

Sequence Alignment


The Sequence Alignment Problem

Question:

How similar two words are?

Say “**oc**urrance” and “**oc**currence”

They are similar, because one can be turned into another by few changes



Clearly, this can be done in many ways, say

oc-urr-ance

oc**curr**-nce

Problem: Minimize the “number” of gaps and mismatches



Alignments

Let $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ and $Y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ be two strings

A **matching** is a set of ordered pairs, such that an element of each set occurs at most once.

A matching is an **alignment** if there are no crossing pairs:

if (i, j) and (i', j') are in the matching and $i < i'$ then $j < j'$



The Problem

Let M be an alignment between X and Y .

Each position of X or Y that is not matched in M is called a **gap**.

Each pair $(i,j) \in M$ such that $x_i \neq y_j$ is called a **mismatch**

The cost of M is given as follows:

- There is $\delta > 0$, a **gap penalty**. For each gap in M we incur a cost of δ
- For each pair of letters p,q in the alphabet, there is a **mismatch cost** α_{pq} . For each $(i,j) \in M$ we pay the mismatch cost $\alpha_{x_i y_j}$. Usually, $\alpha_{pp} = 0$.
- The **cost** of M is the sum its gap penalties and mismatch costs

The Problem (cntd)

The Sequence Alignment Problem

Instance:

Sequences X and Y

Objective:

Find an alignment between X and Y of minimal cost.

Dynamic Programming Approach

Lemma

Let M be any alignment of X and Y . If $(m,n) \notin M$, then either the m -th position of X or the n -th position of Y is not matched in M .

Proof

Suppose that $(m,n) \notin M$, and there are numbers $i < m$ and $j < n$ such that $(m,j), (i,n) \in M$.

However, this is a crossing pair.

QED

The Idea

Corollary

In an optimal alignment M , at least one of the following is true

- (i) $(m,n) \in M$; or
- (ii) the m -th position of X is not matched; or
- (iii) the n -th position of Y is not matched.

Let $OPT(i,j)$ denote the minimum cost of an alignment between

x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_j

To get $OPT(m,n)$ we

- (i) pay $\alpha_{x_m y_n}$ and then align x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1} and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} as well as possible, to get

$$OPT(m,n) = OPT(m-1,n-1) + \alpha_{x_m y_n}$$

The Idea (cntd)

- (ii) pay a gap cost of δ since the m -th position of X is not matched, and then align x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1} and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n as well as possible, to get $OPT(m, n) = OPT(m-1, n) + \delta$
- (iii) pay a gap cost to get $OPT(m, n) = OPT(m, n-1) + \delta$

Lemma.

The minimum alignment cost satisfy the following recurrence

$$OPT(i, j) = \min\{OPT(i-1, j-1) + \alpha_{x_i y_j}, OPT(i-1, j) + \delta, \\ OPT(i, j-1) + \delta\}$$

Moreover, (i, j) is in an optimal assignment for this subproblem if and only if the minimum is achieved by the first of these values.

Alignment: Algorithm

Alignment(X,Y)

array $M[0..m, 0..n]$

set $M[i, 0] := i\delta$ for each i

set $M[0, j] := j\delta$ for each j

for $i=1$ to m do

 for $j=1$ to n do

 set $M[i, j] := \min\{M[i-1, j-1] + \alpha_{x_i y_j}, M[i-1, j] + \delta, \\ M[i, j-1] + \delta\}$

 endfor

endfor

return $M[m, n]$

Analysis

Theorem

The Alignment algorithm correctly finds a minimal alignment in $O(mn)$ time

Proof

Soundness follows from previous arguments.


Running time:

We fill up a $m \times n$ table and spend constant time on each entry

QED

Graph Based Approach

Having $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ and $Y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ construct a square grid-like graph



G_{XY}

Weights:

δ on each horizontal or vertical arc
 $\alpha_{x_i y_j}$ on the diagonal arc from (i,j)
 to $(i+1, j+1)$

Lemma

Let $f(i,j)$ denote the minimum weight of a path from $(0,0)$ to (i,j) in G_{XY} . Then for all i,j , we have $f(i,j) = \text{OPT}(i,j)$

Graph Based Approach (cntd)

Proof

Induction on $i + j$.

Base Case. If $i + j = 0$, then $f(0,0) = 0 = \text{OPT}(0,0)$

Induction Step.

Suppose the statement is true for all pairs (i', j') with $i' + j' < i + j$

The last edge on the shortest path to (i,j) is from either $(i - 1, j - 1)$,
or $(i - 1, j)$, or $(i, j - 1)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(i, j) &= \min\{\alpha_{x_i y_j} + f(i-1, j-1), \delta + f(i-1, j), \delta + f(i, j-1)\} \\
 &= \min\{\alpha_{x_i y_j} + \text{OPT}(i-1, j-1), \delta + \text{OPT}(i-1, j), \delta + \text{OPT}(i, j-1)\} \\
 &= \text{OPT}(i, j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Sequence Alignment in Linear Space

The Alignment algorithm uses $O(mn)$ space, which may be too much
Using an idea similar to that for the Shortest Path problem we can
reduce space to linear

We store only two columns of the table

Array $B[0..m, 0..1]$ will be used for this purpose

Space Saving Alignment: Algorithm

Space-Saving-Alignment(X,Y)

array B[0..m,0..1]

set B[i,0]:=i δ for each i /*like column 0 of M

for j=1 to n do

 set B[0,1]:=j δ /*like M[0,j]

 for i=1 to m do

 set B[i,1]:=min{B[i-1,0]+ $\alpha_{x_i y_j}$, B[i-1,1]+ δ ,
 B[i,0]+ δ }

 endfor

 set B[0..m,0]:=B[0..m,1]

endfor

Sequence Alignment in Linear Space (cntd)

The Space-Saving-Alignment algorithm runs in $O(mn)$ time and uses $O(m)$ space

Clearly, when the algorithm terminates $B[m,1]$ contains the weight of the optimal alignment


But where is the alignment?

Somehow to find the alignment is more difficult than in the Shortest Path problem

Backward Search

We introduce another function related to OPT

Let $g(i,j)$ denote the length of a shortest path from (i,j) to (m,n)



Lemma

Then for all i,j , we have

$$g(i, j) = \min\{\alpha_{x_i y_j} + g(i+1, j+1), \delta + g(i+1, j), \delta + g(i, j+1)\}$$

Backward Search (cntd)

Lemma

The length of the shortest corner-corner path in G_{XY} that passes through (i,j) is $f(i,j) + g(i,j)$

Proof

Let k denote the length of a shortest corner-to-corner path that passes through (i,j)

It splits into two parts: from $(0,0)$ to (i,j) , and from (i,j) to (m,n)

The length of the first part is $\geq f(i,j)$, the length of the second $\geq g(i,j)$

Thus, $k \geq f(i,j) + g(i,j)$

Finally, the path consisting of the shortest path from $(0,0)$ to (i,j) (it has length $f(i,j)$), and the shortest path from (i,j) to (m,n) has length exactly $f(i,j) + g(i,j)$

Backward Search (cntd)

Lemma

Let j be any number $0 \leq j \leq n$, and let q be an index that minimizes $f(q,j) + g(q,j)$. Then there is a corner-to-corner path of minimum length that passes through (q,j) .

Proof

Let k denote the length of a shortest corner-to-corner path in G_{XY}

Fix $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.


The shortest path must use some node in the j -th column. Suppose it is (p,j)

Therefore $k = f(p,j) + g(p,j) \geq \min_q \{ f(q,j) + g(q,j) \}$

If q is the node achieving the minimum, then $k = f(q,j) + g(q,j)$ and by the previous Lemma there is a shortest path passing through (q,j)

Divide and Conquer

The idea is to split G_{XY} around the middle column and, using the previous Lemma find a node in this column that belongs to a shortest path



We use:

Alignment(X, Y)

Space-Saving-Alignment(X, Y)

Bckw-Space-Saving-Align(X, Y)

Global set P (for the path)

Divide and Conquer (cntd)

Divide-and-Conquer-Alignment(X, Y)

set $m := \text{length}(X)$, $n := \text{length}(Y)$

if $m \leq 2$ or $n \leq 2$ do Alignment(X, Y)

set $OPT := \infty$ $q := 1$

for $i = 1$ to m do

 set $a := \text{Space-Saving-Alignment}(X[1..i], Y[1..n/2])$

 set $b := \text{Bckw-Space-Saving-Align}(X[i..m], Y[n/2+1..n])$

 if $a+b < OPT$ then do set $OPT := a+b$ set $q := i$

endfor

add $(q, n/2)$ to P

Divide-and-Conquer-Alignment($X[1..q], Y[1..n/2]$)

Divide-and-Conquer-Alignment($X[q..m], Y[n/2+1..n]$)

Analysis

Theorem

The Divide-and-Conquer-Alignment algorithm runs in $O(mn)$ time and uses $O(m + n)$ space

Proof

The space complexity is straightforward

Let $T(m,n)$ denote the running time.

The algorithm spends $O(mn)$ on executing Alignment, Space-Saving-Alignment and Bckw-Space-Saving-Align

Then it runs recursively on strings of length $q, n/2$, and $m - q, n/2$.

Thus
$$T(m,n) \leq c \cdot mn + T(q,n/2) + T(m - q,n/2)$$

$$T(m,2) \leq c \cdot m,$$
$$T(2,n) \leq c \cdot n$$

Analysis (cntd)

Proof (cntd)

For a sanity check, suppose $m = n$

Then $T(n) \leq 2 T(n/2) + cn^2$

By the Master Theorem $T(n) = O(n^2)$. So we expect $T(m,n) = O(mn)$

We prove that $T(m,n) \leq k \cdot mn$ for some k .

Choosing $k \geq c$ we have the Basis Case:

$$T(m,2) = cm \leq 2km, \quad T(2,n) = 2n \leq 2kn$$

Suppose $T(m',n') \leq k \cdot m'n'$ for all m',n' such that $m'n' < mn$

$$\begin{aligned} T(m,n) &\leq c \cdot mn + T(q,n/2) + T(m-q, n/2) \\ &\leq c \cdot mn + kqn/2 + k(m-q)n/2 \\ &= c \cdot mn + kqn/2 + kmn/2 - kqn/2 = (c + k/2) \cdot mn \end{aligned}$$

Choose $k = 2c$