

Missile Guidance and Pursuit: Kinematics, Dynamics and Control

There are three things which are too wonderful for me,
The way **of** an eagle in the air,
The way of a serpent on a rock,
The way of a **ship** in the midst of the **sea**.

Book of Proverbs

Armed with bows, using both the right hand and the **left** in hurling stones
and shooting arrows with the bow.

Book of Chronicles

DR. N.A. SHNEYDOR

Neryahu A. Shneydor was born in Jerusalem, then Palestine, now Israel, in 1932. His secondary education was interrupted by the 1948 Independence War and the siege of Jerusalem. He was then 16 years old and became a junior member of the Haganah (the semi-legal military organisation) and joined the minuscule Signal Group of the city. He manned a signal station which used Morse-key operated lamps and, in daytime, heliographs, most of the equipment being of World War I vintage. This may have aroused his interest in electrical engineering. He studied Electrical Engineering in 1950-54 at the Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, where he obtained his B.Sc. and Dipl. Ingénieur degrees. The latter was a relic from the time when Technion was under German influence: most of the founding professors being immigrants from Germany, some escapees from Nazism.

There followed four years of military service, mostly with the navy where he specialised in servo and in gunnery- and torpedo-fire control. Interest in these topics led to studies in control theory and the Master's degree. Dr Shneydor joined RAFAEL, Israel Armament Development Authority, in 1960. A few years before, it was a secret military unit called HEMED, Hebrew acronym for Science Corps. Among the early achievements of HEMED-RAFAEL were a radio-guided boat which became operational in 1955, and a sea-to-sea guided missile which evolved to the well-known Gabriel. Dr Shneydor joined the servo group of RAFAEL, which specialised in mechanisms for controlling antennas, gimbals, actuators and other devices. He later participated in the development of Shafrir and Python air-to-air missiles. For his contribution to the development of the former's guidance system, he was awarded the Security Prize, Israel's highest token of appreciation.

In 1972-75 RAFAEL enabled him to study for a Doctoral degree, again at the Haifa Technion, under the supervision of the late Professor George Zames. The thesis was in nonlinear feedback control theory. In 1970 he had started to lecture on guidance and navigation at the Technion Department of Aeronautical Engineering (now the Faculty of Aerospace Engineering) and later on nonlinear control at the Department of Electrical Engineering, as Adjunct Assistant Professor, being promoted to Adjunct Associate Professorship in 1979.

Since 1975 Dr Shneydor was occupied with research and development projects at RAFAEL. In 1982-87 and 1992-94 he was R&D Deputy Director of the Missile Division. During his professional activity he published many reports, papers and texts on guidance. Retired from RAFAEL, he continues lecturing on guidance and control and is a consulting engineer in these areas.

Missile Guidance and Pursuit

Kinematics, Dynamics and Control

N.A. Shneydor, B.Sc., Dipl.Ingénieur, M.Sc., Ph.D.

Israel Armament Development Authority

and

Senior Teaching Fellow

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Haifa, Israel



Horwood Publishing
Chichester

First published in 1998 by
HORWOOD PUBLISHING LIMITED
International Publishers
Coll House, Westergate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO20 6QL
England

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the permission of Horwood Publishing, International Publishers, Coll House, Westergate, Chichester, West Sussex, England

© N.A. Shneydor, 1998

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record of this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 1-898563-43-8

Printed in Great Britain by Martins Printing Group, Bodmin, Cornwall

Preface

Navigation has been with the human race from time immemorial. It is not surprising, therefore, that a very great number of books have been published on this ancient art. Guidance, on the other hand, has been first implemented, by building a remotely-guided unmanned boat for military purposes, in the beginning of this century. The technical literature on it is immense - articles, conference papers, reviews, bibliographies. However, surprisingly few books have been published that deal with guidance. If we do not count texts that are mainly descriptive, most of which appeared in the first decennary after World War II, we have less than half a dozen books in English. During my professional career as a research-and-development (R&D) engineer I also taught at a technical university and lectured for various industry and military audiences. I naturally used the existing texts, but gradually developed an approach which is different from theirs. Encouraged by colleagues and students, I eventually turned my lecture notes and transparencies into this book.

I believe this text differs from other ones in the field in several respects. Here are some of its key features.

- ★ Although it necessarily emphasizes military applications of guidance, i.e., guided weapons, it also pays attention to guidance in nature: some real, some anecdotic, some invented by recreational mathematicians.

- ★ This book does not purport to be a history. However, it does try to give credit to pioneering scientists and to early developments and inventions.

- ★ In the theory of guidance one often has to solve differential and other equations. Wherever practical I present an analytic solution rather than resort to numerical ones: very often, the analytic solution enables one to discover interesting properties which would otherwise be obscured by lines of code and numerical data. Furthermore, most of the engineers and scientists among the readers, especially the younger ones, could easily make their own computer code wherever they wish to deepen their quantitative understanding of a problem studied.

- ★ Geometrical rules and guidance laws are stated in three-dimensional vector terms as well as the usual planar ones, and several examples have to do with three-

dimensional guidance situations.

★ Many graphical illustrations are given of trajectories, launch zones and intercept zones, as well as of time histories of maneuver acceleration and other important variables. This should be of practical value for many readers.

This text is intended for people — students, engineers, analysts, physicists, programmers — involved or interested in any of the various aspects of guidance systems: use, development, design, manufacture, marketing, analysis, operational research. Mathematics at a first-year university level is the only prerequisite. However, for comprehending some portions of the text, acquaintance with feedback control theory would be helpful.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the director of the Ecole des Mines de Paris, France, Dr. Jacques B. Levy, who made it possible for me to spend a sabbatical at the institute, when most of the work on this text was done, and Prof. Jean Lévine of the Centre Automatique et Systèmes of the Ecole des Mines for his invaluable aid during various stages of the work. I also wish to thank Prof. Aviv Rosen, Dean of the Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, where I spent the last semester of the sabbatical. I was very fortunate to have the manuscript reviewed by several colleagues. The comments and suggestions made by Oded Golan and Ilan Rusnak of RAFAEL are gratefully acknowledged. I am especially indebted to Uri Reyhav, also of RAFAEL, who devoted many long hours of his time during his sabbatical in the United States to going over the manuscript while it was being written in France. Particular thanks are extended to Rachel Weissbrod and Sarah Segev of RAFAEL library at Leshem and to Dr. Guy Shaviv of the Technion for their patient aid. Finally, I express my gratitude and love for my wife Na'ama, without whose support and encouragement this undertaking would not be possible.

N. A. Shneydor

Haifa, Israel
February 4, 1998

Contents

Preface	v
Introduction	xiii
1 Terminology and Definitions	1
1.1 The Three Levels of the Guidance Process	1
1.1.1 Definitions	1
1.1.2 An Example	3
1.1.3 Scope of this Book	3
1.2 Terminology Related to Implementation	3
1.2.1 Definitions	3
1.2.2 Examples	4
1.3 Geometry and Kinematics	6
1.3.1 Basic Definitions and Notations	6
1.3.2 Kinematics of Planar Motion	7
1.4 References	8
2 Line-of-Sight Guidance	11
2.1 Background and Definitions	11
2.2 A Little History	12
2.3 Kinematics	14
2.3.1 Analysis of the Planar Case	14
2.3.2 Examples for the Planar Case	16
2.3.3 Remarks Concerning Practical Applications	23
2.3.4 Kinematics in 3-D Vector Terms	25
2.3.5 Kinematics of Modified LOS Guidance	29
2.4 Guidance Laws	31
2.4.1 Time-Domain Approach	33
2.4.2 Classical-Control Approach	36
2.4.3 Optimal-Control Approach	38

2.5	Mechanization of LOS Guidance	39
2.5.1	CLOS vs. Beam-Riding Guidance	39
2.5.2	On Tracking and Seekers	41
2.5.3	Mechanization in Practice	43
2.6	References	44
3	Pure Pursuit	47
3.1	Background and Definitions	47
3.2	Some of the Long History	48
3.3	Kinematics	49
3.3.1	The Planar Case, Nonmaneuvering T	49
3.3.2	The Planar Case, Maneuvering T	57
3.3.3	Other Interesting Planar Pursuits	58
3.3.4	Deviated Pure Pursuit	59
3.3.5	Examples	67
3.4	Guidance Laws for Pure Pursuit	69
3.4.1	Velocity Pursuit vs. Attitude Pursuit	70
3.4.2	A Simple Velocity-Pursuit Guidance Law	71
3.4.3	A Simple Attitude-Pursuit Guidance Law	73
3.5	On the Mechanization of Pursuit Guidance	74
3.6	References	74
4	Parallel Navigation	77
4.1	Background and Definitions	77
4.2	Kinematics of Planar Engagements	78
4.2.1	Nonmaneuvering Target	78
4.2.2	Maneuvering Target	82
4.2.3	Variable Speed	86
4.3	Nonplanar Engagements	87
4.3.1	Definitions	87
4.3.2	Three properties	87
4.3.3	Examples	88
4.4	Guidance Laws for Parallel Navigation	92
4.4.1	Proportional Navigation	92
4.4.2	A Non-Feedback Law	93
4.5	Rules Related to Parallel Navigation	95
4.5.1	Constant Aspect Navigation	95
4.5.2	Constant Projected Line	96
4.6	References	99

5	Proportional Navigation	101
5.1	Background and Definitions	101
5.2	A Little History	103
5.3	Kinematics of A Few Special Cases	104
5.3.1	Two Special Values of N	104
5.3.2	Stationary Target, Any N	104
5.3.3	$N = 2$, Nonstationary, Nonmaneuvering Target	105
5.4	Kinematics of PN, Approximative Approach	106
5.4.1	True PN (TPN)	107
5.4.2	Use of Range-Rate in TPN	109
5.4.3	Pure PN (PPN)	109
5.4.4	Some Results	112
5.5	Kinematics of PN, Exact Approach	113
5.5.1	TPN	114
5.5.2	PPN	116
5.5.3	TPN vs. PPN	117
5.6	PPN and TPN in 3-D Vector Terms	118
5.6.1	Definitions and Some Properties	118
5.6.2	An Example	119
5.7	Other Laws that Implement Parallel Navigation	121
5.7.1	Ideal PN	122
5.7.2	Prediction Guidance Law	123
5.7.3	Schoen's Laws	123
5.8	References	124
6	Mechanization of Proportional Navigation	129
6.1	Background	129
6.2	On the Structure of PN Systems	129
6.3	The Effects of Dynamics	131
6.3.1	Single-Lag Dynamics	132
6.3.2	Two-Lag Dynamics	134
6.3.3	Higher-Order Dynamics	135
6.3.4	The Stability Problem	135
6.3.5	Conclusions	140
6.4	Effects of Nonlinearities in the Guidance Loop	140
6.4.1	Variable Missile Speed	141
6.4.2	Saturation of Lateral Acceleration	144
6.4.3	Saturations at the Seeker	145
6.4.4	Radome Refraction Error	149
6.4.5	Imperfect Stabilization of the Seeker	155
6.5	Noise	156
6.5.1	Angular Noise	156

6.5.2	Glint Noise	158
6.5.3	Target Maneuver	158
6.5.4	Conclusions	159
6.5.5	Remark	160
6.6	References	160
7	Guidance Laws Related to Prop. Navigation	165
7.1	Background	165
7.2	PN Modified by Bias	166
7.2.1	Augmented PN (APN)	166
7.2.2	The Guidance-to-Collision Law	168
7.3	Guidance Laws for Low LOS Rates	170
7.3.1	Biased PN (BPN)	170
7.3.2	Dead-Space PN	171
7.4	Proportional Lead Guidance (PLG)	172
7.5	Guided Weapons with Strapdown Seekers	172
7.5.1	An Integral Form of PN	173
7.5.2	Dynamic Lead Guidance (DLG)	174
7.6	Mixed Guidance Laws	175
7.6.1	Mixed Guidance: PP and Parallel Navigation (or PN)	175
7.6.2	Mixed Guidance: LOS Guidance and Other Laws	176
7.6.3	Combining Midcourse Guidance and PN	177
7.7	References	178
8	Modern Guidance Laws	181
8.1	Background	181
8.2	Methodology	182
8.3	Principles of OCG, and Basic Examples	183
8.3.1	Guidance and Optimal Control	183
8.3.2	OCG Laws for a Maneuvering Target	185
8.3.3	Laws for Systems with 1st Order Dynamics	187
8.3.4	Laws for Systems with 2nd Order Dynamics	189
8.3.5	Laws for Systems with High-Order Dynamics	191
8.3.6	A Short Summary	192
8.4	A More General Approach to OCG	194
8.4.1	Definitions, and Statement of the Problem	194
8.4.2	The LQ Problem	195
8.4.3	On the Solution to the LQ Problem	196
8.4.4	Two Examples	197
8.5	Laws Based on LQG Theory	200
8.5.1	Background	200
8.5.2	The LQG Problem	201

8.6	On the Mechanization of OCG Laws	202
8.6.1	Control Acceleration	203
8.6.2	Control Dynamics	204
8.6.3	Radome Refraction Error	205
8.6.4	Estimating the Time-to-Go	205
8.6.5	Estimating the System State	206
8.7	Comparison with Other Guidance Laws	208
8.7.1	OCG and Proportional Navigation	208
8.7.2	OCG and Other Modern Laws	209
8.8	References	211
A	Equations of Motion	217
A.1	General	217
A.2	A Rotating FOC	219
A.3	Coplanar Vectors	220
A.4	Examples	221
B	Angular Transformations	225
C	A Few Concepts from Aerodynamics	229
C.1	Skid-to-turn (STT) Configuration	229
C.2	Bank-to-turn (BTT) Configuration	231
C.3	On Angle of Attack and Sideslip	231
C.4	Note	233
D	Derivations of Several Equations	235
D.1	The Graphs of the Kh Plane, Sec. 2.3.2	235
D.2	Derivation of (2.21)	237
D.3	Proofs for (3.8) and (3.9)	237
D.4	On the t_f -Isochrones of Sec. 3.3.1(c)	238
D.5	Definition of DPP (Sec. 3.3.4) in Vector Terms	239
D.6	A Proof for (4.11)	240
D.7	A Proof for Inequality (4.13)	240
D.8	Derivation of (4.15) of Sec. 4.2.2(a)	241
D.9	Derivation Of (4.34) and (4.35)	242
D.10	Vector Representation for Sec. 5.4.1	243
D.11	On Equivalent Noise Bandwidth	244
D.12	APN Law in Vector Terms	244
D.13	Derivation of (8.14)	245
D.14	References	245
	List of Symbols and Abbreviations	247
	Index	251

Introduction

According to the dictionary, ‘guidance’ is “the process for guiding the path of an object towards a given point, which in general may be moving.” If the given point, which we will call the *target*, is fixed, e.g., a sea port, or its path in the future is known with sufficient accuracy, e.g., planet Mars, then the process is usually called *navigation*. If the target moves in a way that is not quite predictable — for example, a prey escaping its predator, an aircraft evading ground-to-air missiles — then the process is *guidance* in its narrower sense, which is the sense we will give it in this text.

The guided object may be a vehicle (a car, a boat, a missile, a spacecraft), a robot or, in fact, a living being. The process of guidance is based on the position and the velocity of the target relative to the guided object. The participants in the guidance process are also referred to in the literature as the *evader* and the *pursuer*, respectively. In nature, the ways predators catch their prey and some insects rendezvous their mates are certainly guidance processes. In human history, it is said that seamen, especially those who exercised the ignoble art of sea piracy, practised the rule we now call ‘parallel navigation’ (the ‘navigation’ part of the term being of course a misnomer) or ‘collision course’. Mariners in general have known the inverse rule, which they apply in order to avoid collision at sea.

Modern, i.e., analytic, approach to guidance problems dates from the eighteenth century, when several mathematicians studied what we now call ‘pure pursuit’ or ‘hound and hare pursuit’. This pursuit follows a very straightforward *geometrical rule*: run (or fly, or sail, as the case may be) where you see your target. Both this simple rule and the aforementioned parallel-navigation are *two-point guidance* rules, called so because only the pursuer and the target are involved in their respective definitions.

A family of geometrical rules for *three-point guidance* exists as well; the name derives from the fact that a third, reference point is required for the statement of the rule. In the most basic three-point geometrical rule, the pursuer is required to be on the line between the reference point and the target. For obvious reasons, this type of guidance is called ‘line-of-sight guidance’.

Most of the applications for the theory of guidance are in weaponry. History for this kind of application begins in 1870, when Werner von Siemens submitted a proposal for "the destruction of enemy vessels by guided torpedos" to the Prussian ministry of war. Although not specifically said so by Siemens, the guidance of his proposed torpedo would have been of the line-of-sight type. We shall describe this proposal briefly later on; suffice it to mention now that by 1916 it had materialized into the first operational guided-weapon system in history.

The pure-pursuit rule was first applied to weapon systems in the early 1940's, during the second world war, when most of the basic relevant theory had in fact been known for two centuries and technical means for detecting targets and for controlling guided vehicles had been developed. Towards the end of the war, a more sophisticated type of two-point guidance, called 'proportional navigation' for historical reasons, was studied. The basic theory of proportional navigation (PN) was first formulated in the United States in 1943. Some steps towards implementing a variant of PN in missile systems were taken in 1944 or 1945 by German scientists, who presumably did not know that the theory had already been developed elsewhere. The vast majority of two-point guided weapon systems existing today utilize PN in one of its numerous variants. There are nonmilitary applications of PN, too; for example, in space travel, extraterrestrial landing, and robotics.

PN has its limitations, though. In particular one should mention sensitivity to noise and to maneuvers carried out by the evader when the pursuer is approaching it. (To 'maneuver' means here to make abrupt changes in the direction of motion, i.e., execute high-acceleration turns; in pilots' parlance, to 'jink', and in mariners' one, to 'zigzag'.) A family of so-called 'modern guidance laws' has been developing since the early 1960's that do not suffer from these limitations or suffer much less. These laws are based on several recently developed techniques, in particular optimal-control theory and optimal-estimation theory, hence the often used terms 'optimal-control guidance' or just 'optimal guidance'.

This family of laws can be regarded as the most recent stage of the evolution process that started with Siemens's proposal. It seems that in spite of the maturity of the theory and the availability of the necessary technology, mostly microelectronics and computer science, practical application is still somewhat rare, probably due to economical reasons. Needless to say, however, the secrecy that prevails over armament development issues makes up-to-date, reliable information inaccessible, and therefore statements on recent developments are uncertain.

This is about as far as we go in this book. The next evolutionary stage would probably consist of laws based on differential-game theory. Although papers regarding this approach to guidance have been appearing since the 1970's, it seems that it is not ripe enough for inclusion in an introductory text like the present one.

The very fast progress of guided weaponry in the past fifty years would not be possible without advances in many technologies. One should mention internal-

combustion engines, rocket motors, inertial instrumentation (especially gyroscopes), aeronautics, electronics (especially microelectronics and radar), electro-optics, and computer engineering. These and some other technological disciplines relevant to guidance are beyond the scope of this text, except where they have direct implications regarding its main topics. There are two reasons for this exclusion. Firstly, including even some of the relevant disciplines would have made the book much weightier than what the author had in mind; secondly, an abundant literature is available that deals with most of the said technologies.

This book regards guidance from the point of view of the pursuer, i.e., how to arrive at the target, or intercept it. The inverse problem, that of avoidance, is not dealt with. Guidance is treated from the viewpoints of kinematics, dynamics, and control. In other words, we study trajectories, zones of interception, required maneuver effort, launch envelopes, stability of the guidance process, and related topics. Furthermore, technical problems involved with implementation and mechanization are discussed when they may affect accuracy, energy expenditure, and structural limits, hence, finally, costs.

The book is organized as follows. Following Chapter 1, which presents basic definitions and terminology, Chapters 2-7 deal with what have come to be called the *classical guidance laws*, namely

- ★ Line-of-sight guidance (Chapter 2),
- ★ Pure pursuit (Chapter 3),
- ★ Parallel navigation (Chapter 4),
- ★ Proportional navigation (Chapters 5 and 6),
- ★ Several guidance laws related to proportional navigation (Chapter 7).

Chapter 8 is dedicated to optimal-control guidance.

REFERENCES

Ross Jr., Frank, *Guided Missiles: Rockets and Torpedos*, New York, Lathrop, Lee & Shepard, 1951.

Weyl, A. R., *Engins téléguidés*, Paris, Dunod, 1952; a translation of *Guided Missiles*, London, Temple Press, 1949.

Gatland, Kenneth W., *Development of the guided missile*, 2nd ed., London, Iliffe, 1954.

Benecke, Th. and A. W. Quick (eds.), *History of German Guided Missile Development*, AGARD First Guided Missile Seminar, Munich, April 1956.

Ordway, Frederick and Ronald C. Wakeford, *International Missile and Spacecraft Guide*, McGraw-Hill, 1960.

Clemow, J., *Missile Guidance*, London, Temple Press, 1962.

Smith, J. R. and A. L. Kay, *German Aircraft of the Second World War*, Putnam, 1972, pp. 645-712.

Spearman, M. Leroy, *Historical Development of Worldwide Guided Missiles*, NASA Technical Memorandum 85658, June 1983.

Benecke, Theodor et al., *Die Deutsche Luftfahrt—Flugkörper und Lenkraketen*, Koblenz, Bernard und Graefe, 1987.

Trenkle, Fritz, *Die Deutschen Funklenkverfahren bis 1945*, Heidelberg, Alfred Hüthig, 1987.