## A Model of Learning Objectives

based on

## A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives

Among other modifications, Anderson and Krathwohl's (2001) revision of the original Bloom's taxonomy (Bloom & Krathwohl, 1956) redefines the cognitive domain as the intersection of the Cognitive Process Dimension and the Knowledge Dimension. This document offers a three-dimensional representation of the revised taxonomy of the cognitive domain.

Although the Cognitive Process and Knowledge dimensions are represented as hierarchical steps, the distinctions between categories are not always clear-cut. For example, all procedural knowledge is not necessarily more abstract than all conceptual knowledge; and an objective that involves analyzing or evaluating may require thinking skills that are no less complex than one that involves creating. It is generally understood, nonetheless, that lower order thinking skills are subsumed by, and provide the foundation for higher order thinking skills.

**The Knowledge Dimension** classifies four types of knowledge that learners may be expected to acquire or construct—ranging from concrete to abstract (Table 1).

Table 1. The Knowledge Dimension – major types and subtypes

concrete knowledge —		→ abstract knowledge		
factual	conceptual	procedural	metacognitive*	
knowledge of terminology knowledge of specific details and elements	knowledge of classifications and categories knowledge of principles and generalizations knowledge of theories, models, and structures	knowledge of subject-specific skills and algorithms knowledge of subject-specific techniques and methods knowledge of criteria for determining when to use appropriate procedures	strategic knowledge knowledge about cognitive tasks, including appropriate contextual and conditional knowledge self-knowledge	

(Table 1 adapted from Anderson and Krathwohl, 2001, p. 46.)

<sup>\*</sup>Metacognitive knowledge is a special case. In this model, "metacognitive knowledge is knowledge of [one's own] cognition and about oneself in relation to various subject matters..." (Anderson and Krathwohl, 2001, p. 44).

This taxonomy provides a framework for determining and clarifying learning *objectives*.

Learning *activities* often involve both lower order and higher order thinking skills as well as a mix of concrete and abstract knowledge.

**The Cognitive Process Dimension** represents a continuum of increasing cognitive complexity—from lower order thinking skills to higher order thinking skills. Anderson and Krathwohl (2001) identify nineteen specific cognitive processes that further clarify the scope of the six categories (Table 2).

Table 2. The Cognitive Processes dimension — categories & cognitive processes and alternative names

lower order thinking skills → higher order thinking skills							
remember	understand	apply	analyze	evaluate	create		
recognizing     • identifying recalling     • retrieving	interpreting	executing • carrying out implementing • using	differentiating	checking	generating • hypothesizing planning • designing producing • constructing		

(Table 2 adapted from Anderson and Krathwohl, 2001, pp. 67–68.)

A statement of a **learning objective** contains a **verb** (an action) and an **object** (usually a noun). • The verb generally refers to [actions associated with] the intended cognitive process. an innovative learning portfolio • The **object** generally describes the **knowledge** students are expected to acquire Reflec or construct. (Anderson and Krathwohl, 2001, pp. 4-5) on one's an efficient project In this model, each of the colored blocks shows an example of a Deconstruct Assemble efficiency of samplin one's biases. a team of learning objective that generally corresponds with each of the various technique Use combinations of the cognitive process and knowledge dimensions. Integrate Determine techniques that match Generate compliance with one's strengths relevance of a log of daily activities. **Remember:** these are **learning** *objectives*—not learning *activities*. **Predict** Carry out It may be useful to think of preceding each objective Check Differentiate one's response to pH tests of water culture shock high and low for consistency among with something like: "Students will be able to ..." samples. culture. **Identify Clarify** assembly \*Anderson, L.W. (Ed.), Krathwohl, D.R. (Ed.), strategies for retaining **Provide** Select Airasian, P.W., Cruikshank, K.A., Mayer, R.E., information. advice to the most complete list instructions novices. Pintrich, P.R., Raths, J., & Wittrock, M.C. (2001). of activities create A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and Recall assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Classify Respond how to perform Educational Objectives (Complete edition). metacognitive adhesives by to frequently asked New York: Longman. toxicity. questions. Described to the constituent of the or one to the constituent of the c How to do something the chiques, and methods the control of skills, all and methods. Recognize Summarize symptoms of features of a new Carry out or use a procedure exhaustion. product. conceptual The interrelations of the surprise of the state of the st List understand The interrelationships among the pastenable basic elements within a language the past enable large that enable Construct meaning from the basic elements within a larger structure that enable inclusive to the line of the l primary and secondary larger structure that enable. gaphic connunication. The basic elements students Retrieve relevant knowledge temember The Dasic elements students of the Area of Fon long tern memory. TUST KNOW IN DE SCHUSINES

