

## Introduction to Parallel Distributed Processing

### Basic Principles

- Basic unit functions
- Constraint satisfaction
- Schema theory
- Correlation-based learning (Hebb)
- Error-correcting learning (Delta)
- Localist vs. distributed representations
- Distributed memory model
- Back-propagation
- Generalization and overfitting
- Temporal learning and recurrent networks
- Boltzmann machines/Contrastive Hebbian learning
- Unsupervised learning/generative models
- Deep learning
- Reinforcement learning and forward models
- Tricks of the trade / designing representations

### Applications

- Cognitive development
- High-level vision and attention
- Semantics
- Memory and the hippocampus
- Statistical learning
- Language: Morphology (past-tense)
- Language: Sentence processing
- Cognitive control and executive functions

1 / 8

## Some objections to PDP (Rumelhart & McClelland, PDPI:4, 1986)

- PDP models are too weak
  - Limitations of one-layer learning
  - Stimulus equivalence
  - Recursion
- PDP models are not cognitive
  - Eliminates rules and structured representations
- PDP models are the wrong level of analysis (cf. Bayesian approaches)
  - At implementational rather than algorithmic level
  - Quantum vs. Newtonian physics analogy
- PDP modeling is merely reductionism to neuroscience
  - Emergent properties
- Neuroscience cannot yet constrain cognitive theories
- PDP models lack neural realism
- PDP and nativism vs. empiricism
- Why are people smarter than rats?
- Conscious knowledge and explicit reasoning

3 / 8

## Understanding complex information-processing systems

### Marr (1982)

#### Computational theory

What is the goal of the computation, why is it appropriate, and what is the logic of the strategy by which it can be carried out?

#### Representation and algorithm

How can this computational theory be implemented? What is the representation for the input and output, and what is the algorithm for the transformation?

#### Hardware implementation

How can the representation and algorithm be realized physically?

2 / 8

## Weaknesses of PDP (Norman, PDPII:26, 1986)

- The type-token problem
  - Simultaneous multiple instances of the same concept
- Variables
  - Symbol manipulation
- The need for extra, evaluative structure
  - A “teacher” in supervised learning
- More than one system at a time is required
  - Cognition is not just a single settling
  - Different part of the network have to do different things
- Learning and consciousness
  - Procedures for learning (controlled  $\Rightarrow$  automatic)

4 / 8

## “Future” directions (Rumelhart & McClelland, PDPII, 1986)

- Higher-level processes
  - Sequential symbol processing
  - Language processing
- Learning and architecture
- Neuroscience
  - Neuropsychology
  - Physiology and anatomy

5 / 8

## Limitations and controversies (Rogers & McClelland, 2014)

- Limitations and criticisms of back propagation
  - Catastrophic interference
- Lack of transparency
- PDP models cannot capture abstract relational structure
- PDP models are too flexible

7 / 8

## Impact of PDP (Rogers & McClelland, 2014)

- Representation and processing: No rules required
- Origins of knowledge and developmental change: Rethinking innateness
- Cognitive neuropsychology: Explanation without the transparency assumption
- Machine learning: Deep learning
- Impact on theories in specific domains
  - Interactive processes in perception, language, and cognition
  - Reading and language processing
  - Optimality theory (linguistics)
  - Long-term memory
  - Semantics/conceptual knowledge
  - Cognitive control
  - Sequential processing

6 / 8

## Current issues and future directions (Rogers & McClelland, 2014)

- Probabilistic models of cognition
- Role of “statistical learning” widely appreciated in development
- Acceptance of sensitivity to both specific and general information
- Resurgence of neural networks in machine learning
- The advent of computational cognitive neuroscience
- Distributed representations are being taken seriously by cognitive neuroscience

“Models are important, not as expressions of belief, but as vehicles for exploring the implications of ideas”

8 / 8