem for coherent sheaves”. http://www.msri.org/people/members/defthy07/bgtopics/Background_Lecture8.pdf, 2007.
- [15] Osserman, B. “Deformations (b): representability and Schlessinger’s criterion”, online notes for 2007 MSRI Workshop *Deformation Theory and Moduli in Algebraic Geometry*, <http://www.msri.org/people/members/defthy07/lectures/brian.pdf>.
- [16] Strickland, N. P. *Formal schemes and formal groups*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0011121>
- [17] *The Stacks Project*, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [18] Vakil, R., “Foundations of Algebraic Geometry.” Version of March 23, 2010. <http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/216blog/>.
- [19] Wedhorn, T. *Adic Spaces*, June 19, 2012. <https://www2.math.uni-paderborn.de/fileadmin/Mathematik/People/wedhorn/Lehre/AdicSpaces.pdf>