

Smarandache isotopy of second Smarandache Bol loops

Tèmítópé Gbóláhàn Jaíyéolá

Department of Mathematics, Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile Ife 220005, Nigeria

E-mail: jaiyeolatemitope@yahoo.com tjayeola@oauife.edu.ng

Abstract The pair (G_H, \cdot) is called a special loop if (G, \cdot) is a loop with an arbitrary subloop (H, \cdot) called its special subloop. A special loop (G_H, \cdot) is called a second Smarandache Bol loop ($S_{2nd}BL$) if and only if it obeys the second Smarandache Bol identity $(xs \cdot z)s = x(sz \cdot s)$ for all x, z in G and s in H . The popularly known and well studied class of loops called Bol loops fall into this class and so $S_{2nd}BLs$ generalize Bol loops. The Smarandache isotopy of $S_{2nd}BLs$ is introduced and studied for the first time. It is shown that every Smarandache isotope ($S - isotope$) of a special loop is Smarandache isomorphic ($S - isomorphic$) to a S -principal isotope of the special loop. It is established that every special loop that is S -isotopic to a $S_{2nd}BL$ is itself a $S_{2nd}BL$. A special loop is called a Smarandache G -special loop ($SGS - loop$) if and only if every special loop that is S -isotopic to it is S -isomorphic to it. A $S_{2nd}BL$ is shown to be a SGS -loop if and only if each element of its special subloop is a S_{1st} companion for a S_{1st} pseudo-automorphism of the $S_{2nd}BL$. The results in this work generalize the results on the isotopy of Bol loops as can be found in the Ph. D. thesis of D. A. Robinson.

Keywords Special loop, second Smarandache Bol loop, Smarandache principl isotope, Sm-arandache isotopy.

§1. Introduction

The study of the Smarandache concept in groupoids was initiated by W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy in [24]. In her book [22] and first paper [23] on Smarandache concept in loops, she defined a Smarandache loop ($S - loop$) as a loop with at least a subloop which forms a subgroup under the binary operation of the loop. The present author has contributed to the study of S -quasigroups and S -loops in [5]-[12] by introducing some new concepts immediately after the works of Muktibodh [15]-[16]. His recent monograph [14] gives inter-relationships and connections between and among the various Smarandache concepts and notions that have been developed in the aforementioned papers.

But in the quest of developing the concept of Smarandache quasigroups and loops into a theory of its own just as in quasigroups and loop theory (see [1]-[4], [17], [22]), there is the need to introduce identities for types and varieties of Smarandache quasigroups and loops. This led Jaíyéolá [13] to the introduction of second Smarandache Bol loop ($S_{2nd}BL$) described by the

second Smarandache Bol identity $(xs \cdot z)s = x(sz \cdot s)$ for all x, z in G and s in H where the pair (G_H, \cdot) is called a special loop if (G, \cdot) is a loop with an arbitrary subloop (H, \cdot) . For now, a Smarandache loop or Smarandache quasigroup will be called a first Smarandache loop (S_{1st} - loop) or first Smarandache quasigroup (S_{1st} - quasigroup).

Let L be a non-empty set. Define a binary operation (\cdot) on L : if $x \cdot y \in L$ for all $x, y \in L$, (L, \cdot) is called a groupoid. If the equations; $a \cdot x = b$ and $y \cdot a = b$ have unique solutions for x and y respectively, then (L, \cdot) is called a quasigroup. For each $x \in L$, the elements $x^\rho = xJ_\rho$, $x^\lambda = xJ_\lambda \in L$ such that $xx^\rho = e^\rho$ and $x^\lambda x = e^\lambda$ are called the right, left inverses of x respectively. Furthermore, if there exists a unique element $e = e_\rho = e_\lambda$ in L called the identity element such that for all x in L , $x \cdot e = e \cdot x = x$, (L, \cdot) is called a loop. We write xy instead of $x \cdot y$, and stipulate that \cdot has lower priority than juxtaposition among factors to be multiplied. For instance, $x \cdot yz$ stands for $x(yz)$. A loop is called a right Bol loop (Bol loop in short) if and only if it obeys the identity

$$(xy \cdot z)y = x(yz \cdot y).$$

This class of loops was the first to catch the attention of loop theorists and the first comprehensive study of this class of loops was carried out by Robinson [19].

The popularly known and well studied class of loops called Bol loops fall into the class of $S_{2nd}BLs$ and so $S_{2nd}BLs$ generalize Bol loops. The aim of this work is to introduce and study for the first time, the Smarandache isotopy of $S_{2nd}BLs$. It is shown that every Smarandache isotope (S-isotope) of a special loop is Smarandache isomorphic (S-isomorphic) to a S-principal isotope of the special loop. It is established that every special loop that is S-isotopic to a $S_{2nd}BL$ is itself a $S_{2nd}BL$. A $S_{2nd}BL$ is shown to be a Smarandache G-special loop if and only if each element of its special subloop is a S_{1st} companion for a S_{1st} pseudo-automorphism of the $S_{2nd}BL$. The results in this work generalize the results on the isotopy of Bol loops as can be found in the Ph. D. thesis of D. A. Robinson.

§2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. Let (G, \cdot) be a quasigroup with an arbitrary non-trivial subquasigroup (H, \cdot) . Then, (G_H, \cdot) is called a special quasigroup with special subquasigroup (H, \cdot) . If (G, \cdot) is a loop with an arbitrary non-trivial subloop (H, \cdot) . Then, (G_H, \cdot) is called a special loop with special subloop (H, \cdot) . If (H, \cdot) is of exponent 2, then (G_H, \cdot) is called a special loop of Smarandache exponent 2.

A special quasigroup (G_H, \cdot) is called a second Smarandache right Bol quasigroup (S_{2nd} -right Bol quasigroup) or simply a second Smarandache Bol quasigroup (S_{2nd} -Bol quasigroup) and abbreviated $S_{2nd}RBQ$ or $S_{2nd}BQ$ if and only if it obeys the second Smarandache Bol identity (S_{2nd} -Bol identity) i.e $S_{2nd}BI$

$$(xs \cdot z)s = x(sz \cdot s) \text{ for all } x, z \in G \text{ and } s \in H. \quad (1)$$

Hence, if (G_H, \cdot) is a special loop, and it obeys the $S_{2nd}BI$, it is called a second Smarandache Bol loop (S_{2nd} -Bol loop) and abbreviated $S_{2nd}BL$.

Remark 1. A Smarandache Bol loop (i.e a loop with at least a non-trivial subloop that is a Bol loop) will now be called a first Smarandache Bol loop (S_{1st} -Bol loop). It is easy to see that a S_{2nd} BL is a S_{1st} BL. But the converse is not generally true. So S_{2nd} BLs are particular types of S_{1st} BL. Their study can be used to generalise existing results in the theory of Bol loops by simply forcing H to be equal to G .

Definition 2. Let (G, \cdot) be a quasigroup (loop). It is called a right inverse property quasigroup (loop) [RIPQ (RIPL)] if and only if it obeys the right inverse property (RIP) $yx \cdot x^\rho = y$ for all $x, y \in G$. Similarly, it is called a left inverse property quasigroup (loop) [LIPQ (LIPL)] if and only if it obeys the left inverse property (LIP) $x^\lambda \cdot xy = y$ for all $x, y \in G$. Hence, it is called an inverse property quasigroup (loop) [IPQ (IPL)] if and only if it obeys both the RIP and LIP.

(G, \cdot) is called a right alternative property quasigroup (loop) [RAPQ (RAPL)] if and only if it obeys the right alternative property (RAP) $y \cdot xx = yx \cdot x$ for all $x, y \in G$. Similarly, it is called a left alternative property quasigroup (loop) [LAPQ (LAPL)] if and only if it obeys the left alternative property (LAP) $xx \cdot y = x \cdot xy$ for all $x, y \in G$. Hence, it is called an alternative property quasigroup (loop) [APQ (APL)] if and only if it obeys both the RAP and LAP.

The bijection $L_x : G \rightarrow G$ defined as $yL_x = x \cdot y$ for all $x, y \in G$ is called a left translation (multiplication) of G while the bijection $R_x : G \rightarrow G$ defined as $yR_x = y \cdot x$ for all $x, y \in G$ is called a right translation (multiplication) of G . Let

$$x \setminus y = yL_x^{-1} = y\mathbb{L}_x \quad \text{and} \quad x/y = xR_y^{-1} = x\mathbb{R}_y,$$

and note that

$$x \setminus y = z \iff x \cdot z = y \quad \text{and} \quad x/y = z \iff z \cdot y = x.$$

The operations \setminus and $/$ are called the left and right divisions respectively. We stipulate that $/$ and \setminus have higher priority than \cdot among factors to be multiplied. For instance, $x \cdot y/z$ and $x \cdot y \setminus z$ stand for $x(y/z)$ and $x \cdot (y \setminus z)$ respectively.

(G, \cdot) is said to be a right power alternative property loop (RPAPL) if and only if it obeys the right power alternative property (RPAP)

$$xy^n = \underbrace{(((xy)y)y) \cdots y}_{n\text{-times}} \text{ i.e. } R_{y^n} = R_y^n \text{ for all } x, y \in G \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The right nucleus of G denoted by $N_\rho(G, \cdot) = N_\rho(G) = \{a \in G : y \cdot xa = yx \cdot a \forall x, y \in G\}$.

Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special quasigroup (loop). It is called a second Smarandache right inverse property quasigroup (loop) [S_{2nd} RIPQ (S_{2nd} RIPL)] if and only if it obeys the second Smarandache right inverse property (S_{2nd} RIP) $ys \cdot s^\rho = y$ for all $y \in G$ and $s \in H$. Similarly, it is called a second Smarandache left inverse property quasigroup (loop) [S_{2nd} LIPQ (S_{2nd} LIPL)] if and only if it obeys the second Smarandache left inverse property (S_{2nd} LIP) $s^\lambda \cdot sy = y$ for all $y \in G$ and $s \in H$. Hence, it is called a second Smarandache inverse property quasigroup (loop) [S_{2nd} IPQ (S_{2nd} IPL)] if and only if it obeys both the S_{2nd} RIP and S_{2nd} LIP.

(G_H, \cdot) is called a third Smarandache right inverse property quasigroup (loop) [S_{3rd} RIPQ (S_{3rd} RIPL)] if and only if it obeys the third Smarandache right inverse property (S_{3rd} RIP) $sy \cdot y^\rho = s$ for all $y \in G$ and $s \in H$.

(G_H, \cdot) is called a second Smarandache right alternative property quasigroup (loop) [$S_{2nd}RA$ PQ($S_{2nd}RAPL$)] if and only if it obeys the second Smarandache right alternative property ($S_{2nd}RAP$) $y \cdot ss = ys \cdot s$ for all $y \in G$ and $s \in H$. Similarly, it is called a second Smarandache left alternative property quasigroup (loop) [$S_{2nd}LAPQ$ ($S_{2nd}LAPL$)] if and only if it obeys the second Smarandache left alternative property ($S_{2nd}LAP$) $ss \cdot y = s \cdot sy$ for all $y \in G$ and $s \in H$. Hence, it is called an second Smarandache alternative property quasigroup (loop) [$S_{2nd}APQ$ ($S_{2nd}APL$)] if and only if it obeys both the $S_{2nd}RAP$ and $S_{2nd}LAP$.

(G_H, \cdot) is said to be a Smarandache right power alternative property loop (SRPAPL) if and only if it obeys the Smarandache right power alternative property (SRPAP)

$$xs^n = \underbrace{(((xs)s)s)}_{n\text{-times}} s \cdots s \text{ i.e. } R_{s^n} = R_s^n \text{ for all } x \in G, s \in H \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Smarandache right nucleus of G_H denoted by $SN_\rho(G_H, \cdot) = SN_\rho(G_H) = N_\rho(G) \cap H$. G_H is called a Smarandache right nuclear square special loop if and only if $s^2 \in SN_\rho(G_H)$ for all $s \in H$.

Remark 2. A Smarandache; RIPQ or LIPQ or IPQ (i.e a loop with at least a non-trivial subquasigroup that is a RIPQ or LIPQ or IPQ) will now be called a first Smarandache; RIPQ or LIPQ or IPQ ($S_{1st}RIPQ$ or $S_{1st}LIPQ$ or $S_{1st}IPQ$). It is easy to see that a $S_{2nd}RIPQ$ or $S_{2nd}LIPQ$ or $S_{2nd}IPQ$ is a $S_{1st}RIPQ$ or $S_{1st}LIPQ$ or $S_{1st}IPQ$ respectively. But the converse is not generally true.

Definition 3. Let (G, \cdot) be a quasigroup (loop). The set $SYM(G, \cdot) = SYM(G)$ of all bijections in G forms a group called the permutation (symmetric) group of G . The triple (U, V, W) such that $U, V, W \in SYM(G, \cdot)$ is called an autotopism of G if and only if

$$xU \cdot yV = (x \cdot y)W \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

The group of autotopisms of G is denoted by $AUT(G, \cdot) = AUT(G)$.

Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special quasigroup (loop). The set $SSYM(G_H, \cdot) = SSYM(G_H)$ of all Smarandache bijections (S-bijections) in G_H i.e $A \in SYM(G_H)$ such that $A : H \rightarrow H$ forms a group called the Smarandache permutation (symmetric) group [S-permutation group] of G_H . The triple (U, V, W) such that $U, V, W \in SSYM(G_H, \cdot)$ is called a first Smarandache autotopism (S_{1st} autotopism) of G_H if and only if

$$xU \cdot yV = (x \cdot y)W \quad \forall x, y \in G_H.$$

If their set forms a group under componentwise multiplication, it is called the first Smarandache autotopism group (S_{1st} autotopism group) of G_H and is denoted by $S_{1st}AUT(G_H, \cdot) = S_{1st}AUT(G_H)$.

The triple (U, V, W) such that $U, W \in SYM(G, \cdot)$ and $V \in SSYM(G_H, \cdot)$ is called a second right Smarandache autotopism (S_{2nd} right autotopism) of G_H if and only if

$$xU \cdot sV = (x \cdot s)W \quad \forall x \in G \text{ and } s \in H.$$

If their set forms a group under componentwise multiplication, it is called the second right Smarandache autotopism group (S_{2nd} right autotopism group) of G_H and is denoted by $S_{2nd}RAUT(G_H, \cdot) = S_{2nd}RAUT(G_H)$.

The triple (U, V, W) such that $V, W \in SYM(G, \cdot)$ and $U \in SSYM(G_H, \cdot)$ is called a second left Smarandache autotopism (S_{2nd} left autotopism) of G_H if and only if

$$sU \cdot yV = (s \cdot y)W \quad \forall y \in G \text{ and } s \in H.$$

If their set forms a group under componentwise multiplication, it is called the second left Smarandache autotopism group (S_{2nd} left autotopism group) of G_H and is denoted by $S_{2nd} LAUT(G_H, \cdot) = S_{2nd} LAUT(G_H)$.

Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special quasigroup (loop) with identity element e . A mapping $T \in SSYM(G_H)$ is called a first Smarandache semi-automorphism (S_{1st} semi-automorphism) if and only if $eT = e$ and

$$(xy \cdot x)T = (xT \cdot yT)xT \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

A mapping $T \in SSYM(G_H)$ is called a second Smarandache semi-automorphism (S_{2nd} semi-automorphism) if and only if $eT = e$ and

$$(sy \cdot s)T = (sT \cdot yT)sT \text{ for all } y \in G \text{ and all } s \in H.$$

A special loop (G_H, \cdot) is called a first Smarandache semi-automorphic inverse property loop ($S_{1st} SAIPL$) if and only if J_ρ is a S_{1st} semi-automorphism.

A special loop (G_H, \cdot) is called a second Smarandache semi-automorphic inverse property loop ($S_{2nd} SAIPL$) if and only if J_ρ is a S_{2nd} semi-automorphism. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special quasigroup (loop). A mapping $A \in SSYM(G_H)$ is a

1. First Smarandache pseudo-automorphism (S_{1st} pseudo-automorphism) of G_H if and only if there exists a $c \in H$ such that $(A, AR_c, AR_c) \in S_{1st} AUT(G_H)$. c is referred to as the first Smarandache companion (S_{1st} companion) of A . The set of such A 's is denoted by $S_{1st} PAUT(G_H, \cdot) = S_{1st} PAUT(G_H)$.
2. Second right Smarandache pseudo-automorphism (S_{2nd} right pseudo-automorphism) of G_H if and only if there exists a $c \in H$ such that $(A, AR_c, AR_c) \in S_{2nd} RAUT(G_H)$. c is referred to as the second right Smarandache companion (S_{2nd} right companion) of A . The set of such A 's is denoted by $S_{2nd} RPAUT(G_H, \cdot) = S_{2nd} RPAUT(G_H)$.
3. Second left Smarandache pseudo-automorphism (S_{2nd} left pseudo-automorphism) of G_H if and only if there exists a $c \in H$ such that $(A, AR_c, AR_c) \in S_{2nd} LAUT(G_H)$. c is referred to as the second left Smarandache companion (S_{2nd} left companion) of A . The set of such A 's is denoted by $S_{2nd} LPAUT(G_H, \cdot) = S_{2nd} LPAUT(G_H)$.

Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special loop. A mapping $A \in SSYM(G_H)$ is a

1. First Smarandache automorphism (S_{1st} automorphism) of G_H if and only if $A \in S_{1st} PAUT(G_H)$ such that $c = e$. Their set is denoted by $S_{1st} AUM(G_H, \cdot) = S_{1st} AUM(G_H)$.
2. Second right Smarandache automorphism (S_{2nd} right automorphism) of G_H if and only if $A \in S_{2nd} RPAUT(G_H)$ such that $c = e$. Their set is denoted by $S_{2nd} RAUM(G_H, \cdot) = S_{2nd} RAUM(G_H)$.

3. Second left Smarandache automorphism ($S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ left automorphism) of G_H if and only if $A \in S_{2^{\text{nd}}}LPAUT(G_H)$ such that $c = e$. Their set is denoted by $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}LAUM(G_H, \cdot) = S_{2^{\text{nd}}}LAUM(G_H)$.

A special loop (G_H, \cdot) is called a first Smarandache automorphism inverse property loop ($S_{1^{\text{st}}}AIPL$) if and only if $(J_\rho, J_\rho, J_\rho) \in AUT(H, \cdot)$.

A special loop (G_H, \cdot) is called a second Smarandache right automorphic inverse property loop ($S_{2^{\text{nd}}}RAIPL$) if and only if J_ρ is a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ right automorphism.

A special loop (G_H, \cdot) is called a second Smarandache left automorphic inverse property loop ($S_{2^{\text{nd}}}LAIPL$) if and only if J_ρ is a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ left automorphism.

Definition 4. Let (G, \cdot) and (L, \circ) be quasigroups (loops). The triple (U, V, W) such that $U, V, W : G \rightarrow L$ are bijections is called an isotopism of G onto L if and only if

$$xU \circ yV = (x \cdot y)W \quad \forall x, y \in G. \quad (2)$$

Let (G_H, \cdot) and (L_M, \circ) be special groupoids. G_H and L_M are Smarandache isotopic (S-isotopic) [and we say (L_M, \circ) is a Smarandache isotope of (G_H, \cdot)] if and only if there exist bijections $U, V, W : H \rightarrow M$ such that the triple $(U, V, W) : (G_H, \cdot) \rightarrow (L_M, \circ)$ is an isotopism. In addition, if $U = V = W$, then (G_H, \cdot) and (L_M, \circ) are said to be Smarandache isomorphic (S-isomorphic) [and we say (L_M, \circ) is a Smarandache isomorph of (G_H, \cdot) and thus write $(G_H, \cdot) \simeq (L_M, \circ)$].

(G_H, \cdot) is called a Smarandache G-special loop (SGS-loop) if and only if every special loop that is S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) is S-isomorphic to (G_H, \cdot) .

Theorem 1. (Jaíyéolá [13]) Let the special loop (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ BL. Then it is both a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ RIPL and a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ RAPL.

Theorem 2. (Jaíyéolá [13]) Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special loop. (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}$ BL if and only if $(R_s^{-1}, L_s R_s, R_s) \in S_{1^{\text{st}}}AUT(G_H, \cdot)$.

§3. Main results

Lemma 1. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special quasigroup and let $s, t \in H$. For all $x, y \in G$, let

$$x \circ y = xR_t^{-1} \cdot yL_s^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Then, (G_H, \circ) is a special loop and so (G_H, \cdot) and (G_H, \circ) are S-isotopic.

Proof. It is easy to show that (G_H, \circ) is a quasigroup with a subquasigroup (H, \circ) since (G_H, \cdot) is a special quasigroup. So, (G_H, \circ) is a special quasigroup. It is also easy to see that $s \cdot t \in H$ is the identity element of (G_H, \circ) . Thus, (G_H, \circ) is a special loop. With $U = R_t$, $V = L_s$ and $W = I$, the triple $(U, V, W) : (G_H, \cdot) \rightarrow (G_H, \circ)$ is an S-isotopism.

Remark 3. (G_H, \circ) will be called a Smarandache principal isotopism (S-principal isotopism) of (G_H, \cdot) .

Theorem 3. If the special quasigroup (G_H, \cdot) and special loop (L_M, \circ) are S-isotopic, then (L_M, \circ) is S-isomorphic to a S-principal isotope of (G_H, \cdot) .

Proof. Let e be the identity element of the special loop (L_M, \circ) . Let U, V and W be 1-1 S-mappings of G_H onto L_M such that

$$xU \circ yV = (x \cdot y)W \quad \forall x, y \in G_H.$$

Let $t = eV^{-1}$ and $s = eU^{-1}$. Define $x * y$ for all $x, y \in G_H$ by

$$x * y = (xW \circ yW)W^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

From (2), with x and y replaced by xWU^{-1} and yWV^{-1} respectively, we get

$$(xW \circ yW)W^{-1} = xWU^{-1} \cdot yWV^{-1} \quad \forall x, y \in G_H. \quad (5)$$

In (5), with $x = eW^{-1}$, we get $WV^{-1} = L_s^{-1}$ and with $y = eW^{-1}$, we get $WU^{-1} = R_t^{-1}$. Hence, from (4) and (5),

$$x * y = xR_t^{-1} \cdot yL_s^{-1} \text{ and } (x * y)W = xW \circ yW \quad \forall x, y \in G_H.$$

That is, $(G_H, *)$ is a S-principal isotope of (G_H, \cdot) and is S-isomorphic to (L_M, \circ) .

Theorem 4. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIPL}$. Let $f, g \in H$ and let (G_H, \circ) be a S-principal isotope of (G_H, \cdot) . (G_H, \circ) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIPL}$ if and only if $\alpha(f, g) = (R_g, L_f R_g^{-1} L_{f,g}^{-1}, R_g^{-1}) \in S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RAUT}(G_H, \cdot)$ for all $f, g \in H$.

Proof. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a special loop that has the $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIP}$ and let $f, g \in H$. For all $x, y \in G$, define $x \circ y = xR_g^{-1} \cdot yL_f^{-1}$ as in (3). Recall that $f \cdot g$ is the identity in (G_H, \circ) , so $x \circ x\rho' = f \cdot g$ where $xJ'_\rho = x\rho'$ i.e the right identity element of x in (G_H, \circ) . Then, for all $x \in G$, $x \circ x\rho' = xR_g^{-1} \cdot xJ'_\rho L_f^{-1} = f \cdot g$ and by the $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIP}$ of (G_H, \cdot) , since $sR_g^{-1} \cdot sJ'_\rho L_f^{-1} = f \cdot g$ for all $s \in H$, then $sR_g^{-1} = (f \cdot g) \cdot (sJ'_\rho L_f^{-1})J_\rho$ because (H, \cdot) has the RIP . Thus,

$$sR_g^{-1} = sJ'_\rho L_f^{-1} J_\rho L_{f,g} \Rightarrow sJ'_\rho = sR_g^{-1} L_{f,g}^{-1} J_\rho L_f. \quad (6)$$

(G_H, \circ) has the $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIP}$ iff $(x \circ s) \circ sJ'_\rho = s$ for all $s \in H, x \in G_H$ iff $(xR_g^{-1} \cdot sL_f^{-1})R_g^{-1} \cdot sJ'_\rho L_f^{-1} = s$, for all $s \in H, x \in G_H$. Replace x by $x \cdot g$ and s by $f \cdot s$, then $(x \cdot s)R_g^{-1} \cdot (f \cdot s)J'_\rho L_f^{-1} = x \cdot g$ iff $(x \cdot s)R_g^{-1} = (x \cdot g) \cdot (f \cdot s)J'_\rho L_f^{-1} J_\rho$ for all $s \in H, x \in G_H$ since (G_H, \cdot) has the $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIP}$. Using (6),

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot s)R_g^{-1} &= xR_g \cdot (f \cdot s)R_g^{-1} L_{f,g}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow (x \cdot s)R_g^{-1} = xR_g \cdot sL_f R_g^{-1} L_{f,g}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \\ \alpha(f, g) &= (R_g, L_f R_g^{-1} L_{f,g}^{-1}, R_g^{-1}) \in S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RAUT}(G_H, \cdot) \text{ for all } f, g \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. If a special loop (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$, then any of its S-isotopes is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIPL}$.

Proof. By virtue of theorem 3, we need only to concern ourselves with the S-principal isotopes of (G_H, \cdot) . (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$ iff it obeys the $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BI}$ iff $(xs \cdot z)s = x(sz \cdot s)$ for all $x, z \in G$ and $s \in H$ iff $L_{xs}R_s = L_sR_sL_x$ for all $x \in G$ and $s \in H$ iff $R_s^{-1}L_{xs}^{-1} = L_x^{-1}R_s^{-1}L_s^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$ and $s \in H$ iff

$$R_s^{-1}L_s^{-1} = L_xR_s^{-1}L_{xs}^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in G \text{ and } s \in H. \quad (7)$$

Assume that (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$. Then, by theorem 2,

$$(R_s^{-1}, L_s R_s, R_s) \in S_{1\text{st}}\text{AUT}(G_H, \cdot) \Rightarrow (R_s^{-1}, L_s R_s, R_s) \in S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RAUT}(G_H, \cdot) \Rightarrow$$

$$(R_s^{-1}, L_s R_s, R_s)^{-1} = (R_s, R_s^{-1} L_s^{-1}, R_s^{-1}) \in S_{2\text{nd}} RAUT(G_H, \cdot).$$

By (7), $\alpha(x, s) = (R_s, L_x R_s^{-1} L_{xs}^{-1}, R_s^{-1}) \in S_{2\text{nd}} RAUT(G_H, \cdot)$ for all $f, g \in H$. But (G_H, \cdot) has the $S_{2\text{nd}}RIP$ by theorem 1. So, following theorem 4, all special loops that are S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) are $S_{2\text{nd}}RIPLs$.

Theorem 6. Suppose that each special loop that is S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}RIPL$, then the identities:

1. $(fg) \setminus f = (xg) \setminus x;$
2. $g \setminus (sg^{-1}) = (fg) \setminus [(fs)g^{-1}]$

are satisfied for all $f, g, s \in H$ and $x \in G$.

Proof. In particular, (G_H, \cdot) has the $S_{2\text{nd}}RIP$. Then by theorem 3, $\alpha(f, g) = (R_g, L_f R_g^{-1} L_{f.g}^{-1}, R_g^{-1}) \in S_{2\text{nd}} RAUT(G_H, \cdot)$ for all $f, g \in H$. Let

$$Y = L_f R_g^{-1} L_{f.g}^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

Then,

$$xg \cdot sY = (xs)R_g^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Put $s = g$ in (9), then $xg \cdot gY = (xg)R_g^{-1} = x$. But, $gY = gL_f R_g^{-1} L_{f.g}^{-1} = (fg) \setminus [(fg)g^{-1}] = (fg) \setminus f$. So, $xg \cdot (fg) \setminus f = x \Rightarrow (fg) \setminus f = (xg) \setminus x$.

Put $x = e$ in (9), then $sY L_g = sR_g^{-1} \Rightarrow sY = sR_g^{-1} L_g^{-1}$. So, combining this with (8), $sR_g^{-1} L_g^{-1} = sL_f R_g^{-1} L_{f.g}^{-1} \Rightarrow g \setminus (sg^{-1}) = (fg) \setminus [(fs)g^{-1}]$.

Theorem 7. Every special loop that is S-isotopic to a $S_{2\text{nd}}BL$ is itself a $S_{2\text{nd}}BL$.

Proof. Let (G_H, \circ) be a special loop that is S-isotopic to an $S_{2\text{nd}}BL$ (G_H, \cdot) . Assume that $x \cdot y = x\alpha \circ y\beta$ where $\alpha, \beta : H \rightarrow H$. Then the $S_{2\text{nd}}BI$ can be written in terms of (\circ) as follows. $(xs \cdot z)s = x(sz \cdot s)$ for all $x, z \in G$ and $s \in H$.

$$[(x\alpha \circ s\beta)\alpha \circ z\beta]\alpha \circ s\beta = x\alpha \circ [(s\alpha \circ z\beta)\alpha \circ s\beta]\beta. \quad (10)$$

Replace $x\alpha$ by \bar{x} , $s\beta$ by \bar{s} and $z\beta$ by \bar{z} , then

$$[(\bar{x} \circ \bar{s})\alpha \circ \bar{z}]\alpha \circ \bar{s} = \bar{x} \circ [(\bar{s}\beta^{-1}\alpha \circ \bar{z})\alpha \circ \bar{s}]\beta. \quad (11)$$

If $\bar{x} = e$, then

$$(\bar{s}\alpha \circ \bar{z})\alpha \circ \bar{s} = [(\bar{s}\beta^{-1}\alpha \circ \bar{z})\alpha \circ \bar{s}]\beta. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into the RHS of (11) and replacing \bar{x} , \bar{s} and \bar{z} by x , s and z respectively, we have

$$[(x \circ s)\alpha \circ z]\alpha \circ s = x \circ [(s\alpha \circ z)\alpha \circ s]. \quad (13)$$

With $s = e$, $(x\alpha \circ z)\alpha = x \circ (e\alpha \circ z)\alpha$. Let $(e\alpha \circ z)\alpha = z\delta$, where $\delta \in SSYM(G_H)$. Then,

$$(x\alpha \circ z)\alpha = x \circ z\delta. \quad (14)$$

Applying (14), then (13) to the expression $[(x \circ s) \circ z\delta] \circ s$, that is

$$[(x \circ s) \circ z\delta] \circ s = [(x \circ s)\alpha \circ z]\alpha \circ s = x \circ [(s\alpha \circ z)\alpha \circ s] = x \circ [(s \circ z\delta) \circ s].$$

implies

$$[(x \circ s) \circ z\delta] \circ s = x \circ [(s \circ z\delta) \circ s].$$

Replace $z\delta$ by z , then

$$[(x \circ s) \circ z] \circ s = x \circ [(s \circ z) \circ s].$$

Theorem 8. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$. Each special loop that is S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) is S-isomorphic to a S-principal isotope (G_H, \circ) where $x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G$ and some $f \in H$.

Proof. Let e be the identity element of (G_H, \cdot) . Let $(G_H, *)$ be any S-principal isotope of (G_H, \cdot) say $x * y = xR_v^{-1} \cdot yL_u^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G$ and some $u, v \in H$. Let e' be the identity element of $(G_H, *)$. That is, $e' = u \cdot v$. Now, define $x * y$ by

$$x \circ y = [(xe') * (ye')]e'^{-1} \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Then $R_{e'}$ is an S-isomorphism of (G_H, \circ) onto $(G_H, *)$. Observe that e is also the identity element for (G_H, \circ) and since (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$,

$$(pe')(e'^{-1}q \cdot e'^{-1}) = pq \cdot e'^{-1} \text{ for all } p, q \in G. \quad (15)$$

So, using (15),

$$x \circ y = [(xe') * (ye')]e'^{-1} = [xR_{e'}R_v^{-1} \cdot yR_{e'}L_u^{-1}]e'^{-1} = xR_{e'}R_v^{-1}R_{e'} \cdot yR_{e'}L_u^{-1}L_{e'^{-1}}R_{e'^{-1}}$$

implies that

$$x \circ y = xA \cdot yB, \quad A = R_{e'}R_v^{-1}R_{e'} \text{ and } B = R_{e'}L_u^{-1}L_{e'^{-1}}R_{e'^{-1}}. \quad (16)$$

Let $f = eA$. then, $y = e \circ y = eA \cdot yB = f \cdot yB$ for all $y \in G$. So, $B = L_f^{-1}$. In fact, $eB = f\rho = f^{-1}$. Then, $x = x \circ e = xA \cdot eB = xA \cdot f^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$ implies $xf = (xA \cdot f^{-1})f$ implies $xf = xA$ ($S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RIP}$) implies $A = R_f$. Now, (16) becomes $x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1}$.

Theorem 9. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$ with the $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{RAIP}$ or $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{LAIP}$, let $f \in H$ and let $x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then (G_H, \circ) is a $S_{1\text{st}}\text{AIPL}$ if and only if $f \in N_\lambda(H, \cdot)$.

Proof. Since (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$, $J = J_\lambda = J_\rho$ in (H, \cdot) . Using (6) with $g = f^{-1}$,

$$sJ'_\rho = sR_fJL_f. \quad (17)$$

(G_H, \circ) is a $S_{1\text{st}}\text{AIPL}$ iff $(x \circ y)J'_\rho = xJ'_\rho \circ yJ'_\rho$ for all $x, y \in H$ iff

$$(xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1})J'_\rho = xJ'_\rho R_f \cdot yJ'_\rho L_f^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

Let $x = uR_f^{-1}$ and $y = vL_f$ and use (16), then (18) becomes $(uv)R_fJL_f = uJL_fR_f \cdot vL_fR_fJ$ iff $\alpha = (JL_fR_f, L_fR_fJ, R_fJL_f) \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$. Since (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{1\text{st}}\text{AIPL}$, so $(J, J, J) \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$. So, $\alpha \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot) \Leftrightarrow \beta = \alpha(J, J, J)(R_{f^{-1}}^{-1}, L_{f^{-1}}R_{f^{-1}}, R_{f^{-1}}) \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$. Since (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2\text{nd}}\text{BL}$,

$xL_fR_fL_{f^{-1}}R_{f^{-1}} = [f^{-1}(fx \cdot f)]f^{-1} = [(f^{-1}f \cdot x)]f^{-1} = x$ for all $x \in G$. That is, $L_fR_fL_{f^{-1}}R_{f^{-1}} = I$ in (G_H, \cdot) . Also, since $J \in \text{AUM}(H, \cdot)$, then $R_fJ = JR_{f^{-1}}$ and $L_fJ =$

$JL_{f^{-1}}$ in (H, \cdot) . So,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= (JL_f R_f J R_{f^{-1}}^{-1}, L_f R_f J^2 L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}, R_f J L_f J R_{f^{-1}}) \\ &= (JL_f J R_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}^{-1}, L_f R_f L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}, R_f L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}) \\ &= (L_{f^{-1}}, I, R_f L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (G_H, \circ) is a S_1^{st} AIPL iff $\beta \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$.

Now, assume that $\beta \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$. Then, $xL_{f^{-1}} \cdot y = (xy)R_f L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}$ for all $x, y \in H$. For $y = e$, $L_{f^{-1}} = R_f L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}$ in (H, \cdot) . so, $\beta = (L_{f^{-1}}, I, L_{f^{-1}}) \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot) \Rightarrow f^{-1} \in N_\lambda(H, \cdot) \Rightarrow f \in N_\lambda(H, \cdot)$.

On the other hand, if $f \in N_\lambda(H, \cdot)$, then, $\gamma = (L_f, I, L_f) \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$. But $f \in N_\lambda(H, \cdot) \Rightarrow L_f^{-1} = L_{f^{-1}} = R_f L_{f^{-1}} R_{f^{-1}}$ in (H, \cdot) . Hence, $\beta = \gamma^{-1}$ and $\beta \in \text{AUT}(H, \cdot)$.

Corollary 1. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a S_2^{nd} BL and a S_1^{st} AIPL. Then, for any special loop (G_H, \circ) that is S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) , (G_H, \circ) is a S_1^{st} AIPL iff (G_H, \cdot) is a S_1^{st} -loop and a S_1^{st} commutative loop.

Proof. Suppose every special loop that is S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) is a S_1^{st} AIPL. Then, $f \in N_\lambda(H, \cdot)$ for all $f \in H$ by theorem 9. So, (G_H, \cdot) is a S_1^{st} -loop. Then, $y^{-1}x^{-1} = (xy)^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in H$. So, (G_H, \cdot) is a S_1^{st} commutative loop.

The proof of the converse is as follows. If (G_H, \cdot) is a S_1^{st} -loop and a S_1^{st} commutative loop, then for all $x, y \in H$ such that $x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1}$,

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = (xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1})R_f \cdot zL_f^{-1} = (xf \cdot f^{-1}y)f \cdot f^{-1}z.$$

$$x \circ (y \circ z) = xR_f \cdot (yR_f \cdot zL_f^{-1})L_f^{-1} = xf \cdot f^{-1}(yf \cdot f^{-1}z).$$

So, $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$. Thus, (H, \circ) is a group. Furthermore,

$$x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1} = xf \cdot f^{-1}y = x \cdot y = y \cdot x = yf \cdot f^{-1}x = y \circ x.$$

So, (H, \circ) is commutative and so has the AIP. Therefore, (G_H, \circ) is a S_1^{st} AIPL.

Lemma 2. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a S_2^{nd} BL. Then, every special loop that is S-isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) is S-isomorphic to (G_H, \cdot) if and only if (G_H, \cdot) obeys the identity $(x \cdot fg)g^{-1} \cdot f \setminus (y \cdot fg) = (xy) \cdot (fg)$ for all $x, y \in G_H$ and $f, g \in H$.

Proof. Let (G_H, \circ) be an arbitrary S-principal isotope of (G_H, \cdot) . It is claimed that $(G_H, \cdot) \overset{R_{fg}}{\sim} (G_H, \circ)$ iff $xR_{fg} \circ yR_{fg} = (x \cdot y)R_{fg}$ iff $(x \cdot fg)R_g^{-1} \cdot (y \cdot fg)L_f^{-1} = (x \cdot y)R_{fg}$ iff $(x \cdot fg)g^{-1} \cdot f \setminus (y \cdot fg) = (xy) \cdot (fg)$ for all $x, y \in G_H$ and $f, g \in H$.

Theorem 10. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a S_2^{nd} BL, let $f \in H$, and let $x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then, $(G_H, \cdot) \overset{\sim}{\sim} (G_H, \circ)$ if and only if there exists a S_1^{st} pseudo-automorphism of (G_H, \cdot) with S_1^{st} companion f .

Proof. $(G_H, \cdot) \overset{\sim}{\sim} (G_H, \circ)$ if and only if there exists $T \in \text{SSYM}(G_H, \cdot)$ such that $xT \circ yT = (x \cdot y)T$ for all $x, y \in G$ iff $xTR_f \cdot yTL_f^{-1} = (x \cdot y)T$ for all $x, y \in G$ iff $\alpha = (TR_f, TL_f^{-1}, T) \in S_1^{\text{st}} \text{AUT}(G_H)$.

Recall that by theorem 2, (G_H, \cdot) is a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}\text{BL}$ iff $(R_f^{-1}, L_f R_f, R_f) \in S_{1^{\text{st}}}\text{AUT}(G_H, \cdot)$ for each $f \in H$. So,

$$\alpha \in S_{1^{\text{st}}}\text{AUT}(G_H) \Leftrightarrow \beta = \alpha(R_f^{-1}, L_f R_f, R_f) = \\ (T, TR_f, TR_f) \in S_{1^{\text{st}}}\text{AUT}(G_H, \cdot) \Leftrightarrow T \in S_{1^{\text{st}}}\text{PAUT}(G_H)$$

with $S_{1^{\text{st}}}$ companion f .

Corollary 2. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}\text{BL}$, let $f \in H$ and let $x \circ y = xR_f \cdot yL_f^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G_H$. If $f \in N_\rho(H, \cdot)$, then, $(G_H, \cdot) \succsim (G_H, \circ)$.

Proof. Following theorem 10, $f \in N_\rho(H, \cdot) \Rightarrow TS_{1^{\text{st}}}\text{PAUT}(G_H)$ with $S_{1^{\text{st}}}$ companion f .

Corollary 3. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}\text{BL}$. Then, every special loop that is S -isotopic to (G_H, \cdot) is S -isomorphic to (G_H, \cdot) if and only if each element of H is a $S_{1^{\text{st}}}$ companion for a $S_{1^{\text{st}}}$ pseudo-automorphism of (G_H, \cdot) .

Proof. This follows from theorem 8 and theorem 10.

Corollary 4. Let (G_H, \cdot) be a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}\text{BL}$. Then, (G_H, \cdot) is a SGS -loop if and only if each element of H is a $S_{1^{\text{st}}}$ companion for a $S_{1^{\text{st}}}$ pseudo-automorphism of (G_H, \cdot) .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of corollary 4.

Remark 4. Every Bol loop is a $S_{2^{\text{nd}}}\text{BL}$. Most of the results on isotopy of Bol loops in chapter 3 of [19] can easily be deduced from the results in this paper by simply forcing H to be equal to G .

References

- [1] R. H. Bruck, A survey of binary systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1966.
- [2] O. Chein, H. O. Pflugfelder and J. D. H. Smith, Quasigroups and Loops: Theory and Applications, Heldermann Verlag, 1990.
- [3] J. Dene and A. D. Keedwell, Latin squares and their applications, the English University press Lts, 1974.
- [4] E. G. Goodaire, E. Jespers and C. P. Milies, Alternative loop rings, NHMS (184), Elsevier, 1996.
- [5] T. G. Jaíyéólá, An holomorphic study of the Smarandache concept in loops, Scientia Magna Journal, **2**(2006), No. 1, 1-8.
- [6] T. G. Jaíyéólá, Parastrophic invariance of Smarandache quasigroups, Scientia Magna Journal, **2**(2006), No. 3, 48-53.
- [7] T. G. Jaíyéólá, On the universality of some Smarandache loops of Bol-Moufang type, Scientia Magna Journal, **2**(2006), No. 4, 45-48.
- [8] T. G. Jaíyéólá, A Pair Of Smarandachely Isotopic Quasigroups And Loops Of The Same Variety, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, **1**(2008), 36-44.
- [9] T. G. Jaíyéólá, An Holomorphic Study Of Smarandache Automorphic and Cross Inverse Property Loops, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Number Theory and Smarandache Problems, Scientia Magna, **4**(2008), No. 1, 102-108.

- [10] T. G. Jaíyéólá, Smarandache isotopy theory of Smarandache: quasigroups and loops, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Number Theory and Smarandache Problems, Scientia Magna, **4**(2008), No. 1, 168-177.
- [11] T. G. Jaíyéólá, On Smarandache Bryant Schneider group of a Smarandache loop, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, **2**(2008), 51-63.
- [12] T. G. Jaíyéólá, A Double Cryptography Using The Smarandache Keedwell Cross Inverse Quasigroup, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, **3**(2008), 28-33.
- [13] T. G. Jaíyéólá, Basic properties of second Smarandache Bol loops, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, **2**(2009), 11-20.
- [14] T. G. Jaíyéólá, A study of new concepts in Smarandache quasigroups and loops, ProQuest Information and Learning (ILQ), Ann Arbor, USA, 2009.
- [15] A. S. Muktibodh, Smarandache quasigroups rings, Scientia Magna, **1**(2005), No. 2, 139-144.
- [16] A. S. Muktibodh, Smarandache Quasigroups, Scientia Magna, **2**(2006), No. 2, 13-19.
- [17] H. O. Pflugfelder, Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction, Sigma series in Pure Math. 7, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [18] A. Sade, Quasigroupes parastrophiques, Math. Nachr, **20**(1959), 73-106.
- [19] D. A. Robinson, Bol loops, Ph. D thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, 1964.
- [20] D. A. Robinson, Bol loops, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **123**(1966), No. 2, 341-354.
- [21] A. R. T. Solarin and B. L. Sharma, On the construction of Bol loops, Al. I. Cuza. Univ., **27**(1981), No. 1, 23-28.
- [22] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy, Smarandache Loops, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India, 2002.
- [23] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy, Smarandache Loops, Smarandache notions journal, 252-258.
- [24] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy, Smarandache groupoids, Scientia Magna, **1**(2005), No. 1, 27-35.