

January 2004

Writing Teaching Cases: A Reference Guide

Ali Farhoomand

The University of Hong Kong, ali@business.hku.hk

Follow this and additional works at: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais>


Recommended Citation

Farhoomand, Ali (2004) "Writing Teaching Cases: A Reference Guide," *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*: Vol. 13 , Article 9.

DOI: 10.17705/1CAIS.01309

Available at: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol13/iss1/9>

This material is brought to you by the AIS Journals at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in Communications of the Association for Information Systems by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.



WRITING TEACHING CASES: A QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

Ali Farhoomand
The University of Hong Kong
ali@business.hku.hk

ABSTRACT

Writing a teaching case is different from writing a research article. This short guide explains what is involved in writing a good teaching case. More specifically, it describes how to increase the teaching value of a case by linking it to pertinent theories and concepts, how to make a case more appealing to students through contextual nuances, and how to write useful teaching notes.

Keywords: teaching case, IS curriculum design, pedagogy

Editor's Note: This editorial was prepared by Prof. Ali Farhoomand of the University of The University of Hong Kong. Dr. Farhoomand is the member of the CAIS editorial board who handles many of the teaching case studies CAIS publishes. He observed that authors are not preparing cases in a form suitable for classroom use. He therefore prepared this brief guide to assist authors so that the time between submission and publication is speeded.

CAIS welcomes teaching cases and believes that these guidelines will help authors in preparing them

INTRODUCTION

The case method is a powerful approach to teaching and learning business subjects. Its main advantage is that it is a "question-oriented", as opposed to solution-based, approach to teaching and learning. It allows students to participate in "real-life" decision making processes by first identifying the major "question" in the case before formulating appropriate solutions.

Writing a teaching case is more similar to writing a spy thriller than a research article. To begin with, a case has to have a hook: an overriding issue that pulls various parts together, a managerial issue or decision that requires urgent attention. The trick is to present the story such that the hook is not easily evident but "discovered" by students by putting relevant pieces together. More importantly, the hook must be linked to a particular concept, theory, or methodology.

Before starting to write a case, first think of several important "design" questions:

1. What theories or concepts are you going to teach through the case?
2. Are there any interesting hook(s) that can bind the story together?

3. Is the case sufficiently rich in terms of contextual nuances (the setting, personalities, cultures, urgency of issues)?
4. How would students react to the case? Is it sufficiently challenging? Interesting?
5. Is there enough dynamic tension in the case to produce contentious and competing views?
6. Would the case lend itself to an interesting and useful teaching and learning approach (e.g., role playing, simulation)?

A case typically consists of three main parts.

Part 1. The Opening Paragraph

In the opening paragraph, invariably the most important part of a case, you set the general scene and tone. It is not a summary, and is different from the abstract of a research article. Use the opening paragraph to paint a concise and interesting picture of the main issue(s) in the case; describe the context within which the case occurs; introduce the principal protagonist(s); set the timeline. It is customary to finish the opening paragraph with one or two overriding questions facing the characters in the case. The opening paragraph should provide a summary of the five questions posed by journalists:

- what
- who
- why
- where
- when

Part 2: Body of the Case

The second part, the body of the case, is used to tell the whole story -- usually in a chronological order. It typically contains general background on business environment, company background, and the details of the specific issue(s) faced by the company.

Paying attention to contextual shades is particularly important at this stage. How you tell the story is often more important than the story itself. A good case should exude dynamic tension. Tell more than one side to the story so that students can think of competing alternatives. A good case usually revolves around at least one major issue that is usually simple to identify but not necessarily easy to resolve. Engage the reader by using quotations from interviewees or stakeholders of the case

Part 3. Concluding Paragraph

In the last part, the concluding paragraph, provide a short synthesis of the case to reiterate the main issues, or even to raise new questions.

Principles

- Use simple and clear English to avoid clutter [Zinsser, 1998].
- Write neutrally. As a case writer, you should play the role of an honest investigative journalist without "taking sides".
- Don't provide any analysis, or "lessons learned" in the case; leave that in the teaching note.

- Let students decide about the merits of any particular argument based on their understanding of the facts provided in the case.
- Embellish to highlight or downplay certain points, but stay true to the facts.

Purely descriptive cases provide a snapshot of an interesting issue, but they usually are of limited academic value. Description (what) is only the first stage in the learning process. A bona fide teaching case should be, at a minimum, decisional and analytical. It should challenge students to move to higher orders of learning by prompting them to ask “how” the issues are related to one another and “why” things happen the way they do. By learning how to identify business patterns, students can improve their analytic skills.

Characteristics of a Good Case

A good case should give enough information so that students can break down the relevant facts. But it mustn't provide any diagnosis or prognosis.

A good case should challenge students to make a “business case” for a particular decision by articulating how and why they came up to their decision.

A good case should provoke students to think laterally.

A good case should ideally promote both analysis and synthesis skills. Students usually learn quickly how to break down a case into clusters of related issues; they are mostly good at analysis. They have more difficulty, however, with synthesis -- how to put related facts into a coherent, articulated plan of action.

A good Information systems case often involves implementation issues. Take advantage of these issues to increase dynamism by highlighting the human and socio-political factors in implementation.

HOW TO WRITE A CASE¹

1. Teaching cases tell a story about what happened. They should therefore be written in the past tense.
2. Identify and establish an issue/problem which can be used to teach a concept or theory.
3. The opening paragraph of the case should typically contain the following information:
 - WHOSE role is the student to assume?
 - WHO is the key decision maker?
 - WHAT is the nature of the issue/problem?
 - WHEN did the case take place? Specify the date line in this paragraph.
 - WHERE did the case take place; what organization?
 - WHY did the issue/problem arise?
4. Establish teaching objective(s) and revise the opening paragraph as necessary:
 - Specify the purpose of writing the case.
 - Check if the opening paragraph matches the purpose.
 - Check if the case is appropriate for the course for which it is intended.

¹ For more details, see [Leenders, Mauffette-Leenders, and J. Erskine, 2001]

- Check if the case is appropriate for teaching the relevant concepts/theories.

5. Structure the case and decide on subheadings

HOW TO WRITE TEACHING NOTES

Generally speaking, teaching notes should convey what the student is to accomplish at the end of the case. They are designed to provide the instructor with a general understanding of the case content, objectives, key issues or questions, and suggested teaching approaches of the case. Additional information such as financial data and exhibits may be included to increase the level of difficulty of the case. Teaching notes should be written concurrently with the case.

Unlike the case, which is written in past tense, teaching notes should be written in the present tense because they are the writer's current analysis of issues raised in the case. They may include:

- Potential uses of the case
- Potential audience of the case
- Teaching objectives
- Suggested teaching approach/plan
- Case description/synopsis of the case
- Immediate use(s) of the Case
- Basic issue(s)
- Possible discussion questions
- Suggested student assignments
- Suggested additional readings or references
- Analysis
- Computer and multi-media support, if any.
- Teaching themes and lessons from the case

The Mechanics of Distributing Teaching Notes

CAIS does not publish teaching notes because they could be copied off the Internet by students. Case authors are asked to respond to requests for teaching notes sent by faculty via e-mail. Authors should check the IS Faculty Directory (<http://www.isfacdir.org/default.htm>) to make sure that the person requesting the teaching note is a bona fide faculty member.

CONCLUSION

A good teaching case encourages lateral thinking by unraveling the dynamic interplay between the inductive and deductive methods of discovery. As business issues increasingly become more complex and interdependent, it is important for business students to

- learn how to differentiate between major and minor issues,
- discover business patterns,
- distinguish problems from symptoms, and
- synthesize their thoughts into coherent, articulated and actionable decisions.

It is important to write teaching cases in such way that students learn that there is no "one solution" to business problems and that tradeoffs are possible among competing alternatives.

The ability to make tradeoffs, and communicate the logic behind such tradeoffs, enhances students' strategic orientation in dealing with business issues.

REFERENCES AND ADDITIONAL READINGS

Leenders, M. R., Mauffette-Leenders, L. A., Erskine, J. A., (2001), *Writing Cases*, (Fourth Edition), Ontario, Canada, Ivey Publishing, Richard Ivey School of Business, The University of Western Ontario.

Leenders, M. R., Mauffette-Leenders, L. A., Erskine, J. A., (1998), *Teaching with Cases*, Ontario, Canada, Ivey Publishing, Richard Ivey School of Business, The University of Western Ontario.


Leenders, M. R., Mauffette-Leenders, L. A., Erskine, J. A., (1997), *Learning with Cases*, Ontario, Canada, Ivey Publishing, Richard Ivey School of Business, The University of Western Ontario.

Zinsser, W., (1998), *On Writing Well: The Classic Guide to Writing Nonfiction*, (Sixth Edition), New York, HarperCollins Publishing.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Ali Farhoomand is Director of Centre for Asian Business Cases (CABC) at The University of Hong Kong. He is the author of over 70 case studies related of information systems, which can ordered through Harvard Business School, the European Case Clearinghouse and the CABC (www.cabc.org.hk). Ali is the author of *Global e-Commerce: Text and Cases* (Prentice Hall, 2001) and *Managing e-Business Transformation* (Palgrave/Mcmillan, 2004).

Copyright © 2004 by the Association for Information Systems. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page. Copyright for components of this work owned by others than the Association for Information Systems must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or fee. Request permission to publish from: AIS Administrative Office, P.O. Box 2712 Atlanta, GA, 30301-2712 Attn: Reprints or via e-mail from ais@gsu.edu



Communications of the Association for Information Systems

ISSN: 1529-3181

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Paul Gray

Claremont Graduate University

AIS SENIOR EDITORIAL BOARD

Detmar Straub Vice President Publications Georgia State University	Paul Gray Editor, CAIS Claremont Graduate University	Sirkka Jarvenpaa Editor, JAIS University of Texas at Austin
Edward A. Stohr Editor-at-Large Stevens Inst. of Technology	Blake Ives Editor, Electronic Publications University of Houston	Reagan Ramsower Editor, ISWorld Net Baylor University

CAIS ADVISORY BOARD

Gordon Davis University of Minnesota	Ken Kraemer Univ. of Calif. at Irvine	M.Lynne Markus Bentley College	Richard Mason Southern Methodist Univ.
Jay Nunamaker University of Arizona	Henk Sol Delft University	Ralph Sprague University of Hawaii	Hugh J. Watson University of Georgia

CAIS SENIOR EDITORS

Steve Alter U. of San Francisco	Chris Holland Manchester Bus. School	Jaak Jurison Fordham University	Jerry Luftman Stevens Inst. of Technology
------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	--

CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD

Tung Bui University of Hawaii	Fred Davis U. of Arkansas, Fayetteville	Candace Deans University of Richmond	Donna Dufner U. of Nebraska -Omaha
Omar El Sawy Univ. of Southern Calif.	Ali Farhoomand University of Hong Kong	Jane Fedorowicz Bentley College	Brent Gallupe Queens University
Robert L. Glass Computing Trends	Sy Goodman Ga. Inst. of Technology	Joze Gricar University of Maribor	Ake Gronlund University of Umea,
Ruth Guthrie California State Univ.	Alan Hevner Univ. of South Florida	Juhani Iivari Univ. of Oulu	Munir Mandviwalla Temple University
Sal March Vanderbilt University	Don McCubbrey University of Denver	Emmanuel Monod University of Nantes	John Mooney Pepperdine University
Michael Myers University of Auckland	Seev Neumann Tel Aviv University	Dan Power University of No. Iowa	Ram Ramesh SUNY-Buffalo
Maung Sein Agder University College,	Carol Saunders Univ. of Central Florida	Peter Seddon University of Melbourne	Thompson Teo National U. of Singapore
Doug Vogel City Univ. of Hong Kong	Rolf Wigand U. of Arkansas, Little Rock	Upkar Varshney Georgia State Univ.	Peter Wolcott Univ. of Nebraska-Omaha

ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Eph McLean AIS, Executive Director Georgia State University	Samantha Spears Subscriptions Manager Georgia State University	Reagan Ramsower Publisher, CAIS Baylor University
---	--	---