

# Symmetric Bi-multipliers on $d$ -algebras

Tamer Firat, Şule Ayar Özbal\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letter, Yaşar University, Izmir, Turkey

\*Corresponding author: [sule.ayar@yasar.edu.tr](mailto:sule.ayar@yasar.edu.tr)

**Abstract** In this study, we introduce the notion of symmetric bimultipliers in  $d$ -algebras and investigate some related properties. Among others kernels and sets of fixed points of a  $d$ -algebra are characterized by symmetric bi-multipliers.

**Keywords:**  $d$ -algebras, multipliers, fixed set, kernel

**Cite This Article:** Tamer Firat, and Şule Ayar Özbal, "Symmetric Bi-multipliers on  $d$ -algebras." *Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 2 (2015): 22-24. doi: 10.12691/jmsa-3-2-1.

## 1. Introduction

Imai and Iski introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras [2] and [3]. The class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. It is known that the notion of BCI-algebras is a generalization of BCK-algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [5] introduced the class of  $d$ -algebras which is a generalization of BCK-algebras, and investigated relations between  $d$ -algebras and BCK-algebras.

A partial multiplier on a commutative semigroup  $(A, \cdot)$  has been introduced in [4] as a function  $F$  from a nonvoid subset  $DF$  of  $A$  into  $A$  such that  $F(x) \cdot y = x \cdot F(y)$  for all  $x, y \in DF$ . The notion of multipliers on lattices was introduced and studied by [6,7] and it was generalized to the partial multipliers on partially ordered sets in [8,9]. Muhammad Anwar Chaudhry and Faisal Ali defined the notion of multipliers on  $d$ -algebras in [1].

In this paper the notion of symmetric bi-multipliers in  $d$ -algebras are given and properties of these multipliers are researched. Also, kernels and set of fixed points of a  $d$ -algebra are characterized by symmetric bi-multipliers.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [5] A  $d$ -algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation denoted by  $*$  satisfying the following axioms for all  $x, y \in X$  :

- (I)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (II)  $0 * x = 0$ ,
- (III)  $x * y = 0$ , and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [5] Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of a  $d$ -algebra  $X$ , then  $S$  is called subalgebra of  $X$  if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $I$  be a subset of  $X$ , then  $I$  is called an ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $0 \in I$

- (2)  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x \in I$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $I$  be a non-empty subset of  $X$ , then  $I$  is called a  $d$ -ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x \in I$  and
- (2)  $x \in I$  and  $y \in X$  imply  $x * y \in I$ . From condition (2) it is obvious that for  $x \in I \subseteq X$ ;  $0 = x * x \in I$ .

## 3. Symmetric Bi-multipliers on $d$ -algebras

The following Definition introduces the notion of symmetric bi-multiplier for a  $d$ -algebra. In what follows, let  $X$  denote a  $d$ -algebra unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra. A mapping  $f(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow X$  is called symmetric if  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and let  $f(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a symmetric mapping. We call  $f$  a symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$  if it satisfies;

$$f(x, y * z) = f(x, y) * z \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b\}$ , and with the binary operation  $*$  defined by :

$*$	$0$	$a$	$b$
$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$
$a$	$a$	$0$	$0$
$b$	$b$	$a$	$0$

Then  $X$  is a  $d$ -algebra.

The mapping  $f(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } x = y = b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can see that  $f$  is a symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ .

**Remark 3.1.** If  $X$  is a  $d$ -algebra with a binary operation  $*$ , then we can define a binary operation  $\leq$  on  $X$  by;

$x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . Then the followings hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$  :

- i)  $f(0,0) = 0$ ,
- ii)  $f(0,x) \leq x$ ,
- iii) If  $x \leq y$  then  $f(0,x) \leq y$ .

*Proof:* Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ .

i) By using the definition of symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$  and (I) we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} f(0,0) &= f(0,0 * f(0,0)) \\ &= f(0,0) * f(0,0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f(0,0) = 0$ .

ii) By i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(0,0) = f(0, x * x) \\ &= f(0, x) * x \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $f(0, x) * x = 0$  and hence  $f(0, x) \leq x$ .

iii) Let  $x, y$  be elements in  $X$  and  $x \leq y$ .

$$0 = f(0,0) = f(0, x * y) = f(0, x) * y$$

Therefore, we get  $0 = f(0, x) * y$  and hence  $f(0, x) \leq y$ .

**Definition 3.4.** [1] A  $d$ -algebra  $X$  is said to be positive implicative if

$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Let  $S(X)$  be the collection of all symmetric bi-multipliers on  $X$ . It is clear that  $O(.,.): X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $O(x, y) = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  and  $P(.,.): X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $P(x, y) = x$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  are in  $S(X)$ . Therefore,  $S(X)$  is not empty.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a positive implicative  $d$ -algebra and  $S(X)$  be the collection of all symmetric bi-multipliers on  $X$ . We define a binary operation  $*$  on  $S(X)$  by

$$(f * g)_{x,y} = f(x, y) * g(x, y)$$

$(x, y) \in X \times X$  and  $f, g \in S(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $X$  be a positive implicative  $d$ -algebra. Then  $(S(X), *, 0)$  is a positive implicative  $d$ -algebra.

*Proof:* Let  $X$  be a positive implicative  $d$ -algebra and let  $g, f \in S(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (g * f)_{(x,y)*(z,t)} &= (g * f)_{(x,z)*(y,t)} \\ &= (g(x * z, y * t)) * (f(x * z, y * t)) \\ &= (g(x * z, y) * t) * (f(x * z, y) * t) \\ &= (g(x * z, y)) * (f(x * z, y)) * t \\ &= (g * f)_{(x * z, y)} * t \end{aligned}$$

So,  $g * f \in S(X)$ .

Let  $f \in S(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (O * f)_{(x,y)} &= O(x, y) * f(x, y) = 0 * f(x, y) \\ &= 0 = O(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . So  $O * f = O$  for all  $f \in S(X)$ .

Now let  $f \in S(X)$ , we have

$$(f * f_{(x,y)}) = f(x, y) * f(x, y) = 0 = O(x, y)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . So  $f * f = O$ .

Let  $f, g \in S(X)$  such that  $f * g = O$  and  $g * f = O$ . This implies that  $(f * g)_{(x,y)} = 0$  and  $(g * f)_{(x,y)} = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . That is  $f_{(x,y)} * g_{(x,y)} = 0$  and  $g_{(x,y)} * f_{(x,y)} = 0$  which implies that  $f_{(x,y)} = g_{(x,y)}$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Thus  $f = g$ . Hence  $S(X)$  is a  $d$ -algebra.

Now we need to show that it is positive implicative. Let  $f, g, h \in S(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((f * g) * h)_{(x,y)} &= (f * g)_{(x,y)} * h(x, y) \\ &= (f(x, y) * g(x, y)) * h(x, y) \\ &= (f(x, y) * h(x, y)) * (g(x, y) * h(x, y)) \\ &= ((f * h)_{(x,y)}) * ((g * h)_{(x,y)}) \\ &= ((f * h) * (g * h))_{(x,y)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Hence  $(f * g) * h = (f * h) * (g * h)$  for all  $f, g, h \in S(X)$ . Therefore  $S(X)$  is an implicative  $d$ -algebra.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $f$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . We define  $Ker(f)$  by

$$Ker(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(0, x) = 0\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . Then  $Ker(f)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

*Proof:* Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in Ker(f)$ . Then we have  $f(0, x) = 0$  and  $f(0, y) = 0$ . So  $f(0, x * y) = f(0, x) * y = 0 * y = 0$ . Thus  $x * y \in Ker(f)$ . Therefore,  $Ker(f)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.9.** [1] A  $d$ -algebra  $X$  is called commutative if  $x * (x * y) = y * (x * y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $X$  be a commutative  $d$ -algebra satisfying  $x * 0 = 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . If  $x \in Ker(f)$  and  $y \leq x$  then  $y \in Ker(f)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $x \in Ker(f)$  and  $y \leq x$ . Then we have  $f(0, x) = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$ . And then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(0, y) &= f(0, y * 0) = f(0, y * (y * x)) \\
 &= f(0, x * (x * y)) \\
 &= f(0, x) * (x * y) \\
 &= 0 * (x * y) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$ .

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . Then the set

$$\text{Fix}(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(0, x) = x\}$$

for all  $x \in X$  is called the set of fixed points of  $f$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ . Then  $\text{Fix}(f)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

*Proof:* Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -algebra and  $f$  be the symmetric bi-multiplier on  $X$ .

Since  $f(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $\text{Fix}(f)$  is non-empty. Let  $x, y \in \text{Fix}(f)$ . Then we have  $f(0, x) = x$ ,  $f(0, y) = y$ . Then

$$f(0, x * y) = f(0, x) * y = x * y$$

Therefore,  $x * y \in \text{Fix}(f)$ . Hence,  $\text{Fix}(f)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors are highly grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for the paper.

## References

- [1] M. A. Chaudhry, and F. Ali, Multipliers in  $d$ -Algebras, World Applied Sciences Journal 18 (11):1649-1653, 2012.
- [2] K. Iski, On BCI-algebras Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), pp. 125-130.
- [3] K. Iski, S. Tanaka An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica, 23 (1978), pp. 126.
- [4] R. LARSEN, An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [5] J. Neggers, and Kim H.S. On  $d$ -Algebras, Math. Slovaca, Co., 49 (1999), 19-26.
- [6] G. SZASZ Derivations of Lattices, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 37 (1975), 149-154.
- [7] G. SZASZ Translationen der Verbands, Acta Fac. Rer. Nat. Univ. Comeniana 5 (1961), 53-57.
- [8] A. SZAZ, Partial Multipliers on Partially Ordered Sets, Novi Sad J. Math. 32(1) (2002), 25-45.
- [9] A. SZAZ AND J. TURI, Characterizations of Injective Multipliers on Partially Ordered Sets, Studia Univ. "BABE-BOLYAI" Mathematica XLVII(1) (2002), 105-118.