Original Article (Pages: 4469-4478)

# The Rate of Addiction in Parents of Children with Congenital Heart Disease Compared with Healthy Children

Tahereh Boryri <sup>1</sup>, Noor Mohammad Noori <sup>2</sup>, \*Alireza Teimouri <sup>2</sup>, Fatemeh Sharafi <sup>3</sup>

### Abstract

### **Background**

Congenital heart diseases (CHD) are the most common congenital anomaly in children and also the leading cause of mortality from congenital anomalies. Various factors including smoking, drinking alcohol and addiction play role in development of congenital heart diseases. This study was conducted with the aim of investigation of the prevalence of addiction in parents of children with congenital heart disease compared with healthy children.

#### Materials and Methods

This was a case-control study conducted on 320 children with congenital heart disease aged 6 months to 16 years and 320 healthy children as control group. Children referring to Ali Asghar hospital or who were hospitalized in Imam Ali Hospital were included in the study and their demographic characteristics and their parents were collected. Data were analyzed using SPSS 20.

### Results

Average age of diseased and healthy children was  $4.08 \pm 4.11$  and  $3.59 \pm 2.36$ , respectively. The rate of addiction of father, mother and parents of children with congenital heart disease was higher than those of children in control group. The most common congenital heart disease was ventricular septal defect (VSD).

### Conclusion

In overall, this study showed addiction rate of parents in children with congenital heart disease was higher.

Key Words: Addiction, Children, Congenital heart disease, Iran, Parents.

\*Please cite this article as: Boryri T, Noori NM, Teimouri A, Sharafi F. The Rate of Addiction in Parents of Children with Congenital Heart Disease Compared with Healthy Children. Int J Pediatr 2017; 5(3): 4469-78. DOI: 10.22038/ijp.2017.21364.1793

### \*Corresponding Author:

Alireza Teimouri, M.Phil, PhD in Demography, Children and Adolescent Health Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran.

Email: Alirezateimouri260@gmail.com

Received date Dec.23, 2016; Accepted date: Jan. 22, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pregnancy Health Research Center, and School of Nursing and Midwifery, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Children and Adolescent Health Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran.

### 1- INTRODUCTION

Congenital Heart Diseases (CHDs) are the common and major diseases (1), included one third of congenital diseases (2). These diseases occur due to several (1).genetic reasons SO that environmental factors are contributed to development, and some other environmental causes are diabetes. maternal obesity, smoking and alcohol (3).

These diseases can also being a cause of growth delay in children (4); and they are the most highlighted set of top three causes of death in children (3). Therefore, to reduce the incidences of these diseases launches such as promotion in pregnancy care and improving maternal health are required (1). The highest prevalence of CHD reported in Asia (9.3 per 1,000 live births), while the observed prevalence are 8.2 and 6.9 in 1000 live births in European in North America countries, and respectively (2,5). In a retro-spective study has been shown that the prevalence of CHD was 8.9 to 11.2 in 1000 live births from 2004 to 2012 in northern areas of Iran (6). A few years back the prevalence was 12-30 in 1000 live births in Khuzestan province of the country, Iran, during 1998-Addiction is a chronic 2007 (7). behavioral disorder that observed with forced need to use opioids and inability to consumption control their accompanied with anxiety and withdrawal of the absence of consumption (8).

The prevalence of opioid addiction in pregnant women is 0.5% in Iran (9), and about 5 folds less than general women population in USA (10). About 37% of general American populations are smoking, when this percentage is 12% in pregnant women (11). Despite of efforts to reduce drug abuse, an increasing trend is observing in drug use and addiction, so that it has been became a major health problem in all societies. In fact, the main addiction and increasing addicted number is that opioids are easy

access (11). Using Cocaine alone or in combination with oth-er addictive substances can cause much impairment such as somatic, psychological and developmental fetus during pregnancy as well as the cardiovascular abnormalities (12).

Studies have shown that abusing nicotine during pregnancy even low-dose can cause an interfere in the process of embryo developmental (13), and smoking causes intrauterine fetal death at a ratio of one in five American pregnancies (11). Disorders due to opioid addiction have become a major health problem (14), and one of the worrying aspects is an influence on growth and development in the fetus of addicted mothers. For example, morphine is a substance with high potential to cross the placenta and affect tissues develop-pment especially cardiovascular disorders (15).

Maternal opioid consumption caused an increase in fetal and infant mortality, low birth weight and premature delivery (9). The majority of addicted mothers are in the reproductive age group (14), and these mothers are most vulnerable, because they frequently have non-planned pregn-ancies and adverse pregnancy that have many complications for the mothers embryos (16). A study to determine the relationship between opioid use and the incidence of CHD showed that parental addiction rate was 6.5% in children with CHD compared with 2% in control (17). chance of having congenital anomalies have been reported in children with addicted mothers than controls. Considering the high prevalence addiction in Iran, especially in Sistan and Baluchestan province, and with respect to the role of addiction in the incidence of congenital heart disease, this study aimed to investigate the prevalence of addiction in parents of children with CHD compared with healthy children.

### 2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2-1. Method

This case-control study was conducted 640 children with equal Sampling was randomly collected from those children with CHD that were diagnosed by echocardiography and from those that referred to the hospital for routine check-up. The samples collection was hospital base so that, both case and control participants were from Ali Asghar Abitaleb hospitals in and Ali Ibne Zahedan. Iran. Cases were matched randomly to children with non-drug-dependent mothers (controls). The participant's age ranged from 6 months to 16 years. Selected participants were asked to fill out an easy question sheet related to demographic information and information about their parents' drug possession.

## 2-2. Sampling method

Accordance with the following formulae the sample size calculated,

$$n = \left(\frac{r+1}{r}\right) \frac{(\overline{p})(1-\overline{p})(Z_{\beta} + Z_{\omega 2})^2}{(p_1 - p_2)^2}$$

Where:  $P_1$ = parents addiction prevalence with CHD children (6.5%).  $P_2$ = parents addiction prevalence with healthy children (2%).  $Z_{\alpha}$ = 1.96,  $Z_{\beta}$ = 0.84 and r=1:1. Applying the formulae with the mentioned values for the major parameters resulted 640 samples with equal ration in case and control.

### 2-3. Ethical consideration

The study as a MD thesis was approved by the institutional review board and research committee of the Zahedan University of Medical Science.

### 2-4. Statistical Analysis

SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used for analysis. Metric parameters were compared using independent t-test. Contingency coefficient testing was used for non-metric

parameters. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3- RESULTS

In the present study sex distribution of participants' accordance with case-control, addicted mother, fathers or parents have shown in the Table.1. The table showed that from 640 participants, 48.1% were boys. From those children with addicted mother, 45.2% were boys. From those children with addicted father, 49.2% were boys. From those children with addicted parents, 46.2% were boys. The sex distribution was similar in all mentioned factors (P>0.05). Participants' weight were similar in groups made of case-control, addicted mothers, fathers or parents (P>0.05). Same trends can be observed for age, gestation. But the birth weight of participant was different accordance with addicted mothers or parents classifications; so that for those who their mothers or parents were addicted, mean birth weight was lower  $(2.79\pm0.22 \text{ vs. } 2.71\pm0.12 \text{ in}$ both factors). These variations were significant (t= 4.095, P<0.001) and (t= 3.716, P<0.001) in addicted mothers or parents, respectively (Table.2).

**Table.3** showed the various relationships between case-control and addicted mothers, addicted fathers or addicted parents. In this table observed that groups of participant (case and controls), had a strong and significant relationship with addicted mothers (Contingency Coefficient = 0.174, P<0.000), fathers (contingency coefficient =0.230, P<0.0000 or parents (contingency coefficient =0.161, P<0.000).

**Table.4** showed the Congenital Heart Defects distribution in children accordance with their addicted mother, father or parents. From the table observed that most of the children with CHD had ventricular septal defect (VSD) (60%), when their mothers were addicted. The frequencies were followed by atrial septal defect (ASD) (11%) in these children.

Considering CHD children with addicted father, VSD was the major heart defect (63%) and followed by ADS (9%) and tetralogy of fallot (TF) (9%). The tabled

showed that CHD children with parents' addiction mostly had VSD (66%) and followed with the defect of ASD (9%).

**Table-1**: The Sex distribution of participants' accordance with case-control, addicted Mother, Fathers or Parents

Factors and their options			Gen	der	Total	Contingency Coefficient	P-value	
			Girls	Boys		Coefficient		
	Case	Number	164	156	320		0.114	
Groups	Case	Percent	51.3	48.8	100.0			
Groups	Control	Number	144	176	320	0.062		
	Control	Percent	45.0	55.0	100	0.002		
Total		Number	Number	332	640			
10181		Percent	Percent	51.9%	100			
	No	Number	289	309	598		0.698	
Mother addiction		Percent	48.3	51.7	100			
	Yes	Number	19	23	42	0.015		
	105	Percent	45.2	54.8	100	0.013		
Total		Number	Number	332	640			
Total		Percent	Percent	51.9	100			
	No	Number	277	300	577		0.856	
Father		Percent	48.0	52.0	100			
addiction	Yes	Number	31	32	63	0.007		
	105	Percent	49.2	50.8	100	0.007		
Total		Number	308	332	640			
		Percent	48.1	51.9	100			
	No	Number	290	311	601			
Parent addiction		percent	48.3	51.7	100			
	Yes	Number	18	21	39	0.01	0.799	
	1 68	Percent	46.2	53.8	100	0.01	0.799	
Total		Number	308	332	640			
		Percent	48.1	51.9	100			

**Table-2**: Independent t-test results for differences in case-control, Mother, Father and Parental addiction based on the factors of birth and at study time weight, gestational, mother and child's age and birth order

Variables	Groups	Mean	SD	t-test	P- value	
Weight (Ira)	Case	14.4859	10.19157	1 126	0.261	
Weight (kg)	Control	15.3400	8.95336	-1.126	0.261	
Child age (year)	Case	4.0727	4.16100	1.71	0.088	
Child age (year)	Control	3.6118	2.43226	1./1	0.088	
Mother age (year)	Case	28.075	24.560	1.69	0.092	
Wother age (year)	Control	25.728	3.53	1.09	0.092	
Gestational age (week)	Case	37.89	2.07	0.373	0.71	
Gestational age (week)	Control	37.8250	2.37159	0.373	0.71	
Birth weight (gr)	Case	2.7556	.19548	-3.43	< 0.001	
Bittii Weight (gr)	Control	2.8140	.23342	-3.43	<0.001	
Birth order	Case	2.7531	1.60475	5.587	< 0.001	
Birtir order	Control	2.1875	.83937	3.367	<0.001	
Variables	Mother addiction	Mean	SD	t-test	P-value	
W. 1. (1.)	No	14.9612	9.65649	0.40	0.622	
Weight (kg)	Yes	14.2262	8.74068	0.48	0.632	
	No	3.8212	3.36777	0.50	0.555	
Age	Yes	4.1429	4.03987	-0.59	0.555	
	No	37.8462	2.30208	0.5	0.617	
Gestational age (Week)	Yes	38.0238	.15430	-0.5	0.617	
<u> </u>	Yes	38.0238	.15430	4.005	0.001	
Birth weight(gr)	No	2.7903	.22145	4.095	< 0.001	
2 (0)	Yes	2.7071	.11769			
<b>5</b>	No	2.4599	1.27634	-0.761	0.447	
Birth order	Yes	2.6190	1.73841			
Variables	Father Addiction	Mean	SD	t-test	P-value	
Weight (kg)	No	15.0000	9.65953	0.694	0.488	
weight (kg)	Yes	14.1159	9.01036	0.094	0.466	
	No	3.8086	3.32312			
Age (year)	Yes	4.1508	4.16750	-0.755	0.45	
	No	37.8406	2.34348			
Gestational age (Week)	Yes	38.0159	.12599	-0.593	0.553	
	No	2.7889	.22096			
Birth weight(gr)	Yes	2.7476	.17493	1.727	0.088	
	No	2.4437	1.25860			
Birth order	Yes	2.7143	1.70794	-1.558	0.12	
Variables	Parents' Addiction	Mean	SD	t-test	P-value	
W. '. L. (L.)	No	14.9181	9.65189	0.052	0.057	
Weight (kg)	Yes	14.8333	8.77638	0.053	0.957	
A	No	3.8054	3.36674	1.072	0.240	
Age	Yes	4.4103	4.07152	-1.073	0.248	
Contational and (W. 1)	No	37.8469	2.29634	0.406	0.627	
Gestational age (Week)	Yes	38.0256	.16013	-0.486	0.627	
Dist. C.L.( )	No	2.7897	.22109	2.71.62	.0.001	
Birth weight (gr)	Yes	2.7103	.12095	3.7162	< 0.001	
Diath and an	No	2.4676	1.27907	0.200	0.025	
Birth order	Yes	2.5128	1.74525	-0.209	0.835	

SD: Standard deviation.

Table-3: The Relationship between belonging groups and addicted mothers, addicted fathers or

addicted parents

Factors	Ontions	C	ase	Con	trol	Total			
ractors	Options	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	598 93.4 42 6.6 640 100. 001 577 90.2 63 9.8 640 100.	%		
Addicted	No	285	285 89.1% 313 97.8%		97.8%	598	93.4%		
Mothers	Yes	35	35 10.9% 7 2.2% 42		42	6.6%			
Total		320	100.0%	320 100.0%		640	100.0%		
Contin	Contingency Coefficient= 0.174 P < 0.001								
Addicted Fathers	No	266	83.1%	311	97.2%	577	90.2%		
Addicted Famers	Yes	54	16.9%	9	2.8%	63	9.8%		
Total		320	100.0%	320	100.0%	640	100.0%		
Conti	ngency Co	efficient=0.230	)	P <0.001					
Addicted Parents	No	288	90.0%	313	97.8%	601	93.9%		
Addicted Parents	Yes	32	10.0%	7	2.2%	39	6.1%		
Total	Total		320 100.0%		320 100.0%		100.0%		
Contingency Coefficient= 0.161 P<0.001									

**Table-4**: Congenital Heart Defects distribution in children accordance with their addicted mother, father or parents

Congenital Heart Defects	Mother Addiction			Father Addiction			Parents Addiction				Total			
		No		Yes		No		Yes		No		Yes	10	Hai
Defects	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
VSD	132	46	21	60	119	45	34	63	132	46	21	66	153	48
ASD	45	16	4	11	44	17	5	9	46	16	3	9	49	15
TF	22	8	2	6	19	7	5	9	22	8	2	6	24	8
PDA	34	12	2	6	34	13	2	4	35	12	1	3	36	11
ASD+VSD	7	2	1	3	7	3	1	2	8	3	0	0	8	3
VSD+PDA	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1
PS	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1
ASD+PDA	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1
VSD+PS	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1
ASD+VSD+PDA	1	0	2	6	1	0	2	4	1	0	2	6	3	1
PS	7	2	1	3	6	2	2	4	7	2	1	3	8	3
ASD+PS	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1
DORV	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1
TGA	7	2	0	0	6	2	1	2	7	2	0	0	7	2
MR	3	1	1	3	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	3	4	1
TR	4	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	4	1	0	0	4	1
TAPVC	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
CoA	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1
AS	4	1	1	3	4	2	1	2	4	1	1	3	5	2
Total	285	100	35	100	266	100	54	100	288	100	32	100	320	100
Cyanotic	33	12	2	6	29	11	6	11	33	11	2	6	35	11
A cyanotic	252	88	33	94	237	89	48	89	255	89	30	94	285	89

VSD: Ventricular Septal Defect; ASD: Atrial Septal Defect; TF: Tetralogy of Fallot; PDA: Patent Ductus Arteriosus; PS: Pulmonary stenosis; DORV: Double Outlet Right Ventricle; TGA: Transposition of Great Arteries; MR: Mitral Regurgitation; TR: Tricuspid Regurgitation; TAPVC: Total Anomalous Pulmonary Venus Connection; CoA: Coarctation of Aorta; AS: Aortic Stenosis.

### 4- DISCUSSION

In the present study more fathers were compared mothers. addicted prevalence of addiction in parents was higher in CHD children. From the results, observed that most of the children with CHD had VSD and then ASD. Considering CHD children with addicted father, VSD was the major heart defect and followed by ADS. CHDs are the most common congenital anomalies in infants and they are the leading causes of death. prevalence average of addiction was 4 in 1000 adults aged 15-64 years in Europe which one third of them are women in childbearing age (18). During the last decade the prevalence of opioid addiction has been increased from 0.19% in 2000 to 0.58% in 2009 in America (19). Addiction in pregnant may causes fetal and maternal complications that mothers affected by psychological and physical disorders included of depression, anxiety and other mood disorders, hepatitis C (50% of intravenous drug users), infected with HIV (1-4% of drug injection) complications, including and fetal insufficiency developmental placental disorders and tissue growth, preterm delivery and low birth weight newborns with low Apgar scores and more (20).

Opioids, particularly morphine as a main and effective material in opium is the most effective material that transferred in large amounts to the fetus through the placenta and accumulated in fetal tissues especially heart. Teratogenic effects of opium and its derivatives on cell proliferation have been proven in the first phase of pregnancy and probably have similar effects on other embryonic organs SO that the cardiovascular organs are the involved (21). On the other hand, several studies have shown the effects of drugs, especially opium on brain. Since heart and brain have a common embryonic origin in the cephalic fetal, and also separation of cushion endocardial cells from neural crest

cells, can be proved that there probably are opium teratogenic effects on the heart (22).

In view of the above mentioned documents and also taking into account the effects of opioids, especially opium on migration and cell proliferation in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy, as well as their influence on differentiation can prove demonstrate teratogenicity opium effect on the cardiovascular system (21). Given a rising prevalence of drug addiction in pregnant women and its consequences has been became this phenomenon a major health problem (20). According to the results of the present study numbers of patients children who their fathers were addicted were more than the number of patients' children who their mothers were addicted. Same trends observed in the control children.

These results were not in the same line with shahramian's results. Shahramian's reported that children with one addicted parent were lower than children who had both parents addicted (23). Saleh Gargari resulted that neonatal anomalies were considerably higher in neonates with addicted mothers than neonates with no addicted mothers. Many anomalies has been reported in neonates with addicted mothers such as clubfoot, micropenis, macrocephaly, cardiac anomalies, great tongue, limb anomalies, hypospadias and polydactyly (24).

The present study showed that the rate of congenital heart defects were more in children with addicted parents compared to their counterparts and as well revealed that the most rate of CHD was for children with fathers that consumed opium and followed by children who their mothers had this behavior disorder. Shahramian reported that rate of CHD was more in children with addicted mothers on eating and smoking opium (23). In CHD children, ventricular septal defects (VSD) were more frequent and followed by atrial septal defect (ASD).

These findings were consistent with Shahramian results, so that in their study the most common CHD was VSD.

Vucinovic demonstrated that in children with addicted mothers, the most common anomalies were ASD, Transposition of Great Arteries (TGA), and Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome (HLHS) (22). In the present study from all children with VSD, the majority had addicted father and more less had addicted mothers. From children with ASD, only a few had addicted mothers. From the present results revealed that the average weights of children with CHD were significantly lower than healthy children who indicate that CHD can lead to growth retardation and malnutrition.

Children with CHD are at risk of malnutrition due to inadequate energy, increase metabolism or both (25). Impaired in absorption can also play an important role in malnutrition in children with CHD.

A child with CHD goes to a reduction in receiving energy and increased in metabolic needs consequently and malnutrition and delayed in growth due increasing cardio-respiratory work, fatigue and loss of appetite, dyspnea, tachypnea, chronic hypoxia (26). It is shown that the developmental status of infants with CHD are strongly related to their growth, especially weight (27). In a study that conducted in Taiwan demonstrated that children with CHD in respect to height (52%) and weight (73%) had higher percent under the fifth percentile compared to control children (28). Vucinovic resulted that the risk of anomalies in children with addicted mothers was 4 times more than in children from non- addicted mothers (22), and Shahramian found this value as 10 times. The chance of this risk in our study approximately was similar to Saeeidi study.

### 4-1. Limitations of the study

Limitations of the study were retrospective design, as well as the case—

control setting. None the less, by matching for maternal age in case and control, ethnicity, smoking status and mode of conception, we ruled out known confounding factors that have been shown to influence fetal heart rate.

### 5- CONCLUSION

Findings of the present study showed that the drug abuse in parents of CHD children was more than parents with healthy children. Birth weight of children was different accordance with their parents' addiction status. Those who their parents were addicted, mean birth weight was lower. Ventricular septal defect was the major heart defect in children who their parents were addicted. Given the high prevalence of addiction in this region of Iran and taking into account the role of addiction in the incidence of congenital heart disease, by trying to reduce the rate of addicted parents, can reduce the rate of children with congenital heart disease.

# 6- CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

### 7- ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to pay their deep gratitude upon all parents and children for their honestly participation.

### 8- REFERENCES

- 1. Naghavi-Behzad M, Alizadeh M, Azami S, Foroughifar S, Ghasempour-Dabbaghi K, Karzad N, Ahadi HR, Naghavi-Behzad A. Risk factors of congenital heart diseases: A case-control study in Northwest Iran. Journal of cardiovascular and thoracic research 2013; 5(1):5.
- 2. van der Linde D, Konings EE, Slager MA, Witsenburg M, Helbing WA, Takkenberg JJ, Roos-Hesselink JW. Birth prevalence of congenital heart disease worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2011; 58(21):2241-47.

- 3. Hugh D. Allen, DavidJ. Driscoll, Robert E. Shaddy, Timothy F. Feltes. Heart Disease in Infants, Children, and Adolescents Volume 1. Seven Edition. Wolters Kluwer. 2008. p.530-35.
- 4. Mussatto KA, Hoffmann RG, Hoffman GM, Tweddell JS, Bear L, Cao Y, Brosig C. Risk and prevalence of developmental delay in young children with congenital heart disease. Pediatrics 2014; 133(3): e570-7.
- 5. Noori NM, Teimouri A, Boryri T, Risbaf Fakour S, Shahramian F. Incidence of Congenital Heart Diseases Anomalies in Newborns with Oral Clefts, Zahedan, Iran. International Journal of Pediatrics 2016; 4(9):3363-71.
- 6. Hossein MA, Kargar Maher MH, Afsharnia F, Dastgiri S. Prevalence of congenital anomalies: a community-based study in the Northwest of Iran. ISRN pediatrics 2014; 2014. Article ID 920940,
- 7. Rahim F, Ebadi A, Saki G, Remazani A. Prevalence of congenital heart disease in iran: a clinical study. J Med Sci. 2008; 8(6):547-2.
- 8. Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurocircuitry of addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology 2010; 35(1):217-38.
- 9. Saleh Gargari S1, Fallahian M, Haghighi L, Hosseinnezhad-Yazdi M, Dashti E, Dolan K. Maternal and neonatal complications of substance abuse in Iranian pregnant women. Acta Med Iran 2012; 50(6):411-6.
- 10. Jansson LM, Velez M, Harrow C. The opioid exposed newborn: assessment and pharmacologic management. Journal of opioid management 2009; 5(1):47.
- 11. Compton WM, Thomas YF, Stinson FS, Grant BF. Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV drug abuse and dependence in the United States: results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. Archives of general psychiatry 2007; 64(5):566-76.
- 12. Cain MA, Bornick P, Whiteman V. The maternal, fetal, and neonatal effects of

- cocaine exposure in pregnancy 2013; 56(1): 124-32.
- 13. Mizrak S, Turan V, Terek MC, Ercan G. The effect of long term nicotine exposure on nicotine addiction and fetal growth: Uzun surell nikotine maruzlyetin nikotin bagimliligina ve fetusun buyumesine etkisi. Journal of the Turkish German Gynecological Association 2012; 13(4):21-6.
- 14. Park EM, Meltzer-Brody S, Suzuki J. Evaluation and management of opioid dependence in pregnancy. Psychosomatics. 2012; 53(5):424-32.
- 15. Saberi Moghadam A, Sepehri G, Sheibani V, Haghpanah T, Divsalar K, Hajzadeh MA, Afarineshkhaki M. The effect of opium dependency of parent (s) on offspring's spatial learning & memory in adult male rats. Iranian journal of basic medical sciences 2013; 16(5):694-9.
- 16. Unger A, Metz V, Fischer G. Opioid dependent and pregnant: what are the best options for mothers and neonates? Obstet Gynecol Int. 2012; 2012:195954.
- 17. Broussard CS, Rasmussen SA, Reefhuis J, Friedman JM, Jann MW, Riehle-Colarusso T, Honein MA. Maternal treatment with opioid analgesics and risk for birth defects. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011; 204(4): 314: e1-11.
- 18. Wurst KE, Zedler BK, Joyce AR, Sasinowski M, Murrelle EL. A Swedish Population-based Study of Adverse Birth Outcomes among Pregnant Women Treated with Buprenorphine or Methadone: Preliminary Findings. Subst Abuse 2016; 10: 89-97.
- 19. Elizabeth E. Krans, MD, MSc, Gerald Cochran, PhD, Debra L. Bogen, MD. Caring for opioid dependent pregnant women: prenatal and postpartum care considerations 2015; 58(2): 370–79.
- 20. Viteri OA, Soto EE, Bahado-Singh RO, Christensen CW, Chauhan SP, Sibai BM. Fetal anomalies and long-term effects associated with substance abuse in pregnancy: a literature review. American journal of perinatology 2015; 32(05):405-16.

- 21. Witczak M, Ferenc T, Wilczynski J. Pathogenesis and genetics of neural tube defects. Ginekol Pol 2007; 78(12):981-85.
- 22. Vucinovic M, Roje D, Vučinović Z,Capkun V, Bucat M, Banović I. Maternal and Neonatal Effects of Substance Abuseduring Pregnancy: Our Ten-year Experience. Yonsei Med J 2008; 49(5):705-13.
- 23. Sahramian I, Noori MN, Moradi A, Forghani F, Sharafi E. The Rate of Addiction in Parents of Toddlers with Congenital Heart Disease. Int J High Risk Behav Addict 2013; 1(4): 154-8.
- 24. Gargari SS, Fallahian M, Haghighi L, Hosseinnezhad-Yazdi M, Dashti E, Dolan K. Maternal and neonatal complications of substance abuse in Iranian pregnant women. Acta Medica Iranica 2012; 50(6):411.

- 25. Vieria TC, Trigo M, Alonso RR, Ribeiro RH, Cardoso MR, Cardoso AC. Assessment of food intake in infants between 0 and 24 months with congenital heart disease. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 2007; 89(4): 219-24.
- 26. Birgul U, Kursad T, Gonca Y. Malnutrition and growth failure in cyanotic and acyanotic congenital heart disease with and without pulmonary hypertension. Arch Dis Child 1999; 81: 490-520.
- 27. Hirose Y, Ichida F, Oshima Y. Developmental status of young infants with congenital heart disease. Pediatric Int 2007; 49(4): 468-71.
- 28. Salzer HR, Haschke F, Wimmer M, Schilling R. Growth and nutritional intake of infants with congenital heart disease. Pediatric Cardio 1989; 10: 170 230.