



Review

Amyloidosis in autoinflammatory syndromes

Laura Obici ^a, Giampaolo Merlini ^{a,b,*}^a Amyloidosis Research and Treatment Centre, Biotechnology Research Laboratories, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Italy^b Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Available online 2 August 2012

Keywords:

AA amyloidosis
 Serum amyloid A
 Inflammation
 Nephropathy
 Proteinuria

ABSTRACT

AA amyloidosis may still dramatically impact on the outcome of patients with autoinflammatory diseases, particularly when diagnosis is delayed. Clinicians should maintain a high level of attention to identify early this severe complication. Initial signs mostly reflect kidney damage, with proteinuria, with or without renal failure, being the more frequent presenting feature. If SAA levels are not rapidly normalized, progression toward end-stage kidney disease and dialysis invariably occurs. Over time, multiple organ failure, including heart, autonomic and adrenal insufficiency usually complicates the disease course. Limited tools are still available to predict the occurrence of AA, therefore close monitoring of at risk patients is required to detect promptly the “early red flags” through periodic search for preclinical amyloid deposits and regular assessment of proteinuria and SAA concentration. Effective control of the underlying inflammatory process may halt disease progression and even reverse damage. Anti-cytokine agents are becoming the mainstay of therapy to prevent and treat AA, including patients with FMF that do not respond or do not tolerate adequate colchicine dosages. Renal transplantation can be considered in selected patients progressing to end-stage kidney disease. Novel treatments are under development, targeting key molecular events in the fibrillogenesis process.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	14
2. Who is at higher risk of developing AA?	15
3. Monitoring development of AA	15
4. Managing AA amyloidosis in patients with autoinflammatory syndromes	15
5. Novel treatments targeting different key pathophysiological events in AA amyloidogenesis	16
6. Conclusions	16
Take-home messages	16
Acknowledgments	16
References	16

1. Introduction

Systemic AA amyloidosis may complicate the course of hereditary autoinflammatory syndromes in a variable but significant percentage of patients. This potentially life-threatening disease results from the extracellular deposition of proteolytic cleavage products of the acute phase reactant serum amyloid A (SAA) as insoluble cross- β -sheet fibrils. AA amyloid fibrils first accumulate in the spleen, the kidneys and the liver. In untreated patients, the peripheral and autonomic

nervous systems, the testis, the thyroid, the adrenal glands and the heart almost invariably become involved over time. The clinical presentation at onset mostly consists of signs and symptoms of kidney damage, including glomerular proteinuria of 0.5 g/day or more, nephrotic syndrome and/or progressive loss of renal function. In some patients a marked splenomegaly occurs in the early phases of the disease. The main prognostic factor affecting progression to end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) and survival is the concentration of the amyloidogenic precursor SAA [1].

SAA is an apolipoprotein of high-density lipoproteins that circulates in plasma at a concentration of 1–3 mg/L in physiological conditions, but may rise by one-hundred to one-thousand fold following an inflammatory stimulation. Sustained SAA levels are a prerequisite for

* Corresponding author at: Amyloidosis Research and Treatment Centre, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Viale Golgi, 19-27100 Pavia, Italy.
 E-mail address: gmerlini@smatteo.pv.it (G. Merlini).

amyloid fibrillogenesis, promoting a cascade of pathophysiological events that include protein misfolding, proteolysis and aggregation into highly ordered amyloid fibrils [2]. Tissue glycosaminoglycans are well known to accelerate the formation and local deposition of AA fibrils [3], making these molecules potential targets for novel therapeutic approaches [4].

Hereditary autoinflammatory diseases are characterized by a vigorous increase in SAA levels during inflammatory bouts, which often persist also in between attacks. Early recognition and effective treatment of any of these conditions are therefore pivotal for preventing the occurrence of AA amyloidosis. Once it occurs, AA may still dramatically impact on the quality of life and prognosis of patients with autoinflammatory syndromes [5]. However, prompt anti-inflammatory treatment combined with a tailored supportive therapy may reverse organ damage at an early stage or halt progression toward renal failure.

2. Who is at higher risk of developing AA?

The prevalence of AA amyloidosis varies among the different autoinflammatory diseases if patients are not treated (Table 1). Once an autoinflammatory disorder has been diagnosed, prevention of AA amyloidosis can be challenging in patients in whom the inflammatory response cannot be completely suppressed by standard treatment. Although only a subset of patients presenting with sustained SAA levels ultimately develops clinically overt AA amyloidosis, genetic and/or environmental factors that affect susceptibility are still largely unknown, making it difficult to estimate the individual risk and adapt treatment accordingly.

SAA1 genotype has been proved to significantly impact on the risk of development of AA in FMF [6], as well as other chronic arthritides [2]. In Caucasians, homozygosity for SAA1.1 is associated with a significant increase in AA risk. SAA1 alleles differ for two SNPs located in exon 3, that results in amino acid changes at positions 52 and 57 respectively. It has been proposed that the presence of an alanine residue at position 57 may confer to SAA1.1 an increased susceptibility to proteolysis by matrix metalloprotease 1 (MMP-1), promoting the release of N-terminal peptides and accounting for the higher amyloidogenicity of this isoform [7].

In FMF, arthritis and homozygosity for the M694V variant are additional independent risk factors for development of amyloidosis [6]. Moreover, family history of amyloidosis combined with consanguinity resulted in 6.04 fold increased risk of developing this complication [8]. Finally, a population-related variability in AA risk appears also to play a role. A seminal study in over 2000 FMF patients showed that country of recruitment, encompassing both ethnic background and environmental factors, was the leading risk factor for amyloid nephropathy, being superior to disease duration and MEFV genotype [9].

Table 1
Prevalence of AA amyloidosis in specific autoinflammatory diseases in the absence of treatment.

Disease	Prevalence of AA amyloidosis
FMF	~50% (pre-colchicine)
TRAPS	10–20%
HIDS	<10%
CAPS	
FCAS	<10%
MWS	~25%
NOMID	Unknown
PFAPA	None

FMF, familial Mediterranean fever; TRAPS, TNF receptor-associated periodic syndrome; HIDS, Hyper-IgD syndrome; CAPS, cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes; FCAS, familial cold autoinflammatory syndrome; MWS, Muckle-Wells syndrome; NOMID, neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease; PFAPA, periodic fever adenitis pharyngitis and aphthous stomatitis.

SAA1.1 homozygosity is consistently associated with a significantly higher risk of AA in patients with TRAPS (Obici et al., unpublished data). Overall, in our view, multiple evidence support assessment of SAA genotype in Caucasian patients with autoinflammatory diseases to guide treatment choices in respect to AA risk.

3. Monitoring development of AA

Subclinical amyloid deposits are known to anticipate clinically overt AA amyloidosis [2]. Although the progression from asymptomatic to clinically overt amyloid disease is yet unpredictable, periodic search for subclinical deposits might help to identify subjects at higher risk for kidney dysfunction [10]. Serial abdominal fat aspirates or duodenal biopsies are routinely performed in at risk patients in some centers [10,11]. SAP scintigraphy is similarly able to detect asymptomatic visceral amyloid deposits showing high sensitivity and specificity [12]. However, the availability of this technology is limited. Patients with a positive tissue biopsy or SAP scan without evidence of organ damage should be considered at higher risk. Close monitoring of SAA levels is thus recommended and treatment should be adapted to maintain SAA concentration within the reference range.

Together with a periodic search for subclinical amyloid deposits, attention should be paid every 4–6 months to the occurrence of proteinuria >0.5 g/day, renal failure, splenomegaly and/or an increase in alkaline phosphatase with or without liver enlargement. Additional signs that should raise a clinical suspicion of AA are a progressively enlarging thyroid, carpal tunnel syndrome and the occurrence of bowel abnormalities, with constipation usually preceding diarrhea.

4. Managing AA amyloidosis in patients with autoinflammatory syndromes

An autoinflammatory disease is still diagnosed in a significant number of patients after renal AA amyloidosis has occurred. If treatment is established before development of renal failure, damage can potentially be reversed and amyloid deposits eventually re-absorbed [1].

Treatment must aim at rapidly normalizing SAA levels, possibly into the lower reference range [1]. Renal outcome and survival are strictly dependent on the residual inflammatory activity, with concentrations persistently over 50 mg/L being associated with disease progression and worse prognosis. Close monitoring of circulating SAA concentration is therefore mandatory to detect even slight elevations that may still occur in the absence of symptoms. In patients with end-stage kidney disease undergoing dialysis, suppression of SAA levels is of utmost importance to prevent occurrence or progression of cardiac amyloidosis, autonomic dysfunction, adrenal insufficiency and potentially life-threatening gastrointestinal or bladder bleeding.

Treatment choice should be guided accordingly. Colchicine at a daily dose of 1.5–2 mg may control chronic inflammation and renal damage in most FMF patients with AA. However, colchicine may not be tolerated at recommended doses or renal failure may limit adequate treatment. Anakinra has been proved to be effective in halting disease progression in this context, without significant safety issues [13]. Recently, anti-TNF agents have also been successful in managing FMF and amyloidosis when spondylitis also coexists [14].

In patients with AA amyloidosis secondary to TRAPS, CAPS or mevalonate kinase deficiency, continuous anti-cytokine treatment should always be considered, even when the number and duration of inflammatory episodes are low, to obtain stable suppression of SAA levels [15]. Recently, treatment with biological agents has been associated with reduced progression to end-stage kidney disease and improved prognosis in patients with RA and amyloidosis, supporting similar benefit over non-biological treatments also in patients with autoinflammatory diseases [16].




Fig. 1. Pathogenesis of AA amyloidosis and emerging treatment approaches undergoing clinical trials. Modified from ref. [2].

Patients obtaining complete control of inflammation can be candidates to renal transplantation, showing a clinical outcome similar to patients with ESKD due to other causes [17]. In a recent French study, however, a significantly higher incidence of heart failure and infections in AA individuals was observed, with higher 5- and 10-year mortality compared to controls, although graft survival did not differ [18].

5. Novel treatments targeting different key pathophysiological events in AA amyloidogenesis

The progressive elucidation of the molecular mechanisms of amyloid formation and regression is opening the way to novel approaches for the treatment of systemic amyloidoses, particularly in patients with AA (Fig. 1). The interaction of SAA with glycosaminoglycans, which are known to promote misfolding and act as a scaffold for amyloid deposition, has become the first target for a novel therapeutic approach aiming at interfering with the process of fibrillogenesis. Eprodisate is a low-molecular weight, negatively charged, highly sulfonated molecule with a structure similar to heparan sulfate, which proved to reduce AA amyloid in mice [4]. A phase II/III randomized trial demonstrated that eprodisate reduces deterioration of renal function in patients with AA compared to placebo, independently from SAA concentration [4]. A confirmatory phase III trial is ongoing, with active recruitment in over 20 centers worldwide.

Immunotherapy with an anti-serum amyloid P component (SAP) antibody has been shown to target amyloid deposits in mice and to promote their re-absorption [19]. Such effect is observed following pretreatment with the drug CPHPC, which binds circulating SAP and removes it from plasma, favoring antibody recognition of SAP bound to fibrils. Clinical development of this treatment approach is ongoing and results are eagerly awaited.

Very recently, a novel small molecule named Systebryl has been shown to act as a potent anti-AA amyloid drug, both preventing and removing AA deposits in mice in which amyloid was induced by inflammatory stimulation. A phase I study is currently ongoing [20].

6. Conclusions

The increasing availability of drugs specifically inhibiting proinflammatory cytokines is likely to significantly reduce the rate

of AA amyloidosis in a near future. This, together with emerging therapies targeting key events of the fibrillogenesis process will certainly improve our ability to manage AA in patients with autoinflammatory disorders and other chronic inflammatory diseases. Presently, however, early diagnosis and optimal control of inflammation are the mainstays for successful treatment of this potentially life-threatening complication and frequent assessment of circulating levels of the amyloidogenic precursor SAA significantly contributes to guide therapy. However, control of heart and gastrointestinal complications in patients progressing to end-stage kidney disease remains difficult and accounts for the severe prognosis of this disease.

Take-home messages

- Early diagnosis of AA amyloidosis is important to prevent significant kidney damage.
- Progression to end-stage kidney disease is still associated with severe prognosis.
- Treatment should aim at rapidly normalizing SAA concentration.
- The normalization of SAA level halts disease progression and reverses organ damage.
- Close monitoring of SAA levels is strongly recommended to evaluate treatment efficacy.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by: Ricerca Finalizzata Malattie Rare, Italian Ministry of Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità (526D/63), and Ministry of Research and University (2007AESFX2_003); Grant N. 9965 from the Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro Special Program Molecular Clinical Oncology.

References

- [1] Lachmann HJ, Goodman HJB, Gilbertson JA, Gallimore JR, Sabin CA, Gillmore JD, et al. Natural history and outcome in systemic AA amyloidosis. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:2361–71.
- [2] Obici L, Raimondi S, Lavatelli F, Bellotti V, Merlini G. Susceptibility to AA amyloidosis in rheumatic diseases: a critical overview. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:1435–40.
- [3] Li JP, Galvis ML, Gong F, Zhang X, Zcharia E, Metzger S, et al. In vivo fragmentation of heparan sulphate by heparanase overexpression renders mice resistant to amyloid protein A amyloidosis. *PNAS* 2005;102:6473–7.

- [4] Dember LM, Hawkins PN, Hazenberg BP, Gorevic PD, Merlini G, Butrimiene I, et al. Eprodisate for the treatment of renal disease in AA amyloidosis. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:2349–60.
- [5] Akar S, Yuksel F, Tunca M, Soysal O, Solmaz D, Gerdan V, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever: risk factors, causes of death, and prognosis in the colchicine era. *Med (Baltimore)* 2012;91:131–6.
- [6] Gershoni-Baruch R, Brik R, Zacks N, Shinawi M, Lidar M, Livneh A. The contribution of genotypes at the MEFV and SAA1 loci to amyloidosis and disease severity in patients with familial Mediterranean fever. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:1149–55.
- [7] van der Hilst JCH, Yamada T, op den Camp HJM, van der Meer JWM, Drenth JPH, Simon A. Increased susceptibility of serum amyloid A 1.1 to degradation by MMP-1: potential explanation for higher risk of type AA amyloidosis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2008;47:1651–4.
- [8] Saatci U, Ozen S, Ozdemir S, Bakaloglu A, Besbas N, Topaloglu R, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever in children: report of a large series and discussion of the risk and prognostic factors of amyloidosis. *Eur J Pediatr* 1997;15:619–23.
- [9] Toutou I, Sarkisian T, Medlej-Hashim M, Tunca M, Livneh A, Cattan D, et al. Country as the primary risk factor for renal amyloidosis in Familial Mediterranean fever. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56:1706–12.
- [10] Van Gameren II, Hazenberg BPC, Bijzet J, van Rijswijk MH. Diagnostic accuracy of subcutaneous abdominal fat tissue aspiration for detecting systemic amyloidosis and its utility in clinical practice. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2015–21.
- [11] Kuroda T, Tanabe N, Kobayashi D, Wada Y, Murakami S, Nakano M, et al. Significant association between renal function and area of amyloid deposition in kidney biopsy specimens in reactive amyloidosis associated with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 2011, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00296-011-2148-8> [Epub ahead of print].
- [12] Hawkins PN. Serum amyloid P component scintigraphy for diagnosis and monitoring amyloidosis. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2002;11:649–55.
- [13] Stankovic Stojanovic K, Delmas Y, Urena Torres P, Peltier J, Pelle G, Jéru I, et al. Dramatic beneficial effect of interleukin-1 inhibitor treatment in patients with familial Mediterranean fever complicated with amyloidosis and renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011;27:1898–901.
- [14] Ozgocmen S, Akgul O. Anti-TNF agents in familial Mediterranean fever: report of three cases and review of the literature. *Mod Rheumatol* 2011;21:684–90.
- [15] Obici L, Meini A, Cattalini M, Chicca S, Galliani M, Donadei S, et al. Favourable and sustained response to anakinra in tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic syndrome with or without amyloidosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:1511–2.
- [16] Kuroda T, Tanabe N, Kobayashi D, Sato H, Wada Y, Murakami S, et al. Treatment with biological agents improves the outcome of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and amyloidosis. *J Rheumatol* 2012;39:1348–54.
- [17] Erdem E, Karatas A, Kaya C, Dilek M, Yakupoglu YK, Arik N, et al. Renal transplantation in patients with familial Mediterranean fever. *Clin Rheumatol* 2012;31:1183–6.
- [18] Kofman T, Grimbert P, Canoui-Poitrine F, Zuber J, Garrigue V, Mousson C, et al. Renal transplantation in patients with AA amyloidosis nephropathy: results from a French multicenter study. *Am J Transplant* 2011;11:2423–31.
- [19] Bodin K, Ellmerich S, Kahan MC, Tennent GA, Loesch A, Gilbertson JA, et al. Antibodies to human serum amyloid P component eliminate visceral amyloid deposits. *Nature* 2010;468:93–7.
- [20] Snow AD, Lake T, Esposito L, Hanson K, Hu Q, Cam J, et al. Marked removal and clearance of AA amyloid deposits in target organs (kidney, liver and spleen) by the small molecule Systebryl™ following oral administration: a potential breakthrough drug for the treatment of systemic AA amyloidosis (abstract) XIII International Symposium on Amyloidosis, Groningen, May 6–10, 2012.

Autoantibody profiling to follow evolution of lupus syndromes

Identification of patients who are in early stages of lupus is currently done through clinical evaluation and is not greatly facilitated by available diagnostic tests. Profiling for patient characteristics and antibody specificities that predict disease would enhance the ability of physicians to identify and treat early cases prior to onset of organ damaging illness. In a recent study, Olsen NJ, et al. (*Arthritis Res Ther* 2012;14:R174) recruit a group of 22 patients with 4 or fewer diagnostic criteria for lupus were studied for changes in clinical and autoantibody profiles after a mean follow up period of 2.4 years. An array with more than 80 autoantigens was used to profile immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin M (IgM) autoantibodies. Correlations with clinical disease progression were examined. It was found that 3 of the 22 patients (14%) added sufficient criteria during follow up to satisfy a diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or to acquire a diagnosis of SLE renal disease. Patients who progressed were all females and were younger than those who did not progress ($P=0.00054$). IgG but not IgM autoreactivity showed greater increases in the progressor group than in the non-progressor group ($P=0.047$). IgG specificities that were higher at baseline in progressors included proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), beta 2 microglobulin, C1q and hemocyanin ($P<0.019$). Progressors had significant increases in La/SSB and liver cytosol type 1 (LC1) IgG autoantibodies over the period of evaluation ($P[\text{less than or equal to}]0.0072$). A quantitative risk profile generated from baseline demographic and autoantibody variables yielded highly different scores for the progressor and non-progressor groups ($P=1.38 \times 10^{-7}$). In addition to demographic features, autoantibody profiles using an expanded array of specificities were correlated with the risk of progressive disease in patients with lupus. These findings suggest the feasibility of developing a simple diagnostic that could be applied by nonspecialists to screen for lupus and permit effective triage for specialty care.