# Learning to Discover Social Circles in Ego Networks

Julian McAuley, Jure Leskovec Stanford University

#### Abstract

Our personal social networks are big and cluttered, and currently there is no good way to organize them. Social networking sites allow users to manually categorize their friends into **social circles** (e.g. 'circles' on Google+, and 'lists' on Facebook and Twitter), however they are laborious to construct and must be updated whenever a user's network grows. We define a novel machine learning task of identifying users' social circles. We pose the problem as a node clustering problem on a user's egonetwork, a network of connections between her friends.

"Knows your circles better than you do!" — Wired

### Properties of circles

Our goal is to automatically detect **circles** using **profile** and **network** information. We develop a model of circles with the following properties:


- Circles form around nodes with common properties.
- Different circles are formed by different properties, e.g. one circle might be formed by family members, and another by students who attended the same university.
- Circles can overlap, and 'stronger' circles form within 'weaker' ones, e.g. a circle of friends from the same degree program may form within a circle from the same university.
- We leverage both profile information and network structure in order to identify circles.


#### Model

Our model predicts hard memberships to multiple, overlapping circles, using both profile and network information.


$$p((x,y) \in E) \propto \exp \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{C_k \supseteq \{x,y\}} \langle \phi(x,y), \theta_k \rangle}_{C_k \supseteq \{x,y\}} - \underbrace{\sum_{C_k \not\supseteq \{x,y\}} \alpha_k \langle \phi(x,y), \theta_k \rangle}_{\text{all other circles}} \right\}$$

Training is done by maximum likelihood, using QPBO and L-BFGS.





Blue = true positive; gray = true negative; red = false positive; yellow = false negative; green = detected circles for which we have no groundtruth.



#### Data


We collect data from Facebook, Google+, and Twitter

	ego-networks	circles	nodes	edges
Facebook	10	193	4,039	88,234
Google+	133	479	107,614	13,673,453
Twitter	1,000	4,869	81,306	1,768,149

All data are available on snap.stanford.edu/data/

"Results are decent"

- MIT Technology Review



## Bibliography

McAuley, J., and Leskovec, J. 2012. Discovering Social Circles in Ego Networks. In *arXiv:1210.8182* 

Streich, A., Frank, M., Basin, D., and Buhmann, J. 2009. Multi-assignment clustering for boolean data. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*.

YOSHIDA, T. 2010. Toward finding hidden communities based on user profiles. In *ICDM Workshops*.

BALASUBRAMANYAN, R. AND COHEN, W. 2011. Block-LDA: Jointly modeling entity-annotated text and entity-entity links. In *SIAM International Conference on Data Mining*.

ROTHER, C., KOLMOGOROV, V., LEMPITSKY, V., AND SZUM-MER, M. 2007. Optimizing binary MRFs via extended roof duality. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*.