

## **A Note On Testing Of Hypothesis**

Rajesh Singh  
School of Statistics, D. A.V.V., Indore (M.P.), India  
[rsinghstat@yahoo.co.in](mailto:rsinghstat@yahoo.co.in)

Jayant Singh  
Department of Statistics  
Rajasthan University, Jaipur, India  
[Jayantsingh47@rediffmail.com](mailto:Jayantsingh47@rediffmail.com)

Florentin Smarandache  
Chair of Department of Mathematics, University of New Mexico, Gallup, USA  
[fsmarandache@yahoo.com](mailto:fsmarandache@yahoo.com)

Abstract : In this paper problem of testing of hypothesis is discussed when the samples have been drawn from normal distribution. The study of hypothesis testing is also extended to Baye's set up.

Keywords : Hypothesis, level of significance, Baye's rule.

## 1. Introduction

Let the random variable (r.v.)  $X$  have a normal distribution  $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  is assumed to be known. The hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$  is to be tested. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from  $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$  population. Let  $\bar{X} (= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$  be the sample mean.

By Neyman – Pearson lemma the most powerful test rejects  $H_0$  at  $\alpha$  % level of significance,

if  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_0)}{\sigma} \geq d_\alpha$ , where  $d_\alpha$  is such that

$$\int_{d_\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz = \alpha$$

If the sample is such that  $H_0$  is rejected then will it imply that  $H_1$  will be accepted?

In general this will not be true for all values of  $\theta_1$ , but will be true for some specific value of  $\theta_1$  i.e., when  $\theta_1$  is at a specific distance from  $\theta_0$ .

$H_0$  is rejected if  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_0)}{\sigma} \geq d_\alpha$

$$\text{i.e. } \bar{X} \geq \theta_0 + d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (1)$$

Similarly the Most Powerful Test will accept  $H_1$  against  $H_0$

if  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_1)}{\sigma} \geq -d_\alpha$

$$\text{i.e. } \bar{X} \geq \theta_1 - d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (2)$$

Rejecting  $H_0$  will mean accepting  $H_1$

$$\text{if } (1) \Rightarrow (2)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \bar{X} \geq \theta_0 + d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \bar{X} \geq \theta_1 - d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \theta_1 - d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \theta_0 + d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (3)$$

Similarly accepting  $H_1$  will mean rejecting  $H_0$

$$\text{if } (2) \Rightarrow (1)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \theta_0 + d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \theta_1 - d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) we have

$$\theta_0 + d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \theta_1 - d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \theta_1 - \theta_0 = 2 d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Thus } d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_0}{2} \text{ and } \theta_1 = \theta_0 + 2 d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

$$\text{From (1) Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \bar{X} > \theta_0 + \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_0}{2} = \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2}$$

$$\text{and from (2) Accept } H_1 \text{ if } \bar{X} > \theta_1 - \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_0}{2} = \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2}$$

Thus rejecting  $H_0$  will mean accepting  $H_1$

$$\text{when } \bar{X} > \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2}.$$

From (5) this will be true only when  $\theta_1 = \theta_0 + 2 d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ . For other values of

$\theta_1 \neq \theta_0 + 2 d_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  rejecting  $H_0$  will not mean accepting  $H_1$ .

It is therefore, recommended that instead of testing  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1, \theta_1 > \theta_0$ , it is more appropriate to test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ . In this situation rejecting  $H_0$  will mean  $\theta > \theta_0$  and is not equal to some given value  $\theta_1$ .

But in Baye's setup rejecting  $H_0$  means accepting  $H_1$  whatever may be  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$ . In this set up the level of significance is not a preassigned constant, but depends on  $\theta_0$ ,  $\theta_1$ ,  $\sigma^2$  and n.

Consider (0,1) loss function and equal prior probabilities  $\frac{1}{2}$  for  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$ . The Baye's test rejects  $H_0$  (accept  $H_1$ )

$$\text{if } \bar{X} > \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2}$$

and accepts  $H_0$  (rejects  $H_1$ )

$$\text{if } \bar{X} < \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2}.$$

[See Rohatagi p.463, Example 2]

The level of significance is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{H_0} \left[ \bar{X} > \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2} \right] &= P_{H_0} \left[ \frac{(\bar{X} - \theta_0)\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} > \frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_0)\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma} \right] \\ &= 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}(\theta_1 - \theta_0)}{2\sigma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \Phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dZ.$$

Thus the level of significance depends on  $\theta_0$ ,  $\theta_1$ ,  $\sigma^2$  and n.

Acknowledgement : Author's are thankful to Prof. Jokhan Singh for suggesting this problem.

#### References

Lehmann, E.L. (1976) : Testing Statistical Hypotheses, Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.

Rohatagi, V.K. (1985) : An introduction to probability theory and mathematical statistics,  
Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.