

# ON THE BETTI NUMBERS OF BIRATIONALLY ISOMORPHIC PROJECTIVE VARIETIES WITH TRIVIAL CANONICAL BUNDLES

VICTOR V. BATYREV

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany  
e-mail: batyrev@bastau.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de*

## Abstract

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two birationally isomorphic smooth projective  $n$ -dimensional algebraic varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  having trivial canonical line bundles. Using methods of the  $p$ -adic analysis on algebraic varieties over local number fields, we prove that in the above situation the Betti numbers of  $X$  and  $Y$  must be the same.

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to show that the elementary theory of the  $p$ -adic integrals on algebraic varieties help to prove some cohomological properties of birationally isomorphic algebraic varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We prove the following theorem which has been used by Beauville in his recent explanation of a Yau-Zaslow formula for the number of rational curves on a  $K3$ -surface [1] (see also [3, 10]):

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two irreducible birationally isomorphic smooth  $n$ -dimensional projective algebraic varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume that the canonical line bundles  $\Omega_X^n$  and  $\Omega_Y^n$  are trivial. Then  $X$  and  $Y$  must have the same Betti numbers, i.e.,*

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^i(Y, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

We remark that Theorem 1.1 is obvious for  $n = 1$ . In the case  $n = 2$ , Theorem 1.1 follows from the uniqueness of minimal models of surfaces of nonnegative Kodaira dimension, i.e. from the property that any birational isomorphism between two such minimal models extends to a biregular one [4]. The uniqueness of minimal models of  $n$ -dimensional algebraic varieties of nonnegative Kodaira dimension fails for  $n \geq 3$  in general. However, Theorem 1.1 for  $n = 3$  can be proved using a result of

Kawamata ([5], §6), who has shown that any two birationally isomorphic minimal models of 3-folds are connected by a sequence of flops (see also [6]). By simple topological arguments, one can prove that if two 3-dimensional projective algebraic varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  with at worst  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities are birationally isomorphic via a flop, then their singular Betti numbers are the same. Since one still knows very little about flops in dimension  $n \geq 4$ , it seems unlikely to expect that a consideration of flops could help to prove 1.1 in arbitrary dimension  $n \geq 4$ . Moreover, for projective algebraic varieties with at worst  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial Gorenstein terminal singularities of dimension  $n \geq 4$  Theorem 1.1 is not true in general. For this reason the condition of *smoothness* for  $X$  and  $Y$  in 1.1 becomes very important in the case  $n \geq 4$ .

## 2 Gauge-forms and $p$ -adic measures

Let  $F$  be a local number field, i.e., a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for some prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $R \subset F$  be the maximal compact subring,  $\mathfrak{q} \subset R$  the maximal ideal,  $F_{\mathfrak{q}} = R/\mathfrak{q}$  the residue field with  $|F_{\mathfrak{q}}| = q = p^r$ . We denote by  $\|\cdot\| : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  the multiplicative  $p$ -adic norm:

$$a \mapsto \|a\| = p^{-\text{Ord}(N_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}(a))},$$

where

$$N_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is the standard norm mapping.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be an arbitrary reduced algebraic  $S$ -scheme, where  $S = \text{Spec } R$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$  the set of  $S$ -morphisms  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  (or sections of  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow S$ ). We call  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$  the set of  $R$ -**integral points** in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . The set of sections of the morphism  $\mathfrak{X} \times_S \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$  and call the set of  $F$ -**rational points** in  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Remark 2.2** (i) If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an affine  $S$ -scheme, then one can identify  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$  with the subset in  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$  consisting of all points  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$  such that  $f(x) \in R$  for all  $f \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ .

(ii) If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a projective (or proper)  $S$ -scheme, then  $\mathfrak{X}(R) = \mathfrak{X}(F)$ .

Now let  $X$  be a smooth  $n$ -dimensional algebraic variety over  $F$ . Denote by  $\Omega_X^n$  the canonical line bundle over  $X$ . We assume that  $X$  admits an extension  $\mathfrak{X}$  to a regular  $S$ -scheme.

Recall the following definition introduced by A. Weil in [9]:

**Definition 2.3** A global section  $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^n)$  is called a **gauge-form** if the  $n$ -form  $\omega$  has no zeros in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . By definition, a gauge-form  $\omega$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^n$  which sends 1 to  $\omega$ , i.e., it exists if and only if  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^n$  is a trivial line bundle.

It was observed by A. Weil that a gauge form  $\omega$  determines a canonical  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu_\omega$  on the locally compact  $p$ -adic topological space  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$  of  $F$ -rational points in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . The  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu_\omega$  is defined as follows:

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$  be an  $F$ -point,  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  local  $p$ -adic analytic parameters at  $x$ . Then  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  define a  $p$ -adic homeomorphism  $\theta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(F)$  of an open subset  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}(F)$  containing  $x$  with an open subset  $\theta(U) \subset \mathbb{A}^n(F)$ . One should stress that both subsets  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}(F)$  and  $\theta(U) \subset \mathbb{A}^n(F)$  are considered to be open in  $p$ -adic topology, but not in Zariski topology. We write

$$\omega = \theta^*(g dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_n),$$

where  $g = g(t)$  is a  $p$ -adic analytic function on  $\theta(U)$  having no zeros. Then a  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu_\omega$  on  $U$  is defined to be the pull-back with respect to  $\theta$  of the  $p$ -adic measure  $\|g(t)\| \mathbf{dt}$  on  $\theta(U)$ , where  $\mathbf{dt}$  is a standard  $p$ -adic Haar measure on  $\mathbb{A}^n(F)$  with the normalizing condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^n(R)} \mathbf{dt} = 1.$$

It is a standard exercise with the Jacobian to check that two  $p$ -adic measures  $d\mu'_\omega, d\mu''_\omega$  constructed by the above method on any two open subsets  $U', U'' \subset \mathfrak{X}(F)$  coincide on the intersection  $U' \cap U''$ .

**Definition 2.4** The measure  $d\mu_\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$  constructed as above we call a  **$p$ -adic measure of Weil** associated with a gauge-form  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 2.5** ([9], Th. 2.2.5) *Assume that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a regular  $S$ -scheme as above,  $\omega$  is a gauge-form on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and  $d\mu_\omega$  the corresponding  $p$ -adic measure of Weil on  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}(R)} d\mu_\omega = \frac{|\mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})|}{q^n},$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is the set of closed points of  $\mathfrak{X}$  over the finite residue field  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\phi : \mathfrak{X}(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}}), \quad x \mapsto \bar{x} \in \mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

be the natural surjective mapping. The idea of proof of the theorem is based on the fact that if  $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is a closed  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are generators of the maximal ideal of  $\bar{x}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}}$  modulo the ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , then the elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  define a  $p$ -adic analytic homeomorphism

$$\gamma : \phi^{-1}(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where  $\phi^{-1}(\bar{x})$  is the fiber of  $\phi$  over  $\bar{x}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q})$  is the set of all  $R$ -integral points of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  whose coordinates belong to the ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset R$ . Moreover, the  $p$ -adic norm of the

Jacobian of  $\gamma$  is identically equal to 1 on the whole fiber  $\phi^{-1}(\bar{x})$ . The latter follows from the fact that if  $n$  formal power series

$$g_1(t), \dots, g_n(t) \in R[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$$

are generators of the prime ideal  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , then the series  $g_1(t), \dots, g_n(t)$  converge absolutely in  $p$ -adic norm on the compact  $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q})$  and the Jacobian of the corresponding mapping

$$\mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q}), (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (g_1(t), \dots, g_n(t))$$

is equal to a nonzero element of  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$  modulo  $\mathfrak{q}$  on the whole subset  $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q}) \subset \mathbb{A}^n(R)$ . So, using the  $p$ -adic analytic homeomorphism  $\gamma$ , one obtains

$$\int_{\phi^{-1}(\bar{x})} d\mu_{\omega} = \int_{\mathbb{A}^n(\mathfrak{q})} \mathbf{dt} = \frac{1}{q^n}$$

for each  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$ . □

Now we consider a slightly more general situation. Let us only assume that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a regular scheme over  $S$ , but do not assume the existence of a gauge-form on  $\mathfrak{X}$  (i.e. of an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^n$ ). Nevertheless under these weaker assumptions we can define a unique natural  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu$  at least on the compact  $\mathfrak{X}(R) \subset \mathfrak{X}(F)$  (but may be not on the whole  $p$ -adic topological space  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$ !):

Let  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k$  be a finite covering of  $\mathfrak{X}$  by Zariski open  $S$ -subschemes such that the restriction of  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^n$  on each  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ . Then each  $\mathcal{U}_i$  admits a gauge-form  $\omega_i$  and we define a  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu_i$  on each compact  $\mathcal{U}_i(R)$  as the restriction of the  $p$ -adic measure of Weil  $d\mu_{\omega_i}$  associated with  $\omega_i$  on  $\mathcal{U}_i(F)$ . We note that the gauge-forms  $\omega_i$  are defined uniquely up to elements  $s_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*)$ . On the other hand, the  $p$ -adic norm  $\|s_i(x)\|$  equals 1 for any element  $s_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*)$  and any  $R$ -rational point  $x \in \mathcal{U}_i(R)$ . Therefore, the constructed  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathcal{U}_i(R)$  does not depend on the choice of a gauge-form  $\omega_i$ . Moreover, the  $p$ -adic measures  $d\mu_i$  on  $\mathcal{U}_i(R)$  glue together to a  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu$  on the whole compact  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$ , since one has

$$\mathcal{U}_i(R) \cap \mathcal{U}_j(R) = (\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)(R) \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_1(R) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}_k(R) = (\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}_k)(R) = \mathfrak{X}(R).$$

**Definition 2.6** The constructed above  $p$ -adic measure defined on the set  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$  of  $R$ -integral points of a  $S$ -scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  will be called the **canonical  $p$ -adic measure**.

For the canonical  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu$ , we obtain the same property as for the  $p$ -adic measure of Weil  $d\mu_{\omega}$ :

**Theorem 2.7**

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}(R)} d\mu = \frac{|\mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})|}{q^n}.$$

*Proof.* Using a covering of  $\mathfrak{X}$  by some Zariski open subsets  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}(R)} d\mu = \sum_{i_1} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{i_1}(R)} d\mu - \sum_{i_1 < i_2} \int_{(\mathcal{U}_{i_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{i_2})(R)} d\mu + \dots + (-1)^k \int_{(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_k)(R)} d\mu$$

and

$$|\mathfrak{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})| = \sum_{i_1} |\mathcal{U}_{i_1}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})| - \sum_{i_1 < i_2} |(\mathcal{U}_{i_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{i_2})(F_{\mathfrak{q}})| + \dots + (-1)^k |(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_k)(F_{\mathfrak{q}})|.$$

It remains to apply 2.5 to every intersection  $\mathcal{U}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_{i_s}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a regular integral  $S$ -scheme and  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is a closed reduced subscheme of codimension 1. Then the subset  $\mathcal{Z}(R) \subset \mathfrak{X}(R)$  has zero measure with respect to the canonical  $p$ -adic measure  $d\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Using a covering of  $\mathfrak{X}$  by some Zariski open affine subsets  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k$ , one can always reduce the situation to the case when  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an affine regular integral  $S$ -scheme and  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is an irreducible principal divisor defined by an equation  $f = 0$ , where  $f$  is a prime element of  $A = \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ .

Let us consider a special case  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{A}_S^n = \text{Spec } R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} = \text{Spec } R[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ , i.e.,  $f = X_1$ . For every positive integer  $m$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_m(R)$  the subset in  $\mathbb{A}^n(R)$  consisting of all points  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$  such that the  $x_1$  belongs to the  $m$ -th power of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . One computes straightforward the  $p$ -adic integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}_m(R)} \mathbf{dx} = \int_{\mathbb{A}^1(\mathfrak{q}^m)} dx_1 \prod_{i=2}^n \left( \int_{\mathbb{A}^1(R)} dx_i \right) = \frac{1}{q^m}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(R) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}_m(R).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}(R)} \mathbf{dx} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{Z}_m(R)} \mathbf{dx} = 0,$$

and in this case the statement is proved. Using the Noether normalization theorem, one reduces the more general case to the above special one.  $\square$

### 3 The Betti numbers

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two birationally isomorphic smooth projective  $n$ -dimensional algebraic varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  having trivial canonical line bundles. Then there exist two Zariski open dense subsets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  such that  $U$  is biregularly isomorphic to  $V$  and  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U), \text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus V) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a birational rational map  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ . Since  $X$  is smooth, there exists a Zariski open dense subset  $U_0 \subset X$  with  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U_0) \geq 2$  such that  $\varphi$  extends to a regular morphism  $\varphi_0 : U_0 \rightarrow Y$ . Define  $Z \subset U_0$  to be the Zariski closed subset consisting of all points  $x \in U_0$  such that  $\varphi_0^{-1}(\varphi_0(x)) \neq x$ . Since both line bundles  $\Omega_X^n$  and  $\Omega_Y^n$  are trivial,  $Z$  can not be a divisor in  $U_0$ : otherwise  $Z$  would be the set of zeros of the  $\varphi_0$ -pullback of nowhere vanishing holomorphic differential  $n$ -form  $\omega \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^n)$ . If we set  $U_1 = U_0 \setminus Z$ , then the restriction of  $\varphi_0$  on  $U_1$  is a regular injective birational morphism  $\varphi_1 : U_1 \rightarrow Y$ . Again we have  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U_1) \geq 2$ . Let  $\psi := \varphi_1^{-1} : Y \dashrightarrow X$  be the inverse birational rational map. By the same arguments as above, there exists a Zariski open dense subset  $V_1 \subset Y$  with  $\text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus V_1) \geq 2$  such that  $\psi$  extends to a regular injective birational morphism  $\psi_1 : V_1 \rightarrow X$ . Now we define  $U := \varphi_1^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $V := \psi_1^{-1}(U)$ . By the construction, both  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  are Zariski open subsets whose complements have codimensions at least 2. Moreover, the restriction  $\Phi$  of  $\varphi_1$  on  $U$  induces a biregular isomorphism between  $U$  and  $V$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two smooth projective birationally isomorphic varieties of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the trivial canonical bundles. By 3.1, there exist two Zariski open dense subsets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  with  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 2$  and  $\text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus V) \geq 2$  and a biregular isomorphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ .

By standard arguments, one can choose a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that the projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  and the Zariski open subsets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  can be obtained by the base change  $* \times_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  from some regular projective  $\mathcal{S}$ -schemes  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  together with Zariski open  $\mathcal{S}$ -subschemes  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ , where  $\mathcal{S} := \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ . Moreover, one can choose  $\mathcal{R}$  in such a way that both relative canonical line bundles  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}}^n$  and  $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{S}}^n$  are trivial, both codimensions  $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{U})$  and  $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{V})$  are at least 2, and the biregular isomorphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$  is obtained by the base change from a biregular  $\mathcal{S}$ -isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ .

For almost all prime numbers  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist a regular  $R$ -integral point  $\pi \in \mathcal{S} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ , where  $R$  is the maximal compact subring with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  in some local  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . By an appropriate choice of  $\pi \in \mathcal{S} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we can get that both  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  have good reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Moreover, we can assume that the maximal ideal  $I(\overline{\pi})$  of the unique closed point in

$$S := \text{Spec } R \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{S} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$$

is obtained by the base change from some maximal ideal  $J(\overline{\pi}) \subset \mathcal{R}$  over the prime ideal  $(p) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$  be gauge-forms on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  respectively. We denote by  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$  (resp. by  $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ ) the restriction of  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$  to  $\mathcal{U}$  (resp. of  $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ ). Since  $\Phi^*$  is a biregular  $\mathcal{S}$ -morphism,  $\Phi^*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is another gauge-form on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence there exists a nowhere vanishing regular function  $h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*)$  such that

$$\Phi^*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}} = h\omega_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

The property  $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{U}) \geq 2$  implies that  $h$  is an element of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*) = \mathcal{R}^*$ . Hence, one has  $\|h(x)\| = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}(F)$ , i.e., the  $p$ -adic measures of Weil on  $\mathcal{U}(F)$  associated with  $\Phi^*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$  are the same. The latter implies the following equality of the  $p$ -adic integrals

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}(F)} d\mu_{\mathcal{X}} = \int_{\mathcal{V}(F)} d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

By 2.8 and 2.2(ii), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}(F)} d\mu_{\mathcal{X}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}(F)} d\mu_{\mathcal{X}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}(R)} d\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}(F)} d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} = \int_{\mathcal{Y}(F)} d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} = \int_{\mathcal{Y}(R)} d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

Now, applying the formula in 2.7, we come to the equality

$$\frac{|\mathcal{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})|}{q^n} = \frac{|\mathcal{Y}(F_{\mathfrak{q}})|}{q^n}.$$

This shows that the numbers of  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -rational points in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  modulo the ideal  $J(\overline{\pi}) \subset \mathcal{R}$  are the same. By the consideration of a cyclotomic extension  $\mathcal{R}^{(r)} \subset \mathbb{C}$  containing all complex  $(q^r - 1)$ -th roots of unity, we can repeat the same arguments and obtain that both projective schemes  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  have the same number of  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(r)}$ -rational points, where  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(r)}$  is the degree- $r$  extension of the finite field  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . In particular, we obtain that the zeta-functions of Weil

$$Z(\mathcal{X}, p, t) = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{X}(F_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(r)})| \frac{t^r}{r} \right)$$

and

$$Z(\mathcal{Y}, p, t) = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{Y}(F_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(r)})| \frac{t^r}{r} \right)$$

are the same. Using the Weil's conjectures proved by Deligne [8] and the comparison theorem between the étale and singular cohomology, we obtain

$$Z(\mathcal{X}, p, t) = \frac{P_1(t)P_3(t) \cdots P_{2n-1}(t)}{P_0(t)P_2(t) \cdots P_{2n}(t)}$$

and

$$Z(\mathcal{Y}, p, t) = \frac{Q_1(t)Q_3(t) \cdots Q_{2n-1}(t)}{Q_0(t)Q_2(t) \cdots Q_{2n}(t)},$$

where  $P_i(t)$  and  $Q_i(t)$  are polynomials with integer coefficients having the properties

$$\deg P_i(t) = \dim H^i(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad \deg Q_i(t) = \dim H^i(Y, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

Since the standard archimedean absolute value of each root of polynomials  $P_i(t)$  and  $Q_i(t)$  must be  $q^{-i/2}$  and  $P_i(0) = Q_i(0) = 1 \quad \forall i \geq 0$ , the equality  $Z(\mathcal{X}, p, t) = Z(\mathcal{Y}, p, t)$  implies  $P_i(t) = Q_i(t) \quad \forall i \geq 0$ . Therefore, we have  $\dim H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) = \dim H^i(Y, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall i \geq 0$ .  $\square$

## 4 Remarks

As we have seen from the proof of Theorem 3.1, the zeta-fuctions of Weil  $Z(\mathcal{X}, p, t)$  and  $Z(\mathcal{Y}, p, t)$  are the same for almost all primes  $p \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . This fact being expressed in terms of the associated  $L$ -functions indicates that the established isomorphism  $H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^i(Y, \mathbb{C})$  for all  $i \geq 0$  must have some more deep motivic nature. Recently Kontsevich suggested an idea of a motivic integration [7], which has been developed by Denef and Loeser [2]. In particular, this technique allows to prove that not only the Betti numbers, but also the Hodge numbers of  $X$  and  $Y$  in 1.1 must be the same.

## References

- [1] A. BEAUVILLE, *Counting rational curves on K3 surfaces*, alg-geom/9701019.
- [2] J. DENEFF AND F. LOESER, *Germes of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, Preprint 1996.
- [3] B. FANTECHI, L. GÖTTSCHE, D. VAN STRATEN, *Euler number of the compactified Jacobian and multiplicity of rational curves*, alg-geom/9708012.
- [4] Y. KAWAMATA, K. MATSUDA AND K. MATSUKI, *Introduction to the Minimal Model Program*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283-360.
- [5] Y. KAWAMATA, *Crepan blowing ups of three dimensional canonical singularities, and applications to degenerations of surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), 93-163.
- [6] J. KOLLÁR, *Flops*. Nagoya Math. J. 113 (1989), 15–36.
- [7] M. KONTSEVICH, Lecture at Orsay (December 7, 1995).
- [8] P. DELIGNE, *La conjecture de Weil I*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., **43** (1974), 273-307.



- [9] A. WEIL, *Adèles and algebraic groups*, Progr. Math. **23**, Birkhäuser, Boston 1982.
- [10] S.-T. YAU, E. ZASLOW, *BPS States, String Duality, and Nodal Curves on  $K3$* , Nucl. Phys. **B471** (1996), 503-512.